

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS IN LOWER ORDER SOBOLEV SPACES FOR THE GENERALIZED BOUSSINESQ EQUATION

LUIZ G. FARAH, HONGWEI WANG

ABSTRACT. We show that the Cauchy problem for the defocusing generalized Boussinesq equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} - (|u|^{2k}u)_{xx} = 0, \quad k \geq 1,$$

on the real line is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 1 - (1/(3k))$. To do this, we use the I -method, introduced by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [8, 9], to define a modification of the energy functional that is almost conserved in time. Our result extends a previous result obtained by Farah and Linares [16] for the case $k = 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the following initial value problem for a defocussing generalized Boussinesq equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} - (|u|^{2k}u)_{xx} &= 0, \quad k \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= \phi(x); \quad \partial_t u(x, 0) = \psi_x(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Equations of this type model a large range of physical phenomena such as dispersive wave propagation, nonlinear strings and shape-memory alloys; see, for instance, Boussinesq [6], Zakharov [28] and Falk et al [12].

Natural spaces to study the initial value problem above are the classical Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, which are defined via the spacial Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx,$$

as the completion of the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = 1 + |\xi|$.

Given initial data $(\phi, \psi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and a positive time $T > 0$, we say that a function $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real solution of (1.1) if $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B30, 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. Boussinesq equation; global well-posedness; I -method.

©2012 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted October 3, 2011. Published March 16, 2012.

The first author is partially supported by CNPq and FAPEMIG Brazil.

and u satisfies the integral equation

$$u(t) = V_c(t)\phi + V_s(t)\psi_x + \int_0^t V_s(t-t')(|u|^{2k}u)_{xx}(t')dt', \quad (1.2)$$

where the two operators that constitute the free evolution are defined via Fourier transform by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V_c(t)\phi}(\xi) &= \frac{e^{it\sqrt{\xi^2+\xi^4}} + e^{-it\sqrt{\xi^2+\xi^4}}}{2} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) \\ \widehat{V_s(t)\psi_x}(\xi) &= \frac{e^{it\sqrt{\xi^2+\xi^4}} - e^{-it\sqrt{\xi^2+\xi^4}}}{2i\sqrt{\xi^2+\xi^4}} \widehat{\psi_x}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

In the case that T can be taken arbitrarily large, we shall say the solution is global-in-time. Here, we focus our attention in this case.

Concerning the local well-posedness question, several results have been obtained in the previous years for the generalized Boussinesq equation (1.1) (see Bona and Sachs [3], Tsutsumi and Matabashi [27], Linares [22] and Farah [14, 15]). As far as we know, one has local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for all $s > 1/2 - 1/k$ [14]. The same holds for the focusing case, that is, equation (1.1) with positive sign in front of the nonlinearity. Note that this is exactly the same range obtained by Cazenave and Weissler [7] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} - (|u|^{2k}u) = 0.$$

We should point out that, up to now, there is no result addressing the ill-posedness question for (1.1) with general k , so it is an interesting open problem.

Next we turn attention to the global-in-time well-posedness problem. It is well known that generalized Boussinesq equation has the conserved energy

$$E(u)(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2k+2}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2k+2}}^{2k+2}. \quad (1.3)$$

The local theory proved in [22] together with this conserved quantity immediately yield global-in-time well-posedness of (1.1) for initial data $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We should mention that the situation is very different in the focusing case: solutions may blow-up in finite time for arbitrary initial data $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see for instance Liu [23] and Angulo and Scialom [1]. We will not deal with this case in present work. However, in principle, the results obtained here should also apply to the focusing case provided the data lies beneath an appropriate smallness threshold.

Our principal aim in the present work is to loosen the regularity requirements on the initial data which ensure global-in-time solutions for the initial-value problem (1.1). This question have been already investigated by Farah and Linares [16] in the particular case where $k = 1$. Their approach were based on the I -method, invented by the I -team: Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao. Although for the generalized Boussinesq equation (1.1) scaling argument does not work and there is no conservation law at level L^2 , we applied successfully this method, in its first generation, obtaining global solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s < 1$ for all $k \geq 1$.

We shall mention that there exists other refined versions of the I -method also introduced by the I -team in the context of nonlinear dispersive equations (see, for instance, [9] and [11]). This approaches have been applied for the Nonlinear Schrödinger equation and generalized KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x(u^{k+1}) = 0,$$

sometimes leading to sharp global results [9]. However, it is not clear whether this refined approaches can be use to improve our global result stated in Theorem 1.1 below.

The basic idea behind the I -method is the following: when $(\phi, \psi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s < 1$ in (1.1), the norm $\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2$ could be infinity, and so the conservation law (1.3) is meaningless. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a modified energy functional which is also defined for less regular functions. Unfortunately, this new functional is not strictly conserved, but we can show that it is *almost* conserved in time. When one is able to control its growth in time explicitly, this allows to iterate a modified local existence theorem to continue the solution to any time T .

Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *The initial value problem (1.1) is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 - \frac{1}{3k} < s < 1$. Moreover the solution satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \{ \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_t u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \} \leq C(1 + T)^{\frac{1-s}{6ks-6k+2}+} \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant C depends only on s , $\|\phi\|_{H^s}$ and $\|\psi\|_{H^{s-1}}$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In the next section we introduce some notation and preliminaries. Section 3 describes the modified energy functional. In Section 4, we prove the almost conservation law. Section 5 contains the variant of local well-posedness result and the proof of the global result stated in Theorem 1.1.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We use c to denote various constants depending on s . Given any positive numbers a and b , the notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there exists a positive universal constant c such that $a \leq cb$. Also, we denote $a \sim b$ when, $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. We use $a+$ and $a-$ to denote $a + \varepsilon$ and $a - \varepsilon$, respectively, for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$.

We use $\|f\|_{L^p}$ to denote the $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ norm and $L_t^q L_x^r$ to denote the mixed norm

$$\|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \equiv \left(\int \|f\|_{L_x^r}^q dt \right)^{1/q}$$

with the usual modifications when $q = \infty$.

We define the spacetime Fourier transform $u(t, x)$ by

$$\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(x\xi + t\tau)} u(t, x) dt dx.$$

Note that the derivative ∂_x is conjugated to multiplication by $i\xi$ by the Fourier transform.

We shall also define D and J to be, respectively, the Fourier multiplier with symbol $|\xi|$ and $\langle \xi \rangle = 1 + |\xi|$. Thus, the Sobolev norms $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is also given by

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \|J^s f\|_{L_x^2}.$$

To describe our well-posedness results we define the $X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ spaces related to our problem (see also Fang and Grillakis [13] and [15]).

$$\|F\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} = \| \langle |\tau| - \gamma(\xi) \rangle^b \langle \xi \rangle^s \tilde{F} \|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2},$$

where $\gamma(\xi) \equiv \sqrt{\xi^2 + \xi^4}$.

These kind spaces were used to systematically study nonlinear dispersive wave problems by Bourgain [4] and Kenig, Ponce and Vega [19, 20]. Klainerman and Machedon [21] also used similar ideas in their study of the nonlinear wave equation. The spaces appeared earlier in the study of propagation of singularity in semilinear wave equation in the works [26], [2] of Rauch, Reed, and M. Beals.

For any interval I we define the localized $X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times I)$ spaces by

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times I)} = \inf\{\|w\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} : w(t) = u(t) \text{ on } I\}.$$

We often abbreviate $\|u\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})}$ by $\|u\|_{X_{s,b}}$, and $\|u\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times I)}$ by $\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^I}$. We shall take advantage of the Strichartz estimate (see Ginibre, Tsutsumi and Velo [17] for this inequality in the context of the Schrödinger equation. For the spaces $X_{s,b}$ defined above it follows by the argument employed by [16])

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}, \quad \text{where } \frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}. \quad (2.1)$$

Taking $p = q$, we obtain the spacial case

$$\|u\|_{L_{x,t}^6} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \quad (2.2)$$

which interpolate with the trivial estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{0,0}} \quad (2.3)$$

to give

$$\|u\|_{L_{x,t}^4} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We also use

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},$$

which together with Sobolev embedding gives

$$\|u\|_{L_{x,t}^\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+}}. \quad (2.5)$$

We also have the following refined Strichartz estimate in the case of differing frequencies (see [16], Bourgain [5]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}$ be supported on spatial frequencies $|\xi_i| \sim N_i$, $i = 1, 2$. If $|\xi_1| \lesssim \min\{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|, |\xi_1 + \xi_2|\}$ for all $\xi_i \in \text{supp}(\widehat{\psi}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then*

$$\|(D_x^{1/2}\psi_1)\psi_2\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim \|\psi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \|\psi_2\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}. \quad (2.6)$$

Inequalities of this kind have been also obtained under the assumption $|\xi_2| \gg |\xi_1|$ for both Nonlinear Schrödinger equation and KdV equation (see Ozawa and Tsutsumi [24], Grünrock [18] and also [5]). Note that this relation implies the hypothesis of the above lemma.

3. MODIFIED ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

In this section we briefly describe the I -method scheme. Given $s < 1$ and a parameter $N \gg 1$, define the multiplier operator

$$\widehat{I_N f(\xi)} \equiv m_N(\xi) \widehat{f(\xi)},$$

where the multiplier $m_N(\xi)$ is smooth, radially symmetric, nondecreasing in $|\xi|$ and

$$m_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\xi| \leq N, \\ \left(\frac{N}{|\xi|}\right)^{1-s}, & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2N. \end{cases}$$

To simplify the notation, we omit the dependence of N in I_N and denote it only by I . Note that the operator I is smooth of order $1 - s$. Indeed, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq c\|Iu\|_{H^{s_0+1-s}} \leq cN^{1-s}\|u\|_{H^{s_0}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We can apply the operator I in the equation (1.1), obtaining the following modified equation

$$\begin{aligned} Iu_{tt} - Iu_{xx} + Iu_{xxxx} - I(|u|^{2k}u)_{xx} &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \\ Iu(x, 0) = I\phi(x); \quad \partial_t Iu(x, 0) &= I\psi_x(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, applying the operator $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ to the above equation (3.2), multiplying the result by $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t Iu$ and then integrating by parts with respect to x , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|Iu(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t Iu(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \langle I(|u|^{2k}u), \partial_t Iu \rangle = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Iu(t)\|_{L^{2k+2}}^{2k+2} = (2k + 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Iu|^{2k} Iu \partial_t Iu.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(Iu)(t) = \langle |Iu|^{2k} Iu - I(|u|^{2k}u), \partial_t Iu \rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have

$$E(Iu)(\delta) - E(Iu)(0) = \int_0^\delta \frac{d}{dt} E(Iu)(t') dt'. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, to control the growth of $E(Iu)(t)$ we need to understand how the quantity (3.3) varies in time.

4. ALMOST CONSERVATION LAW

In this section we will establish estimates showing that the quantity $E(Iu)(t)$ is *almost* conserved in time.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $s > 1/2$, $N \gg 1$ and Iu be a solution of (3.2) on $[0, \delta]$ in the sense of Theorem 5.3. Then*

$$|E(Iu)(\delta) - E(Iu)(0)| \leq CN^{-2+} \|Iu\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^{2k+1}} \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t Iu\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}. \tag{4.1}$$

Before proceeding to the proof of the above proposition, we would like to make an interesting remark. The exponent $-2+$ on the right hand side of (4.1) is directly tied with the restriction $s > 1 - (1/3k)$ in our main theorem. If one could replace the increment N^{-2+} by $N^{-\alpha+}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ the argument we give in Section 5 would imply global well-posedness of (1.1) for all $s > 1 - (\alpha/6k)$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Applying the Parseval formula to identity (3.4) and using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E(Iu)(\delta) - E(Iu)(0) \\ &= \int_0^\delta \int_{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2})}{m(\xi_2)m(\xi_3)\dots m(\xi_{2k+2})} \right) \widehat{\partial_t Iu}(\xi_1) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_2) \dots \widehat{Iu}(\xi_{2k+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our aim is to obtain the inequality

$$\mathbf{Term} \leq N^{-2+} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta},$$

where

Term

$$\equiv \left| \int_0^\delta \int_{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2})}{m(\xi_2)m(\xi_3)\dots m(\xi_{2k+2})}\right) \widehat{\partial_t Iu(\xi_1)} \widehat{Iu(\xi_2)} \dots \widehat{Iu(\xi_{2k+2})} \right|$$

and $*$ denotes integration over $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$.

We estimate **Term** as follows. Without loss of generality, we assume the Fourier transforms of all these functions to be nonnegative. First, we bound the symbol in the parentheses pointwise in absolute value, according to the relative sizes of the frequencies involved. After that, the remaining integrals are estimated using Plancherel formula, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.1. To sum over the dyadic pieces at the end we need to have extra factors N_j^{0-} , $j = 1, \dots, 2k+2$, everywhere.

We decompose the frequencies ξ_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2k+2$ into dyadic blocks N_j . By the symmetry of the multiplier

$$1 - \frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2})}{m(\xi_2)m(\xi_3)\dots m(\xi_{2k+2})} \quad (4.2)$$

in $\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{2k+2}$, we may assume for the remainder of this proof that

$$N_2 \geq N_3 \geq \dots \geq N_{2k+2}.$$

Also note that $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$ implies $N_1 \lesssim N_2$. We now split the different frequency interactions into several cases, according to the size of the parameter N in comparison to the N_i .

Case 1: $N \gg N_2$. In this case, the symbol (2) is identically zero and the desired bound holds trivially.

Case 2: $N_2 \gtrsim N \gg N_3$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$, we have here $N_1 \sim N_2$. By the mean value theorem

$$\left| \frac{m(\xi_2) - m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2})}{m(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{|\nabla m(\xi_2) \cdot (\xi_3 + \xi_4 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2})|}{m(\xi_2)} \lesssim \frac{N_3}{N_2}.$$

This pointwise bound together with Lemma 2.1 and Plancherel's theorem yield

Term

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \frac{N_1 N_3}{N_2} \|I\phi_1 I\phi_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \|I\phi_2 I\phi_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_1 N_3}{N_2 N_1^{1/2} N_2^{1/2} N_2 \langle N_3 \rangle \langle N_4 \rangle \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \dots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used Sobolev embedding (2.5) to bound the terms with $j \geq 5$.

Case 3: $N_2 \gg N_3 \gtrsim N$. We use in this instance a trivial pointwise bound on the symbol

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \cdots + \xi_{2k+2})}{m(\xi_2)m(\xi_3) \cdots m(\xi_{2k+2})} \right| \lesssim \frac{m(\xi_1)}{m(\xi_2)m(\xi_3) \cdots m(\xi_{2k+2})} \quad (4.3)$$

Since $m(N_1) \sim m(N_2)$, applying Lemma 2.1, we have

Term

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \frac{N_1}{m(N_3)m(N_4) \cdots m(N_{2k+2})} \|I\phi_1 I\phi_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \delta])} \|I\phi_2 I\phi_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_1}{m(N_3)m(N_4) \cdots m(N_{2k+2}) N_1^{1/2} N_2^{1/2} N_2 N_3 \langle N_4 \rangle \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \cdots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \\ &\quad \times \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{m(N_3) N_3 m(N_4) \langle N_4 \rangle m(N_5) \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \cdots m(N_{2k+2}) \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-} N_2} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use the fact that, for any $p > 0$, such that $s + p \geq 1$ the function $m(x)x^p$ is increasing and $m(x)\langle x \rangle^p$ is bounded below, which implies $m(N_3)N_3 \gtrsim m(N)N = N$ and $m(N_4)\langle N_4 \rangle \gtrsim 1$, $m(N_i)\langle N_i \rangle^{1/2-} \gtrsim 1$, $i = 5, \dots, 2k+2$.

Case 4: $N_2 \sim N_3 \gtrsim N$ and $N_3 \geq N_4$. The condition $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$ implies $N_1 \lesssim N_2$. We again bound the multiplier (4.2) pointwise by (4.3). To obtain the decay N^{-2+} we split this case into four subcases.

Case 4.a: $N_4 \gtrsim N$ and $N_4 \ll N_3$. From (4.3), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Term} &\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)m(N_3) \cdots m(N_{2k+2})} \prod_{i=\{1,3\}} \|I\phi_i\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\quad \times \|I\phi_2 I\phi_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \delta])} \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)m(N_3) \cdots m(N_{2k+2}) N_2^{1/2} N_2 N_3 N_4 \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \cdots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \\ &\quad \times \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \frac{N_{\max}^{0-}}{m(N_2)N_2^{\frac{3}{4}-}m(N_3)N_3^{\frac{3}{4}}m(N_4)N_4} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim N^{-\frac{5}{2}+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4.b: $N_4 \gtrsim N$ and $N_4 \sim N_3$. Applying the same arguments as above

Term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)m(N_3)\dots m(N_{2k+2})} \prod_{i=1}^4 \|I\phi_i\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,\delta])} \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\delta])} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)m(N_3)\dots m(N_{2k+2})N_2 N_3 N_4 \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \dots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \\
&\quad \times \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_{\max}^{0-}}{m(N_2)N_2^{\frac{2}{3}-}m(N_3)N_3^{\frac{2}{3}}m(N_4)N_4^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4.c: $N_4 \ll N$ and $N_1 \ll N_2$. Again using the bound (4.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

Term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)\dots m(N_{2k+2})} \|I\phi_1 I\phi_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\delta])} \|I\phi_3 I\phi_4\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\delta])} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0,\delta])} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_1 m(N_1)}{m(N_2)m(N_3)m(N_4)N_2^{1/2}N_3^{1/2}N_2 N_3 \langle N_4 \rangle \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \dots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_{\max}^{0-}}{m(N_2)N_2^{1-}m(N_3)N_3 m(N_4)\langle N_4 \rangle} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\
&\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4.d: $N_4 \ll N$ and $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \gtrsim N$. In this case, we use an argument inspired by Pecher [25, Proposition 5.1]. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$, two of the large

frequencies have different sign, say, ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Indeed, if all have the same size, we obtain $|\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3| \geq |\xi_3| \gg |\xi_4 + \dots + \xi_{2k+2}|$, a contradiction with $\sum_{i=1}^{2k+2} \xi_i = 0$. Thus,

$$|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \leq 2|\xi_1|$$

and

$$|\xi_1 + \xi_2| = |\xi_3 + \xi_4| \sim |\xi_1|.$$

Therefore, using the bound (4.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

Term

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \frac{N_1^{1/2} m(N_1)}{m(N_2) \dots m(N_{2k+2})} \left\| (D_x^{1/2} I\phi_1) I\phi_2 \right\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \|I\phi_3 I\phi_4\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \delta])} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_1^{1/2} m(N_1)}{m(N_2) m(N_3) m(N_4) N_3^{1/2} N_3 \langle N_4 \rangle \langle N_5 \rangle^{1/2-} \dots \langle N_{2k+2} \rangle^{1/2-}} \left\| (D_x^{1/2} I\phi_1) I\phi_2 \right\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=3}^4 \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{j=5}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_j\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\lesssim \frac{N_{\max}^{0-}}{m(N_2) N_2^{1-} m(N_3) N_3 m(N_4) \langle N_4 \rangle} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2K+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \\ &\lesssim N^{-2+} N_{\max}^{0-} \|I\phi_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta} \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \|I\phi_i\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have estimated $\|(D_x^{1/2} I\phi_1) I\phi_2\|_{L^2_{x,t}}$ via Lemma 2.1. □

5. GLOBAL THEORY

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need to establish a variant of local well-posedness result for the modified equation (3.2). Clearly if $Iu \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (3.2), then $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (1.1) in the same time interval.

Next we prove a local existence result for this modified equation. Since we do not have scaling invariance we also need to estimate the solution existence time. The crucial nonlinear estimate for the local existence is given in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *If $s > \frac{4k-5}{4(2k-1)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\| |u|^{2k} u \|_{X_{s,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s, \frac{1}{2}+}}^{2k+1}, \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that, for all $s > 0$,

$$\langle \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{2k+1} \rangle^s \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^s + \dots + \langle \xi_{2k+1} \rangle^s \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Thus, by duality and a Leibniz rule, (5.1) follows from

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J^s \psi_1 \prod_{i=2}^{2k+2} \psi_i \, dx \, dt \right| \lesssim \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k+1} \|\psi_i\|_{X_{s, \frac{1}{2}+}} \right) \|\psi_{2k+2}\|_{X_{0,0}}. \tag{5.2}$$

First, we use Hölder's inequality on the left hand side of (5.1), taking the factors in $L^6_{x,t}, L^{12}_{x,t}, L^{4(2k-1)}_{x,t}, \dots, L^2_{x,t}$. Thus, applying the Sobolev embedding and the

Strichartz inequality (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^s \psi_1\|_{L_{x,t}^6(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} &\lesssim \|J^s \psi_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} = \|\psi_1\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}, \\ \|\psi_2\|_{L_{x,t}^{12}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_2\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} \lesssim \|J^{\frac{1}{4}} \psi_2\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|\psi_2\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}, \\ \|\psi_3\|_{L_{x,t}^{4(2k-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{4k-5}{4(2k-1)}} \psi_3\|_{L_t^{4(2k-1)} L_x^{\frac{2k-1}{k-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} \\ &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{4k-5}{4(2k-1)}} \psi_3\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi_3\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments as the one above, for $j = 4, 5, \dots, 2k + 1$, we obtain

$$\|\psi_j\|_{L_{x,t}^{4(2k-1)}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} \lesssim \|\psi_j\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Finally, applying the trivial estimate (2.3) we have

$$\|\psi_{2k+2}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \leq \|\psi_{2k+2}\|_{X_{0,0}}.$$

Therefore, the above inequalities together with the fact that $\frac{1}{4} < \frac{4k-5}{2(2k-1)} < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $k > 2$ yield (5.1). \square

Remark 5.2. It should be interesting to prove inequality (5.1) for $s > 1/2 - 1/k$. As a consequence, one can recover all the well known range of existence for the local theory given in Farah [14] in terms of the $X_{s,b}$ spaces.

Applying the interpolation lemma (see [10], Lemma 12.1) to (5.1) we obtain

$$\|I(|u|^{2k}u)\|_{X_{1,0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^{2k+1}.$$

where the implicit constant is independent of N . Now standard arguments invoking the contraction-mapping principle give the following variant of local well posedness.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume $s < 1$, $(\phi, \psi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ be given. Then there exists a positive number δ such that IVP (3.2) has a unique local solution $Iu \in C([0, \delta], H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ such that*

$$\max\{\|(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_t Iu\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}, \|Iu\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^\delta}\} \leq C(\|I\phi\|_{H^1} + \|I\psi\|_{L^2}). \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, the existence time can be estimates by

$$\delta^{1/2-} \sim \frac{1}{(\|I\phi\|_{H^1} + \|I\psi\|_{L^2})^{2k}}. \tag{5.4}$$

We should note that the power $\frac{1}{2}-$ in (5.4) is also closely related to the index s obtained in our global result. Since we need to iterate at the very end of the method, we would like to maximize the time of existence δ (given in the above theorem) in each step of iteration. Since the denominator in the right hand side of (5.4) is very large the only way to do that is to maximize the power of δ in the left hand side. By standards linear estimates in $X_{s,b}$ spaces (see [16, Lemma 4.2]) the best that we can obtain is $1/2-$.

Now, we have the tools to prove our global result stated in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\phi, \psi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $1/2 \leq s < 1$. Our goal is to construct a solution to (3.2) (and therefore to (1.1)) on an arbitrary time interval $[0, T]$. From the definition of the multiplier I we have

$$\|I\phi\|_{H^1} \leq cN^{1-s}\|\phi\|_{H^s}, \quad \|I\psi\|_{L^2} \leq cN^{1-s}\|\psi\|_{H^{s-1}}.$$

Therefore, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\max\{\|I\phi\|_{H^1}, \|I\psi\|_{L^2}\} \leq c_1N^{1-s}.$$

We use our local existence theorem on $[0, \delta]$, where $\delta^{1/2-} \sim N^{-2k(1-s)}$ and conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left\{\|Iu\|_{X_{1, \frac{1}{2}+}^s}, \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t Iu\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2}+}^s}\right\} &\leq c(\|I\phi\|_{H^1} + \|I\psi\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq c_2N^{1-s}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

From the conservation law (1.3), we obtain

$$\|Iu(\delta)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t Iu(\delta)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_3E(Iu(\delta)). \tag{5.6}$$

On the other hand, since $\|f\|_{L^{2k+2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s}$, for $s > \frac{k}{2(k+1)}$ (note that $\frac{k}{2(k+1)} < \frac{1}{2}$), we have

$$E(Iu(0)) \leq cN^{2(1-s)} + c\|\phi\|_{L^{2k+2}}^{2k+2} \leq c_4N^{2(1-s)}.$$

By the almost conservation law stated in Proposition 4.1 and (5.5), we have

$$E(Iu(\delta)) \leq E(Iu(0)) + cN^{-2+}N^{4(1-s)} < 2c_4N^{2(1-s)}.$$

We iterate this process M times obtaining

$$E(Iu(\delta)) \leq E(Iu(0)) + cMN^{-2+}N^{4(1-s)} < 2c_4N^{2(1-s)}, \tag{5.7}$$

as long as $cMN^{-2+}N^{4(1-s)} < c_4N^{2(1-s)}$, which implies that the lifetime of the local results remains uniformly of size $\delta^{1/2-} \sim N^{-2k(1-s)}$.

Given a time $T > 0$, the number of iteration steps to reach this time is $T\delta^{-1}$. Therefore, to carry out $T\delta^{-1}$ iterations on time intervals, before the quantity $E(Iu)(t)$ doubles, the following condition has to be fulfilled

$$N^{-2+}N^{(2k+2)(1-s)}T\delta^{-1} \ll N^{2-2s}. \tag{5.8}$$

Since $\delta^{1/2-} \sim N^{-2k(1-s)}$, the condition (5.8) can be obtained for

$$T \sim N^{(6ks-6k+2)-}. \tag{5.9}$$

Remark 5.4. Note that the exponent of N on the right hand side of (5.9) is positive provided $s > 1 - (1/3k)$, hence the definition of N makes sense for arbitrary large T .

Finally, we need to establish the polynomial bound (1.4). By our choice of N , relation (3.1) and (5.6) imply for $T \gg 1$ that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2, \|(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \right\} \lesssim E(Iu(T)) \lesssim N^{2(1-s)} \sim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{6ks-6k+2}+}$$

which implies the polynomial bound (1.4). □

Acknowledgments. The authors want to thank Felipe Linares for helpful comments and suggestions which improved the presentation of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Angulo and M. Scialom. Improved blow-up of solutions of a generalized Boussinesq equation. *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 18(3):333–341, 371, 1999.
- [2] M. Beals. Self-spreading and strength of singularities for solutions to semilinear wave equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(1): 187–214, 1983.
- [3] J. L. Bona and R. L. Sachs. Global existence of smooth solutions and stability of solitary waves for a generalized Boussinesq equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(1): 15–29, 1988.
- [4] J. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I and II. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3(3): 107–156, 209–262, 1993.
- [5] J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz’ inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (5): 253–283, 1998.
- [6] J. Boussinesq. Théorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d’un canal rectangulaire horizontal, en communiquant au liquide continu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement pareilles de la surface au fond. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 17(2): 55–108, 1872.
- [7] T. Cazenave, F. B. Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s . *Nonlinear Anal.*, 14(10): 807–836, 1990.
- [8] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao. Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(5-6): 659–682, 2002.
- [9] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao. Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{T} . *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(3): 705–749 (electronic), 2003.
- [10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao. Multilinear estimates for periodic KdV equations, and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 211(1): 173–218, 2004.
- [11] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Resonant decompositions and the I -method for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger on \mathbb{R}^2 . *Disc. Cont. Dynam. Systems A*, 21: 665–686, 2008.
- [12] F. Falk, E. Laedke, and K. Spatschek. Stability of solitary-wave pulses in shape-memory alloys. *Phys. Rev. B*, 36(6): 3031–3041, 1987.
- [13] Y.-F. Fang and M. G. Grillakis. Existence and uniqueness for Boussinesq type equations on a circle. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 21(7-8): 1253–1277, 1996.
- [14] L. G. Farah. Local solutions in Sobolev spaces and unconditional well-posedness for the generalized Boussinesq equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, 08: 1521–1539, 2009.
- [15] L. G. Farah. Local solutions in Sobolev spaces with negative indices for the “good” Boussinesq equation. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 34:52–73, 2009.
- [16] L. G. Farah, F. Linares. Global rough solutions to the cubic nonlinear Boussinesq equation. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 81: 241–254, 2010.
- [17] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, and G. Velo. On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 151(2): 384–436, 1997.
- [18] A. Grünrock. A bilinear Airy-estimate with application to gKdV-3. *Differential Integral Equations*, 18(12): 1333–1339, 2005.
- [19] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2): 573–603, 1996.
- [20] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. Quadratic forms for the 1-D semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(8): 3323–3353, 1996.
- [21] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon. Smoothing estimates for null forms and applications. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (9): 383ff., approx. 7 pp. (electronic), 1994.
- [22] F. Linares. Global existence of small solutions for a generalized Boussinesq equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 106(2): 257–293, 1993.
- [23] Y. Liu. Instability and blow-up of solutions to a generalized Boussinesq equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 26(6): 1527–1546, 1995.
- [24] T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi. Space-time estimates for null gauge forms and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 11(2): 201–222, 1998.
- [25] H. Pecher. The Cauchy problem for a Schrödinger-Korteweg-de Vries system with rough data. *Differential Integral Equations*, 18(10): 1147–1174, 2005.
- [26] J. Rauch and M. Reed. Nonlinear microlocal analysis of semilinear hyperbolic systems in one space dimension. *Duke Math. J.*, 49(2): 397–475, 1982.

- [27] M. Tsutsumi and T. Matabashi. On the Cauchy problem for the Boussinesq type equation. *Math. Japon.*, 36(2): 371–379, 1991.
- [28] V. Zakharov. On stochastization of one-dimensional chains of nonlinear oscillators. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 38: 108–110, 1974.

LUIZ G. FARAH
ICEX, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS, AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS, 6627, CAIXA POSTAL
702, 30123-970, BELO HORIZONTE-MG, BRAZIL
E-mail address: lgfarah@gmail.com

HONGWEI WANG
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, CHINA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XINXIANG COLLEGE, XINXIANG 453003, CHINA
E-mail address: wang.hw@stu.xjtu.edu.cn