

EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A DISCRETE NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

GHASEM A. AFROUZI, ARMIN HADJIAN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we show the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a discrete nonlinear boundary value problem involving the p -Laplacian. Our approach is based on critical point theorems in finite dimensional Banach spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that in fields of research, such as computer science, mechanical engineering, control systems, artificial or biological neural networks, economics and many others, the mathematical modelling of important questions leads naturally to the consideration of nonlinear difference equations. For this reason, in recent years, many authors have widely developed various methods and techniques, such as fixed point theorems, upper and lower solutions, and Brouwer degree, to study discrete problems (see, e.g., [5, 6, 14, 16, 17, 21, 24] and references therein). Recently, also the critical point theory has aroused the attention of many authors in the study of these problems (see, e.g., [2, 3, 7, 9, 13, 18, 19]).

Let N be a positive integer, denote with $[1, N]$ the discrete interval $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1})) + q_k \phi_p(u_k) &= \lambda f(k, u_k), \quad k \in [1, N], \\ u_0 &= u_{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where, $f : [1, N] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $\Delta u_{k-1} := u_k - u_{k-1}$ is the forward difference operator, $q_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in [1, N]$, $\phi_p(s) := |s|^{p-2}s$, $1 < p < +\infty$ and λ is a positive parameter.

In the present article, first we obtain the existence of at least one solution for problem (1.1). It is worth noticing that, usually, to obtain the existence of one solution, asymptotic conditions both at zero and at infinity on the nonlinear term are requested, while, here, it is assumed only a unique algebraic condition (see (3.5) in Corollary 3.6). As a consequence, by combining with the classical Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (see [4]), the existence of two solutions is obtained (see Theorem 4.1). Subsequently, an existence result of three non-negative solutions is obtained combining two algebraic conditions which guarantee the existence of two

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A05, 34B15.

Key words and phrases. Discrete nonlinear boundary value problem; p -Laplacian; multiple solutions; critical point theory.

©2014 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 12, 2013. Published January 29, 2014.

local minima for the Euler-Lagrange functional and applying the mountain pass theorem as given by Pucci and Serrin (see [20]) to ensure the existence of the third critical point (see Theorem 4.3).

Our approach is variational and the main tool is a local minimum theorem established in [8], of whose two its consequences are here applied (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). We also refer the interested reader to the papers [1, 10, 11, 12, 15] and references therein, in which the Ricceri Variational Principle and its variants have been successfully used to obtain the existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems.

Further, we state two special cases of our results. First, combining Remark 3.5 and Theorem 4.1, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $g(0) \neq 0$ and*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} = +\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Let $G(t) := \int_0^t g(\xi)d\xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume that

(AR) there exist constants $\nu > 2$ and $R > 0$ such that, for all $|\xi| \geq R$, one has

$$0 < \nu G(\xi) \leq \xi g(\xi).$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in]0, \frac{2}{N(N+1)} \sup_{\gamma > 0} \frac{\gamma^2}{\max_{|\xi| \leq \gamma} G(\xi)} [,$$

the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^2 u_{k-1} + q_k u_k &= \lambda g(u_k), \quad k \in [1, N], \\ u_0 &= u_{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

admits at least two non-trivial solutions.

Instead, Theorem 4.3 gives the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} &= +\infty, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 g(x)dx &< \frac{1}{2(N+1)} \int_0^2 g(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \frac{1}{N}] \frac{4}{\int_0^2 g(x)dx}, \frac{2}{(N+1) \int_0^1 g(x)dx} [,$$

the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^2 u_{k-1} &= \lambda g(u_k), \quad k \in [1, N], \\ u_0 &= u_{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

admits at least three non-negative solutions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our main tools are Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, consequences of the existence result of a local minimum theorem [8, Theorem 3.1] which is inspired by the Ricceri Variational Principle [23].

For a given non-empty set X , and two functionals $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the following functions

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) := \inf_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \Psi(u) - \Psi(v)}{r_2 - \Phi(v)},$$

$$\rho_2(r_1, r_2) := \sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \frac{\Psi(v) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_1])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(v) - r_1},$$

for all $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $r_1 < r_2$, and

$$\rho(r) := \sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)} \frac{\Psi(v) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(v) - r},$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([8, Theorem 5.1]). *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive and continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* ; $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Put $I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda\Psi$ and assume that there are $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $r_1 < r_2$, such that*

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) < \rho_2(r_1, r_2). \quad (2.1)$$

Then, for each λ in the interval $]\frac{1}{\rho_2(r_1, r_2)}, \frac{1}{\beta(r_1, r_2)}[$ there is $u_{0, \lambda}$ in $\Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])$ such that $I_\lambda(u_{0, \lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(u)$ for all $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])$ and $I'_\lambda(u_{0, \lambda}) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 ([8, Theorem 5.3]). *Let X be a real Banach space; $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X ; $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Fix $\inf_X \Phi < r < \sup_X \Phi$ and assume that*

$$\rho(r) > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

and for each $\lambda > \frac{1}{\rho(r)}$ the function $I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda\Psi$ is coercive. Then, for each $\lambda > \frac{1}{\rho(r)}$ there is $u_{0, \lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)$ such that $I_\lambda(u_{0, \lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(u)$ for all $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)$ and $I'_\lambda(u_{0, \lambda}) = 0$.

Remark 2.3. It is worth noticing that whenever X is a finite dimensional Banach space, a careful reading of the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 shows that regarding to the regularity of the derivative of Φ and Ψ , it is enough to require only that Φ' and Ψ' are two continuous functionals on X^* .

Now, consider the N -dimensional Banach space

$$S := \{u : [0, N + 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u_0 = u_{N+1} = 0\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} |\Delta u_{k-1}|^p + q_k |u_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In the sequel, we will use the inequality

$$\max_{k \in [1, N]} |u_k| \leq \frac{(N + 1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \|u\| \tag{2.3}$$

for every $u \in S$. It immediately follows, for instance, from [18, Lemma 2.2]. Put

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{\|u\|^p}{p}, \quad \Psi(u) := \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, u_k), \quad I_\lambda(u) := \Phi(u) - \lambda\Psi(u) \tag{2.4}$$

for every $u \in S$, where $F(k, t) := \int_0^t f(k, \xi) d\xi$ for every $(k, t) \in [1, N] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Standard arguments show that $I_\lambda \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ as well as that critical points of I_λ are exactly the solutions of problem (1.1). In fact, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I'_\lambda(u)(v) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} [\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1})\Delta v_{k-1} + q_k|u_k|^{p-2}u_kv_k - \lambda f(k, u_k)v_k] \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^N [\Delta(\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1}))v_k - q_k|u_k|^{p-2}u_kv_k + \lambda f(k, u_k)v_k] \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in S$ (see [18] for more details).

Finally, for the reader's convenience we recall [9, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3] in order to get positive solutions to problem (1.1), i.e. $u_k > 0$ for all $k \in [1, N]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u \in S$ and assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (A1) $-\Delta(\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1})) + q_k\phi_p(u_k) \geq 0$ for all $k \in [1, N]$;
- (A2) if $u_k \leq 0$, then $-\Delta(\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1})) + q_k\phi_p(u_k) = 0$.

Then, either $u > 0$ in $[1, N]$ or $u \equiv 0$.

Remark 2.5. If $f : [1, N] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative function, then, owing to Lemma 2.4 part (A1), all solutions of problem (1.1) are either zero or positive. Now, let $f : [1, N] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f(k, 0) = 0$ for all $k \in [0, N]$. Put

$$f^*(k, t) := \begin{cases} f(k, t), & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, f^* is a continuous function. Owing to Lemma 2.4 part (A2), all solutions of problem $(P_\lambda^{f^*, q})$ are either zero or positive, and hence are also solutions for (1.1). Hence, we emphasize that when $(P_\lambda^{f^*, q})$ admits non-trivial solutions, then problem (1.1) admits positive solutions, independently of the sign of f .

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we establish an existence result of at least one solution, Theorem 3.1, which is based on Theorem 2.1, and we point out some consequences, Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and Corollary 3.6. Finally, we present an other existence result of at least one solution, Theorem 3.7, which is based in turn on Theorem 2.2.

Put $Q := \sum_{k=1}^N q_k$. For every two non-negative constants γ, δ , with

$$(2\gamma)^p \neq (2 + Q)(N + 1)^{p-1}\delta^p,$$

we set

$$a_\gamma(\delta) := \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t) - \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2\gamma)^p - (2 + Q)(N + 1)^{p-1}\delta^p}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that there exist three real constants γ_1, γ_2 and δ , with*

$$0 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \delta < \gamma_2, \quad (3.1)$$

such that

$$a_{\gamma_2}(\delta) < a_{\gamma_1}(\delta). \quad (3.2)$$

Then, for each $\lambda \in \frac{1}{p(N+1)^{p-1}}] \frac{1}{a_{\gamma_1}(\delta)}, \frac{1}{a_{\gamma_2}(\delta)}[$, problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\bar{u} \in S$, such that

$$\frac{2\gamma_1}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} < \|\bar{u}\| < \frac{2\gamma_2}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}.$$

Proof. Take the real Banach space S as defined in Section 2, and put Φ, Ψ, I_λ as in (2.4). Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.1 to function I_λ , since critical points of I_λ are solutions to our problem. An easy computation ensures the regularity assumptions required on Φ and Ψ ; see Remark 2.3. Therefore, it remains to verify assumption (2.1). To this end, we put

$$r_1 := \frac{(2\gamma_1)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}}, \quad r_2 := \frac{(2\gamma_2)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}},$$

and pick $w \in S$, defined as follows:

$$w_k := \begin{cases} \delta, & \text{if } k \in [1, N], \\ 0, & \text{if } k = 0, k = N + 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, one has

$$\Phi(w) = \frac{2+Q}{p} \delta^p.$$

From the condition (3.1), we obtain $r_1 < \Phi(w) < r_2$. For all $u \in S$ such that $\Phi(u) < r_2$, taking (2.3) into account, one has $|u_k| < \gamma_2$ for all $k \in [1, N]$, from which it follows

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_2]} \Psi(u) = \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_2]} \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, u_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_2} F(k, t).$$

Arguing as before, we obtain

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_1]} \Psi(u) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_1} F(k, t).$$

Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(r_1, r_2) &\leq \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_2]} \Psi(u) - \Psi(w)}{r_2 - \Phi(w)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_2} F(k, t) - \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{\frac{(2\gamma_2)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}} - \frac{2+Q}{p} \delta^p} \\ &= p(N+1)^{p-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_2} F(k, t) - \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2\gamma_2)^p - (2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \delta^p} \\ &= p(N+1)^{p-1} a_{\gamma_2}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(r_1, r_2) &\geq \frac{\Psi(w) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r_1])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(w) - r_1} \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta) - \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_1} F(k, t)}{\frac{2+Q}{p} \delta^p - \frac{(2\gamma_1)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}}} \\ &= p(N+1)^{p-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma_1} F(k, t) - \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2\gamma_1)^p - (2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \delta^p} \\ &= p(N+1)^{p-1} a_{\gamma_1}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the assumption (3.2), one has $\beta(r_1, r_2) < \rho_2(r_1, r_2)$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.1, for each $\lambda \in \frac{1}{p(N+1)^{p-1}} [a_{\gamma_1}(\delta), \frac{1}{a_{\gamma_2}(\delta)}]$, the functional I_λ admits at least one critical point \bar{u} such that

$$r_1 < \Phi(\bar{u}) < r_2,$$

that is

$$\frac{2\gamma_1}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} < \|\bar{u}\| < \frac{2\gamma_2}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}},$$

and the conclusion is achieved. \square

Now, we point out an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that there exist two positive constants γ, δ , with*

$$\delta < \frac{2}{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} \gamma,$$

for which

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)}{(2\gamma)^p} < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \delta^p}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{(2+Q)\delta^p}{p \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}, \frac{(2\gamma)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)} \right],$$

problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\bar{u} \in S$, such that $|\bar{u}_k| < \gamma$ for all $k \in [1, N]$.

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1, by taking $\gamma_1 := 0$ and $\gamma_2 := \gamma$. Indeed, owing to the inequality (3.3), one has

$$a_\gamma(\delta) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t) - \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2\gamma)^p - (2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \delta^p} < \frac{1}{(2\gamma)^p} \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t).$$

On the other hand, one has

$$a_0(\delta) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \delta^p}.$$

Now, inequality (3.3) yields $a_\gamma(\delta) < a_0(\delta)$. Hence, taking (2.3) into account, Theorem 3.1 ensures the conclusion. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \xi)}{\xi^p} = +\infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, for each $\gamma > 0$, set

$$\lambda_\gamma^* := \frac{2^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}} \frac{\gamma^p}{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)}.$$

Then, for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\gamma^*[$, problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\bar{u} \in S$, such that $|\bar{u}_k| < \gamma$ for all $k \in [1, N]$.

Proof. Fix $\gamma > 0$ and $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\gamma^*[$. From (3.4) there exists a positive constant δ with

$$\delta < \frac{2}{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} \gamma,$$

such that

$$\frac{(2+Q)\delta^p}{p \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)} < \lambda < \frac{(2\gamma)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)}.$$

Hence, owing to Theorem 3.2, for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\gamma^*[$ problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\bar{u} \in S$, such that $|\bar{u}_k| < \gamma$ for all $k \in [1, N]$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.4. We claim that under the above assumptions, the mapping $\lambda \mapsto I_\lambda(\bar{u})$ is negative and strictly decreasing in $]0, \lambda_\gamma^*[$. Indeed, the restriction of the functional I_λ to $\Phi^{-1}(]0, r_2[)$, where $r_2 := \frac{(2\gamma_2)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}}$, admits a global minimum, which is a critical point (local minimum) of I_λ in S . Moreover, since $w \in \Phi^{-1}(]0, r_2[)$ and

$$\frac{\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)} = \frac{(2+Q)\delta^p}{p \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)} < \lambda,$$

we have

$$I_\lambda(\bar{u}) \leq I_\lambda(w) = \Phi(w) - \lambda\Psi(w) < 0.$$

Next, we observe that

$$I_\lambda(u) = \lambda \left(\frac{\Phi(u)}{\lambda} - \Psi(u) \right),$$

for every $u \in S$ and fix $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_\gamma^*$. Set

$$m_{\lambda_1} := \left(\frac{\Phi(\bar{u}_1)}{\lambda_1} - \Psi(\bar{u}_1) \right) = \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]0, r_2[)} \left(\frac{\Phi(u)}{\lambda_1} - \Psi(u) \right),$$

$$m_{\lambda_2} := \left(\frac{\Phi(\bar{u}_2)}{\lambda_2} - \Psi(\bar{u}_2) \right) = \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]0, r_2[)} \left(\frac{\Phi(u)}{\lambda_2} - \Psi(u) \right).$$

Clearly, as claimed before, $m_{\lambda_i} < 0$ (for $i = 1, 2$), and $m_{\lambda_2} \leq m_{\lambda_1}$ thanks to $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Then the mapping $\lambda \mapsto I_\lambda(\bar{u})$ is strictly decreasing in $]0, \lambda_\gamma^*[$ owing to

$$I_{\lambda_2}(\bar{u}_2) = \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_2} \leq \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_1} < \lambda_1 m_{\lambda_1} = I_{\lambda_1}(\bar{u}_1).$$

This concludes the proof of our claim.

Remark 3.5. In other words, Theorem 3.3 ensures that if the asymptotic condition at zero (3.4) is verified then, for every parameter λ belonging to the real interval $]0, \lambda^*[$, where

$$\lambda^* := \frac{2^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}} \sup_{\gamma > 0} \frac{\gamma^p}{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)},$$

problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\bar{u} \in S$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\alpha : [1, N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative and non-zero function and let $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $g(0) = 0$. Assume that there exist two positive constants γ, δ , with

$$\delta < \frac{2}{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} \gamma,$$

for which

$$\frac{\max_{0 \leq t \leq \gamma} G(t)}{\gamma^p} < \left(\frac{2^p}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1}} \right) \frac{G(\delta)}{\delta^p}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $G(t) := \int_0^t g(\xi) d\xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left[\frac{1}{p \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k} \right] \frac{(2+Q)\delta^p}{G(\delta)}, \frac{(2\gamma)^p}{(N+1)^{p-1} \max_{0 \leq t \leq \gamma} G(t)} \Big],$$

the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(\phi_p(\Delta u_{k-1})) + q_k \phi_p(u_k) &= \lambda \alpha_k g(u_k), \quad k \in [1, N], \\ u_0 = u_{N+1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

admits at least one positive solution $\bar{u} \in S$, such that $\bar{u}_k < \gamma$ for all $k \in [1, N]$.

Proof. Put

$$f(k, t) := \begin{cases} \alpha_k g(t), & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

for every $k \in [1, N]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2 owing to (3.5) and taking into account Lemma 2.4 part (A2). \square

Finally, we present an application of Theorem 2.2 which we will use in next section to obtain multiple solutions.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that there exist two real constants $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}$, with

$$0 < \bar{\gamma} < \frac{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \bar{\delta},$$

such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \bar{\gamma}} F(k, t) < \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \bar{\delta}), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(k, \xi)}{|\xi|^p} \leq 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } k. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, for each $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, where

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \frac{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \bar{\delta}^p - (2\bar{\gamma})^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \bar{\delta}) - \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \bar{\gamma}} F(k, t) \right)},$$

problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution $\tilde{u} \in S$, such that $\|\tilde{u}\| > \frac{2\bar{\gamma}}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}$.

Proof. Take the real Banach space S as defined in Section 2, and put Φ, Ψ, I_λ as in (2.4). Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.2 to function I_λ . The functionals Φ and Ψ satisfy all regularity assumptions requested in Theorem 2.2; see Remark 2.3. Moreover, by standard computations, the assumption (3.8) implies that I_λ , $\lambda > 0$, is coercive. So, our aim is to verify condition (2.2) of Theorem 2.2. To this end, we put

$$r := \frac{(2\bar{\gamma})^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1}},$$

and pick $w \in S$, defined as

$$w_k := \begin{cases} \bar{\delta}, & \text{if } k \in [1, N], \\ 0, & \text{if } k = 0, k = N + 1. \end{cases}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain that

$$\rho(r) \geq p(N+1)^{p-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \bar{\delta}) - \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \bar{\gamma}} F(k, t)}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \bar{\delta}^p - (2\bar{\gamma})^p}.$$

So, from our assumption it follows that $\rho(r) > 0$.

Hence, from Theorem 2.2 for each $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, the functional I_λ admits at least one local minimum \tilde{u} such that $\|\tilde{u}\| > 2\bar{\gamma}/((N+1)^{(p-1)/p})$ and the conclusion is achieved. \square

4. MULTIPLICITY RESULTS

The main aim of this section is to present multiplicity results. First, as consequence of Theorem 3.1, taking into account the classical theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz, we have the following multiplicity result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Assume also that $f(k, 0) \neq 0$ for some $k \in [1, N]$. Moreover, let*

(AR) *there exist constants $\nu > p$ and $R > 0$ such that, for all $|\xi| \geq R$ and for all $k \in [1, N]$, one has*

$$0 < \nu F(k, \xi) \leq \xi f(k, \xi). \quad (4.1)$$

Then, for each $\lambda \in \frac{1}{p(N+1)^{p-1}}]_{\frac{1}{a_{\gamma_1}(\delta)}, \frac{1}{a_{\gamma_2}(\delta)}}[$, problem (1.1) admits at least two non-trivial solutions \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 , such that

$$\frac{2\gamma_1}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}} < \|\bar{u}_1\| < \frac{2\gamma_2}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Fix λ as in the conclusion. So, Theorem 3.1 ensures that problem (1.1) admits at least one non-trivial solution \bar{u}_1 satisfying the condition (4.2) which is a local minimum of the functional I_λ .

Now, we prove the existence of the second local minimum distinct from the first one. To this end, we must show that the functional I_λ satisfies the hypotheses of the mountain pass theorem. Clearly, the functional I_λ is of class C^1 and $I_\lambda(0) = 0$.

We can assume that \bar{u}_1 is a strict local minimum for I_λ in S . Therefore, there is $\rho > 0$ such that $\inf_{\|u - \bar{u}_1\| = \rho} I_\lambda(u) > I_\lambda(\bar{u}_1)$, so condition [22, (I_1) , Theorem 2.2] is verified.

Integrating condition (4.1) shows that there exist constants $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(k, t) \geq a_1 |t|^\nu - a_2$$

for all $k \in [1, N]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, choosing any $u \in S \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(tu) &= (\Phi - \lambda\Psi)(tu) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \|tu\|^p - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, tu_k) \\ &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda t^\nu a_1 \sum_{k=1}^N |u_k|^\nu + \lambda a_2 N \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, so condition [22, (I_2), Theorem 2.2] is satisfied. So, the functional I_λ satisfies the geometry of mountain pass. Moreover, by standard computations, I_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Hence, the classical theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz ensures a critical point \bar{u}_2 of I_λ such that $I_\lambda(\bar{u}_2) > I_\lambda(\bar{u}_1)$. So, \bar{u}_1 and \bar{u}_2 are two distinct solutions of (1.1) and the proof is complete. \square

Next, as a consequence of Theorems 3.7 and 3.2, the following theorem of the existence of three solutions is obtained and its consequence for the nonlinearity with separable variables is presented.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that (3.8) holds. Moreover, assume that there exist four positive constants $\gamma, \delta, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}$, with*

$$\frac{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \delta < \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma} < \frac{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \bar{\delta},$$

such that (3.3), (3.7) and

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)}{(2\gamma)^p} < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \bar{\delta}) - \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \bar{\gamma}} F(k, t)}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1} \bar{\delta}^p - (2\bar{\gamma})^p}. \quad (4.3)$$

are satisfied. Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \Lambda =] \max \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}, \frac{(2+Q)\delta^p}{p \sum_{k=1}^N F(k, \delta)} \right\}, \frac{(2\gamma)^p}{p(N+1)^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \max_{|t| \leq \gamma} F(k, t)} [,$$

problem (1.1) admits at least three solutions.

Proof. First, we observe that $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ owing to (4.3). Next, fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Theorem 3.2 ensures a non-trivial solution \bar{u} such that $\|\bar{u}\| < \frac{2\gamma}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}$ which is a local minimum for the associated functional I_λ , as well as Theorem 3.7 guarantees a non-trivial solution \tilde{u} such that $\|\tilde{u}\| > \frac{2\bar{\gamma}}{(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}$ which is a local minimum for I_λ . Hence, the mountain pass theorem as given by Pucci and Serrin (see [20]) ensures the conclusion. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative continuous function such that*

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi^p} = +\infty, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(\xi)}{\xi^p} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Further, assume that there exist two positive constants $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}$, with

$$\bar{\gamma} < \frac{(2+Q)^{1/p}(N+1)^{(p-1)/p}}{2} \bar{\delta},$$

such that

$$\frac{G(\bar{\gamma})}{\bar{\gamma}^p} < \left(\frac{2^p}{(2+Q)(N+1)^{p-1}} \right) \frac{G(\bar{\delta})}{\bar{\delta}^p}. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left[\frac{1}{p \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k} \right] \frac{(2+Q)\bar{\delta}^p}{G(\bar{\delta})}, \frac{(2\bar{\gamma})^p}{(N+1)^{p-1}G(\bar{\gamma})} \left[, \right.$$

problem (3.6) admits at least three non-negative solutions.

Proof. Clearly, (4.5) implies (3.8). Moreover, by choosing δ small enough and $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}$, simple computations show that (4.4) implies (3.3). Finally, from (4.6) we get (3.7) and also (4.3). Hence, Theorem 4.2 ensures the conclusion. \square

Finally, we present two applications of our results.

Example 4.4. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^2 u_{k-1} + q_k u_k &= \lambda \left(\frac{1}{6} + |u_k|^2 u_k \right), \quad k \in [1, N], \\ u_0 &= u_{N+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Let $g(t) = \frac{1}{6} + |t|^2 t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, $g(0) \neq 0$. Since

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{6\xi} + |\xi|^2 \right) = +\infty,$$

condition (1.2) holds true. Choose $\nu = 3$ and $R = 1$, we have

$$0 < 3G(\xi) \leq \xi g(\xi),$$

for all $|\xi| \geq 1$. Moreover, one has

$$\frac{2}{N(N+1)} \sup_{\gamma > 0} \frac{\gamma^2}{\max_{|\xi| \leq \gamma} G(\xi)} = \frac{2}{N(N+1)} \sup_{\gamma > 0} \frac{12\gamma}{2+3\gamma^3} = \frac{6}{N(N+1)}.$$

Then, owing to Theorem 1.1, for each $\lambda \in]0, \frac{6}{N(N+1)}[$, problem (4.7) admits at least two non-trivial solutions.

Example 4.5. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^2 u_{k-1} &= \frac{1}{10} \left(\frac{u_k^8}{e^{u_k}} + 1 \right), \quad k \in [1, 3], \\ u_0 &= u_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, owing to Theorem 1.2, it admits three positive solutions. In fact, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{\xi^8}{e^\xi} + 1}{\xi} = +\infty, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{\xi^8}{e^\xi} + 1}{\xi} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, taking into account that

$$G(t) = t - \frac{\sum_{i=0}^8 \frac{8!}{i!} t^i}{e^t} + 8!, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

one has $G(1) < \frac{1}{8}G(2)$ and $\frac{4}{3G(2)} < \frac{1}{10} < \frac{1}{6G(1)}$.

Acknowledgments. This research work was supported by a research grant from the University of Mazandaran.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Afrouzi, A. Hadjian, S. Heidarkhani; *Non-trivial solutions for a two-point boundary value problem*, Ann. Polon. Math., **108** (2013), 75–84.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, K. Perera, D. O'Regan; *Multiple positive solutions of singular and nonsingular discrete problems via variational methods*, Nonlinear Anal., **58** (2004), 69–73.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, K. Perera, D. O'Regan; *Multiple positive solutions of singular discrete p -Laplacian problems via variational methods*, Adv. Difference Equ., Vol. **2005** (2005), 93–99.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [5] D. R. Anderson, I. Rachunková, C. C. Tisdell; *Solvability of discrete Neumann boundary value problems*, Adv. Differential Equations, **2** (2007), 93–99.
- [6] C. Bereanu, J. Mawhin; *Boundary value problems for second-order nonlinear difference equations with discrete ϕ -Laplacian and singular ϕ* , J. Difference Equ. Appl., **14** (2008), 1099–1118.
- [7] L.-H. Bian, H.-R. Sun, Q.-G. Zhang; *Solutions for discrete p -Laplacian periodic boundary value problems via critical point theory*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **18** (2012), 345–355.
- [8] G. Bonanno; *A critical point theorem via the Ekeland variational principle*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 2992–3007.
- [9] G. Bonanno, P. Candito; *Infinitely many solutions for a class of discrete non-linear boundary value problems*, Appl. Anal., **88** (2009), 605–616.
- [10] G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu; *Nonlinear elliptic problems on Riemannian manifolds and applications to Emden-Fowler type equations*, Manuscripta Math., **142** (2013), 157–185.
- [11] G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu; *Weak solutions and energy estimates for a class of nonlinear elliptic Neumann problems*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **13** (2013), 373–389.
- [12] G. Bonanno, A. Sciammetta; *Existence and multiplicity results to Neumann problems for elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **390** (2012), 59–67.
- [13] P. Candito, G. Molica Bisci; *Existence of two solutions for a second-order discrete boundary value problem*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **11** (2011), 443–453.
- [14] J. Chu, D. Jiang; *Eigenvalues and discrete boundary value problems for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **305** (2005), 452–465.
- [15] G. D'Agui; *Multiplicity results for nonlinear mixed boundary value problem*, Bound. Value Probl., Vol. **2012** (2012), No. 134, 1–12.
- [16] J. Henderson, H. B. Thompson; *Existence of multiple solutions for second order discrete boundary value problems*, Comput. Math. Appl., **43** (2002), 1239–1248.
- [17] D. Jiang, J. Chu, D. O'Regan, R. P. Agarwal; *Positive solutions for continuous and discrete boundary value problems to the one-dimensional p -Laplacian*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **7** (2004), 523–534.
- [18] L. Jiang, Z. Zhou; *Three solutions to Dirichlet boundary value problems for p -Laplacian difference equations*, Adv. Difference Equ., Vol. **2008** (2008), Article ID 345916, 1–10.
- [19] A. Kristály, M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu; *Discrete boundary value problems involving oscillatory nonlinearities: small and large solutions*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **17** (2011), 1431–1440.
- [20] P. Pucci, J. Serrin; *A mountain pass theorem*, J. Differential Equations, **63** (1985), 142–149.
- [21] I. Rachunková, C. C. Tisdell; *Existence of non-spurious solutions to discrete Dirichlet problems with lower and upper solutions*, Nonlinear Anal., **67** (2007), 1236–1245.
- [22] P. H. Rabinowitz; *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., Vol. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [23] B. Ricceri; *A general variational principle and some of its applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **113** (2000), 401–410.
- [24] Y. Tian, W. Ge; *Multiple positive solutions of boundary value problems for second-order discrete equations on the half-line*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **12** (2006), 191–208.

GHASEM A. AFROUZI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN

E-mail address: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

ARMIN HADJIAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN

E-mail address: a.hadjian@umz.ac.ir