

## EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF FIRST-ORDER PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS-II

KAYYUNNAPAPRA THOMAS JOSEPH, MANAS RANJAN SAHOO

ABSTRACT. In this note we give an explicit formula for the solution of conservative form of a system studied in a previous article [6], in the domain  $\{(x, t) : x > 0, t > 0\}$  with initial conditions at  $t = 0$  and with Bardos Leroux Nedelec boundary conditions at  $x = 0$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider the conservative form of a system considered in [6], namely

$$\begin{aligned}u_t + f(u)_x &= 0, \\v_t + (f'(u)v)_x &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

with  $f''(u) > 0$ , in the domain  $\Omega = \{(x, t) : x > 0, t > 0\}$ . We give an explicit formula for the solution of (1.1) with prescribed initial conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(x, 0) \\ v(x, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0(x) \\ v_0(x) \end{pmatrix},\tag{1.2}$$

at  $t = 0$ , the Bardos Leroux and Nedelec [1, 9] boundary condition for  $u$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{either } u(0+, t) &= u_b^+(t) \\ \text{or } f'(u(0+, t)) &\leq 0 \text{ and } f(u(0+, t)) \geq f(u_b^+(t)),\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

and a weak form of Dirichlet boundary conditions for  $v$

$$\text{if } f'(u(0+, t)) > 0, \text{ then } v(0+, t) = v_b(t).\tag{1.4}$$

Here  $u_b^+(t) = \max\{u_b(t), \lambda\}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the unique point where  $f'(u)$  changes sign.

In [6], explicit solution was constructed for the system where the second equation in (1.1) was replaced by

$$V_t + f'(u)V_x = 0\tag{1.5}$$

with the weak form of Dirichlet boundary condition  $V(0, t) = V_b(t)$ . Taking derivative of (1.5) with respect to  $x$  and setting  $v = V_x$  we obtain the conservative equation for  $v$ . In this note we give Dirichlet boundary condition for  $v$ , which is equivalent to giving Neumann Boundary condition for  $V$ . Here we explain the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35A20, 35L50, 35R05.

*Key words and phrases*. First order PDE; boundary conditions; exact solutions.

©2011 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted April 8, 2011. Published April 13, 2011.

required modification of the formula in [6] in the construction of solution to (1.1)-(1.4).

LeFloch [8] was the first who studied the system (1.1) when  $f(u)$  is strictly convex and constructed explicit formula for the pure initial value problem using Lax formula. One important property of the system is the formation of  $\delta$  - wave solutions for certain types of initial data which are of bounded variation. Such systems come in applications, for example, the special case  $f(u) = u^2/2$  in (1.1), is the one-dimensional model in the large scale structure formation. Initial value problem for this quadratic case was also studied by Joseph [2, 5] by different way, using the vanishing viscosity method and Hopf-Cole transformation.

## 2. A FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTION IN THE QUARTER PLANE

We consider the system (1.1) with initial condition (1.2) and boundary condition (1.3) and (1.4). We assume  $u_0(x)$  is bounded measurable and  $v_0(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous functions of  $x \geq 0$ ,  $u_b(t)$  and  $v_b(t)$  are Lipschitz continuous functions of  $t > 0$ .

We assume the flux  $f(u)$  satisfies the conditions

$$f''(u) > 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} = \infty,$$

and let  $f^*(u)$  be the convex dual of  $f(u)$  namely,  $f^*(u) = \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^1} \{\theta u - f(\theta)\}$ .

As in [6], we introduce some notation and describe the construction of  $(u, v)$  and then verify it is a solution. For each fixed  $(x, y, t)$ ,  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0, t > 0$ ,  $C(x, y, t)$  denotes the following class of paths  $\beta$  in the quarter plane  $\Omega = \{(z, s) : z \geq 0, s \geq 0\}$ . Each path is connected from the initial point  $(y, 0)$  to  $(x, t)$  and is of the form  $z = \beta(s)$ , where  $\beta$  is a piecewise linear function of maximum three lines and always linear in the interior of  $\Omega$ . Thus for  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$ , the curves are either a straight line or have exactly three straight lines with one lying on the boundary  $x = 0$ . For  $y = 0$  the curves are made up of one straight line or two straight lines with one piece lying on the boundary  $x = 0$ . Associated with the flux  $f(u)$  and boundary data  $u_b(t)$ , we define the functional  $J(\beta)$  on  $C(x, y, t)$

$$J(\beta) = - \int_{\{s:\beta(s)=0\}} f(u_B(s)^+) ds + \int_{\{s:\beta(s) \neq 0\}} f^*\left(\frac{d\beta(s)}{ds}\right) ds.$$

We call  $\beta_0$  is straight line path connecting  $(y, 0)$  and  $(x, t)$  which does not touch the boundary  $x = 0$ ,  $\{(0, t), t > 0\}$ , then let

$$A(x, y, t) = J(\beta_0) = t f^*\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right).$$

For any  $\beta \in C^*(x, y, t) = C(x, y, t) - \{\beta_0\}$ , that is made up of three straight lines connecting  $(y, 0)$  to  $(0, t_2)$  in the interior and  $(0, t_2)$  to  $(0, t_1)$  on the boundary and  $(0, t_1)$  to  $(x, t)$  in the interior,  $t_2 < t_1 < t$ , it can be easily seen that

$$J(\beta) = J(x, y, t, t_1, t_2) = - \int_{t_2}^{t_1} f(u_B(s)^+) ds + t_2 f^*\left(\frac{y}{-t_2}\right) + (t - t_1) f^*\left(\frac{x}{t - t_1}\right).$$

For the curves made up of two straight lines with one piece lying on the boundary  $x = 0$  which connects  $(0, 0)$  and  $(0, t_1)$  and the other connecting  $(0, t_1)$  to  $(x, t)$ .

$$J(\beta) = J(x, y, t, t_1, t_2 = 0) = - \int_0^{t_1} f(u_B(s)^+) ds + (t - t_1) f^*\left(\frac{x}{t - t_1}\right).$$

In the following, we list some facts which was proved in [3], that are used later in the construction of solution, which follow from some basic convex analysis and arguments of Lax [7].

There exists a  $\beta^* \in C^*(x, y, t)$  or correspondingly  $t_1(x, y, t), t_2(x, y, t)$  so that

$$B(x, y, t) = J(\beta^*) = J(x, y, t, t_1(x, y, t), t_2(x, y, t)) = \min\{J(\beta) : \beta \in C^*(x, y, t)\}$$

is a locally Lipschitz continuous function of  $(x, y, t), x \geq 0, y \geq 0, t \geq 0$ .

Secondly, the functions

$$Q(x, y, t) = \min\{J(\beta) : \beta \in C(x, y, t)\} = \min\{A(x, y, t), B(x, y, t)\},$$

and

$$U(x, t) = \min\{Q(x, y, t) + U_0(y), 0 \leq y < \infty\} \tag{2.1}$$

are locally Lipschitz continuous functions in their variables, where we have taken  $U_0(y) = \int_0^y u_0(z) dz$ .

Thirdly minimum in (2.1) is attained at some value of  $y \geq 0$  which depends on  $(x, t)$ , we call it  $y(x, t)$ . For each fixed  $t > 0$ , this minimizer is unique except for a countable number of points of  $x > 0$ .

Finally, for each fixed  $t > 0$ , except for one point of  $x$ , either  $A(x, y(x, t), t) < B(x, y(x, t), t)$  or  $A(x, y(x, t), t) > B(x, y(x, t), t)$ . If  $A(x, y(x, t), t) < B(x, y(x, t), t)$ ,

$$U(x, t) = tf^*\left(\frac{x - y(x, t)}{t}\right) + U_0(y),$$

and if  $A(x, y(x, t), t) > B(x, y(x, t), t)$

$$U(x, t) = J(x, y(x, t), t, t_1(x, y(x, t), t), t_2(x, y(x, t), t)) + U_0(y).$$

Here and hence forth  $y(x, t)$  is a minimizer in (2.1) and we denote  $A(x, t) = A(x, y(x, t), t)$ ,  $B(x, t) = B(x, y(x, t), t)$ ,  $t_2(x, t) = t_2(x, y(x, t), t)$  and  $t_1(x, t) = t_1(x, y(x, t), t)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $u_0$  is bounded measurable and locally Lipschitz continuous,  $v_0$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $x \geq 0$  and  $u_b(t)$  and  $v_b(t)$  are Lipschitz continuous functions. Then for every  $\{(x, t), x \geq 0, t > 0\}$ ,  $U(x, t)$  defined by the minimization problem (2.1) is a locally Lipschitz continuous function. For almost every  $(x, t)$  there is only one minimizer  $y(x, t)$  and let  $t_1(x, t)$  and  $t_2(x, t)$  as described before. Define*

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} (f^*)'\left(\frac{x - y(x, t)}{t}\right), & \text{if } A(x, t) < B(x, t), \\ (f^*)'\left(\frac{x}{t - t_1(x, t)}\right), & \text{if } A(x, t) > B(x, t), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$V(x, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{y(x, t)} v_0(z) dz, & \text{if } A(x, t) < B(x, t), \\ - \int_{t_2(x, t)}^{t_1(x, t)} f'(u_b^+(s)) v_b(s) ds, & \text{if } A(x, t) > B(x, t), \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

and set

$$v(x, t) = \partial_x(V(x, t)). \tag{2.4}$$

Then the function  $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$  is a weak solution of (1.1), satisfying the initial condition (1.2) and boundary conditions (1.3) and (1.4). Further  $u$  satisfies the entropy condition  $u(x-, t) \geq u(x+, t)$  for  $x > 0, t > 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by direct verification and most part is identical to [6] and so that part is omitted. We give here only the verification of the boundary condition (1.4).

Suppose  $f'(u(0+, t)) > 0$  then  $f'(u(x, t)) > 0$  for  $0 < x \leq \epsilon$  for some sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  and  $u$  and  $v$  are given by (2.2)-(2.4). Then  $t_2(x, t)$  is constant for  $x \in [0, \epsilon]$  and

$$u(x, t) = (f^*)'\left(\frac{x}{t - t_1(x, t)}\right),$$

so that  $t - t_1(x, t) = x/f'(u(x, t))$ . It follows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} t_1(x, t) = t$ , since we assumed that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(u(x, t)) = f'(u(0+, t)) = f'(u_b(t)) > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &= -\partial_x \int_{t_2(x, t)}^{t_1(x, t)} f'(u_b^+(s))v_b(s)ds. \\ &= -f'(u_b(t_1(x, t)))v_b(t_1(x, t))\partial_x t_1(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Again differentiating the relation  $t - t_1(x, t) = x/f'(u(x, t))$  with respect to  $x$ , we have

$$\partial_x t_1(x, t) = \frac{x f''(u(x, t))u_x - f'(u(x, t))}{(f'(u(x, t)))^2} \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.5)-(2.7) and using the fact  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} t_1(x, t) = t$ , we get the weak boundary condition (1.4).  $\square$

**Explicit formula for Riemann initial boundary value problem.** It is illustrative to compute the solution constructed in the above theorem for the Riemann type initial boundary data, namely  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $u_b$  and  $v_b$  are all constants.

**Theorem 2.2.** *For Riemann initial boundary value problems, the formulae (2.2) - (2.4) takes the form*

Case 1:  $f'(u_0) = f'(u_b) > 0$ ,

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (u_0, v_b), & \text{if } x < f'(u_0)t, \\ (u_0, v_0), & \text{if } x > f'(u_0)t. \end{cases}$$

Case 2:  $f'(u_0) = f'(u_b) < 0$ ,

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (u_0, v_0)$$

Case 3:  $0 < f'(u_b) < f'(u_0)$ ,

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (u_b, v_b), & \text{if } x < f'(u_b)t, \\ (x/t, 0), & \text{if } f'(u_b)t < x < f'(u_0)t \\ (u_0, v_0), & \text{if } x > f'(u_0)t \end{cases}$$

Case 4:  $f'(u_b) < 0 < f'(u_0)$ ,

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (x/t, 0), & \text{if } 0 < x < f'(u_0)t \\ (u_0, v_0), & \text{if } x > f'(u_0)t \end{cases}$$

Case 5:  $f'(u_b) < 0$  and  $f'(u_0) \leq 0$ ,

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (u_0, v_0)$$

Case 6:  $f'(u_0) < f'(u_b)$  and  $s = \frac{f(u_b) - f(u_0)}{u_b - u_0} > 0$  :

$$(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (u_b, v_b), & \text{if } x < st, \\ (1/2(u_b + u_0), (1/2)(u_b - u_0)(v_0 + v_b)t\delta_{x=st}) & \text{if } x = st \\ (u_0, v_0), & \text{if } x > st. \end{cases}$$

### 3. SOLUTION IN A STRIP

The solution we have obtained for the quarter plane problem can be easily generalized to the strip  $\Omega = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < 1, t > 0\}$ . Here we prescribe

$$(u(x, 0+), v(x, 0+)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

As before for  $u$  component we prescribe a weak form of Dirichlet boundary conditions at  $x = 0$  and at  $x = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{either } u(0+, t) = u_l^+(t) \\ \text{or } & f'(u(0+, t)) \leq 0 \text{ and } f(u(0+, t)) \geq f(u_b^+(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{either } u(1-, t) = u_r^+(t) \\ \text{or } & f'(u(1-, t)) \geq 0 \text{ and } f(u(1-, t)) \geq f(u_r^+(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here  $u_l^+(t) = \max\{u_l(t), \lambda\}$ ,  $u_r^-(t) = \min\{u_r(t), \lambda\}$  where as before  $\lambda$  is the point of minimum of  $f$ . We get explicit formula for the entropy weak solution of the first component  $u$  of (1.1) with initial condition  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  and the boundary conditions (3.2) and (3.3) by Joseph and Gowda [4]. Once  $u$  is obtained, the boundary conditions for  $v(0+, t) = v_l(t)$  is prescribed only if the characteristics at  $(0, t)$  has positive speed, ie  $f'(u(0+, t)) > 0$ . So the weak form of boundary conditions for  $v$  component at  $x = 0$  is

$$\text{if } f'(u(0+, t)) > 0, \text{ then } v(0+, t) = v_l(t). \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly the weak form of the boundary condition at  $x = 1$  is

$$\text{if } f'(u(1-, t)) < 0, \text{ then } v(1-, t) = v_r(t). \quad (3.5)$$

We assume the initial conditions  $u_0(x)$  is bounded measurable, and locally Lipschitz, and  $v_0(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and boundary datas  $u_l(t), v_b(t)$  are Lipschitz continuous  $[0, T]$ , for each  $T > 0$ .

For the statement of the theorem, we introduce some notations. For each fixed  $(x, y, t)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $|i - j| \leq 1, i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $C_{ij}(x, y, t)$  denotes the following class of paths  $\beta$  in the strip

$$\Omega = \{(z, s) : 0 \leq z \leq 1, s \geq 0\}$$

Each path connects  $(y, 0)$  to  $(x, t)$  and is of the form  $z = \beta(s)$  where  $\beta(s)$  is piecewise linear function which are straight lines in the interior of  $D$ , and having  $i$  straight line pieces lie on  $x = 0$  and  $j$  of them lie on  $x = 1$ . The points of intersection of the straight line pieces of the curve lying in  $\Omega$  with the boundaries  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  are called corners of the curve  $\beta$ .

Denote

$$C(x, y, t) = \cup_{i \geq 0, j \geq 0, |i-j| \leq 1} C_{i,j}(x, y, t)$$

For fixed  $(x, y, t)$ , we define

$$J(\beta) = - \int_{\{s:\beta(s)=0\}} f(u_l^+(s))ds - \int_{\{s:\beta(s)=1\}} f(u_r^-(s))ds + \int_{\{s:0<\beta(s)<1\}} f^*\left(\frac{d\beta}{ds}\right)ds. \quad (3.6)$$

Denote  $C^*(x, y, t) = C(x, y, t) - \{\beta_0\}$ , where  $\beta_0$  is the straight line path joining  $(x, t)$  to  $(y, 0)$ .

Let us define  $A(x, y, t)$  and  $B(x, y, t)$  by

$$A(x, y, t) = J(\beta_0), \quad B(x, y, t) = \min_{\beta \in C^*(x, y, t)} J(\beta) \quad (3.7)$$

where  $J(\beta)$  be defined by (3.6).

We recall a few facts from [4]. For each  $(x, t) \in \Omega$  and  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ , the minimum in (3.7) is attained for a path  $\beta^*$  over  $C^*(x, y, t)$ . Let the corner points of the minimizer  $\beta$  be

$$(\beta^*(t_1(x, y, t)), t_1(x, y, t)), \quad (\beta^*(t_2(x, y, t)), t_2(x, y, t)), \\ \dots, \quad (\beta^*(t_k(x, y, t)), t_k(x, y, t)),$$

$t > t_1(x, y, t) > t_2(x, y, t) \cdots > t_k(x, y, t) > 0$ . For a given  $T > 0$ , there exists positive integer  $N(T)$  such that for any  $t \leq T$ , and  $k < N(T)$ . This is due to the bound of  $u_l(t)$  on  $[0, T]$  and due to the conditions on  $f(u)$ . Then the function  $B$  is expressed in terms of  $x, y, t, t_1(x, y, t), \dots, t_k(x, y, t)$  which we denote by  $B(x, y, t) = J(x, y, t, t_1(x, y, t) \dots t_k(x, y, t)) = J(\beta^*)$ . Similarly define  $A(x, y, t) = t f^*\left(\frac{x-t}{t}\right) = J(\beta_0)$ ,  $\beta_0$  is the straight line path connecting  $(x, t)$  and  $(y, 0)$ . Define the function

$$Q(x, y, t) = \min\{A(x, y, t), B(x, y, t)\}. \quad (3.8)$$

The function

$$U(x, t) = \min_{0 \leq y \leq 1} \left[ \int_0^y u_0(z)dz + Q(x, y, t) \right] \quad (3.9)$$

is Lipschitz continuous function of  $(x, t)$  in  $\Omega$ . For almost every  $(x, t)$  in  $\Omega$ , there exists a unique minimizer  $y(x, t)$  and either

$$A(x, y(x, t), t) < B(x, y(x, t), t) \text{ and } U(x, t) = \int_0^{y(x, t)} u_0(z)dz + A(x, y, t)$$

or

$$A(x, y(x, t), t) > B(x, y(x, t), t) \text{ in which case } U(x, t) = \int_0^{y(x, t)} u_0(z)dz + B(x, y, t).$$

In the second case, let  $t_j(x, y, t), j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  corresponds to the corner points of the curve  $\beta^*$  in the evaluation of  $B(x, y, t)$ . Denote  $t_j(x, t) = t_j(x, y(x, t), t)$ ,  $A(x, t) = A(x, y(x, t), t)$  and  $B(x, t) = B(x, y(x, t), t)$ .

With these notations we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $U$  be defined by the minimization problem (3.9) and  $y(x, t)$  be a minimizer (which is unique for a.e points of  $\Omega$ ). Let  $u = U_x(x, t)$  exists for a.e. points of  $\Omega$  and has the form*

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} (f^*)'\left(\frac{x-y(x, t)}{t}\right), & \text{if } A(x, t) < B(x, t), \\ (f^*)'\left(\frac{x}{t-t_1(x, t)}\right), & \text{if } A(x, t) > B(x, t), \end{cases}$$

and

$$V(x, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{y(x, t)} v_0(z)dz, & \text{if } A(x, t) < B(x, t), \\ - \int_{t_2(x, t)}^{t_1(x, t)} f'(u_l^+(s))v_l(s)ds, & \text{if } A(x, t) > B(x, t) \text{ and } \beta^*(t_1(x, t)) = 0, \\ - \int_{t_2(x, t)}^{t_1(x, t)} f'(u_r^-(s))v_r(s)ds, & \text{if } A(x, t) > B(x, t) \text{ and } \beta^*(t_1(x, t)) = 1, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$v(x, t) = \partial_x(V(x, t)).$$

Then  $(u, v)$  is a solution to (1.1) with initial conditions (3.1) and boundary conditions (3.2)-(3.5). Further  $u$  satisfies the entropy condition  $u(x-, t) \geq u(x+, t)$  for  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* The assertions on  $u$  is proved in [4]. Once we have that, the verification that  $v$  solves the equation and the initial and boundary conditions follows exactly as in section 2 and is omitted.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bardos, A. Y. Leroux and J. C. Nedelec; First order quasi-linear equations with boundary conditions, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqn* **4** (1979), 1017-1034.
- [2] K. T. Joseph; A Riemann problem whose viscosity solution contain  $\delta$ -measures. *Asym. Anal.* **7**(1993) 105-120.
- [3] K. T. Joseph and G. D. Veerappa Gowda; Explicit formula for the solution of convex conservation laws with boundary condition, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991) 401-416.
- [4] K. T. Joseph and G. D. Veerappa Gowda; Solution of convex conservation laws in a strip, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci)*, **102** (1992) 29-47.
- [5] K. T. Joseph; One-dimensional adhesion model for large scale structures, *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns*, **2010** (2010), no. 70, 1-15.
- [6] K. T. Joseph; Explicit solutions for a system of first-order partial differential equations, *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns*, **2008** (2008), no. 157, 1-8.
- [7] P. D. Lax; Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957) 537-566.
- [8] P. G. LeFloch; An existence and uniqueness result for two nonstrictly hyperbolic systems, in *Nonlinear Evolution Equations that change type* (eds) Barbara Lee Keyfitz and Michael Shearer, **IMA** bf 27 (1990) 126-138.
- [9] P. G. LeFloch; Explicit formula for scalar non-linear conservation laws with boundary condition, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci* **10** (1988), 265-287.

KAYYUNNAPAPRA THOMAS JOSEPH

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*E-mail address:* ktj@math.tifr.res.in

MANAS RANJAN SAHOO

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*E-mail address:* manas@math.tifr.res.in