*Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2016 (2016), No. 99, pp. 1–5. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

# GLOBAL REGULARITY CRITERIA FOR THE *n*-DIMENSIONAL BOUSSINESQ EQUATIONS WITH FRACTIONAL DISSIPATION

### ZUJIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the *n*-dimensional Boussinesq equations with fractional dissipation, and establish a regularity criterion in terms of the velocity gradient in Besov spaces with negative order.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the n-dimensional Boussinesq equations with fractional dissipation,

$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \Lambda^{2\alpha} \mathbf{u} + \nabla \Pi = \vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n},$$
  

$$\partial_{t} \vartheta + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \vartheta = 0,$$
  

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$$
  

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_{0}, \quad \vartheta(0) = \vartheta_{0},$$
  
(1.1)

where  $\mathbf{u} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the velocity field;  $\vartheta : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a scalar function representing the temperature in the content of thermal convection (see [8]) and the density in the modeling of geophysical fluids (see [9]);  $\Pi$  is the the fluid pressure;  $\mathbf{e}_n$  is the unit vector in the  $x_n$  direction; and  $\Lambda := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$  is a real number.

When  $\alpha = 1$ , Equation (1.1) reduces to the classical Boussinesq equations, which are frequently used in the atmospheric sciences and oceanographic turbulence where rotation and stratification are important (see [8, 9]). If  $\vartheta = 0$ , then (1.1) becomes the generalized Navier-Stokes equation, which was first considered by Lions [7], where he showed the global regularity once  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$ . One may refer the reader to [5, 10] for recent advances. Xiang-Yan [12], Yamazaki [13] and Ye [14] were able to extend Lions's result to system (1.1), where there is no diffusion in the  $\vartheta$ equation. And it remains an open problem for the global-in-time smooth for (1.1) with  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$ . The purpose of the present paper is to establish a blow-up criterion as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$ ,  $(\mathbf{u}_0, \vartheta_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $s > 1 + \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ . Assume that  $(\mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$  be the smooth local unique solution pair to (1.1) with initial data

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B65, 35Q30, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. Regularity criteria; Generalized Boussinesq equations;

fractional diffusion.

<sup>©2016</sup> Texas State University.

Submitted February 23, 2016. Published April 19, 2016.

 $(\mathbf{u}_0, \vartheta_0)$ . If additionally,

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-\gamma}}(0,T; \dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$
(1.2)

for some  $0 < \gamma < 2\alpha$ , then the solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$  can be extended smoothly beyond T.

Z. ZHANG

Here,  $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the homogeneous Besov space with negative order, which contains classical Lebesgue space  $L^{\frac{n}{\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , see [1, Chapter 2]. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, we shall frequently use the following refined Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

**Lemma 1.2** ([1, Theorem 2.42]). Let  $2 < q < \infty$  and  $\gamma$  be a positive real number. Then a constant C exists such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{q}} \le C \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma(\frac{q}{2}-1)}}^{2/q}.$$
(1.3)

**Remark 1.3.** Our result extends that of Kozono-Shimada [6]. Indeed, the Navier-Stokes equations corresponds to (1.1) with  $\vartheta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Remark 1.4.** In [3] (see also the end-point smallness condition in [2]), Geng-Fan proved a regularity criterion

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad (-1 < r < 1, \ r \neq 0)$$
(1.4)

for system (1.1) with  $\alpha = 1$  and n = 3. Thus our result generalizes (1.4) also, in view of the fact that

$$C_1 \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{B}^{-1-r}_{\infty,\infty}} \le \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{-r}_{\infty,\infty}} \le C_2 \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{B}^{-1-r}_{\infty,\infty}}.$$

Moreover, our result (1.2) is valid for (1.1) with arbitrarily large n and arbitrarily small  $\alpha$ .

Interested readers are referred to [11] for blow-up criterion for (1.1) without diffusion in the **u** equation.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is not difficult to prove that there exists a  $T_0 > 0$  and a unique smooth solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$  to (1.1) on  $[0, T_0]$ . We only need to establish the a priori estimates. Therefore, in the following calculations, we assume that the solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$  is sufficiently smooth on [0, T].

First, taking the inner product of  $(1.1)_1$  and  $(1.1)_2$  with  $\mathbf{u}, \vartheta$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \vartheta \mathbf{e}_n \cdot \mathbf{u} \,\mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{2}\|(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we deduce

$$\|(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \leq C.$$
(2.1)

EJDE-2016/99

For k>0, applying  $\varDelta^k$  to  $(1.1)_1,$  and testing the resulting equations by  $\varDelta^k {\bf u}$  respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} 
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{k} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] \cdot \Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{k} (\vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n}) \cdot \Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x 
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left\{ \Lambda^{k} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (\Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u}) \right\} \cdot \Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{k} (\vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n}) \cdot \Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x 
\equiv I_{1}^{k} + I_{2}^{k}.$$
(2.2)

We may use the following commutator estimates of Kato-Ponce [4]:

$$\|\Lambda^{k}(fg) - f\Lambda^{k}g\|_{L^{p}} \le C \left[ \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_{1}}} \|\Lambda^{k-1}g\|_{L^{p_{2}}} + \|\Lambda^{k}f\|_{L^{p_{3}}} \|g\|_{L^{p_{4}}} \right]$$
(2.3)

with

$$1 < p, p_2, p_3 < \infty, \quad 1 \le p_1, p_4 \le \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p_4}$$

to bound  ${\cal I}_1^k$  as

$$\begin{split} I_{1}^{k} &\leq C \|\Lambda^{k}[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}] - (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)(\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u})\|_{L^{\frac{4(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{4(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{\gamma}}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{4(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}} \cdot \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{4(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{k+\alpha-1}{\gamma}}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{k+\alpha-1}}^{\frac{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}} \left( \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-(k-1+\gamma)}_{\infty,\infty}}^{\frac{2(k+\gamma+\alpha-1)}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}^{\frac{k+\alpha-1}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}^{\frac{\gamma}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}}^{\frac{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}^{\frac{\gamma}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}}}^{(2.4)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \\ &\qquad \times \left( \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\gamma(k+\gamma-1)}{\alpha(2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2)}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha(2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2)}} \right)^{\frac{2k+\gamma+2\alpha-2}{k+\gamma+\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2\alpha-\gamma}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2\alpha-\gamma}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\Lambda^{k}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2\alpha-\gamma}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.4) in (2.2), we find

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \le C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{B}^{-\gamma}_{\infty,\infty}}^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-\gamma}} \|\Lambda^{k} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2I_{2}^{k}.$$
(2.5)

Now, we treat  $2I_2^k$  step by step. If  $0 < k \le \alpha$ , then

$$2I_{2}^{k} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n} \cdot \Lambda^{2k} \mathbf{u} \, dx$$
  

$$\leq 2 \|\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{2k} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}$$
  

$$\leq C \|\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}) \quad (H^{k+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subset \dot{H}^{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))$$
  

$$\leq C + \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \quad (by (2.1)).$$
(2.6)

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), we apply Gronwall inequality to deduce

$$\|\Lambda^{k}(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} + \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \le C \quad (0 < k \le \alpha).$$
(2.7)

Z. ZHANG

Suppose we have already the statement for some  $0 \leq l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|\Lambda^{k}(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} + \|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \leq C \quad (\forall \ l\alpha < k \leq (l+1)\alpha), \ (2.8)$$

we wish to deduce higher-order estimate

$$\|\Lambda^{k+\alpha}(\mathbf{u},\vartheta)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} + \|\Lambda^{k+2\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \leq C.$$
(2.9)

Indeed, as long as (2.8) holds, we may dominate  $2I_2^{k+\alpha}$  as

$$2I_{2}^{k+\alpha} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{k+\alpha}(\vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n}) \cdot \Lambda^{k+\alpha} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{k}(\vartheta \mathbf{e}_{n}) \cdot \Lambda^{k+2\alpha} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$\leq 2 \|\Lambda^{k} \vartheta\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{k+2\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}$$
  
$$\leq 2 \|\Lambda^{k} \vartheta\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{k+2\alpha} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
  
(2.10)

Putting (2.10) into (2.5) with k replaced by  $k + \alpha$ , and using (2.8), we deduce (2.9) as desired.

Now prove that (2.7) and (2.8) imply (2.9), we see readily that

$$\|\Lambda^{s}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} + \|\Lambda^{s+\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \le C.$$
(2.11)

With this good estimate of the velocity field, we are now in a position to treat that of  $\vartheta$ . Applying  $\Lambda^s$  to  $(1.1)_2$ , and testing the resultant equation by  $\Lambda^s \vartheta$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{s}[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\vartheta]\cdot\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\,\mathrm{d}x \\
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \{\Lambda^{s}[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\vartheta] - (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\}\cdot\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\,\mathrm{d}x \\
\leq C\Big(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} + \|\nabla\vartheta\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\Lambda^{s}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}\Big)\|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} \quad (by (2.3)) \\
\leq C\Big(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{s}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}\Big)\|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \Big(\|\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}}\Big)\|\Lambda^{s}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}\|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}} \\
\quad (by H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subset W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})) \\
\leq C + C\|\Lambda^{s}\vartheta\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \quad (by (2.1) \text{ and } (2.11)).$$
(2.12)

Applying Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|\Lambda^s \vartheta\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le C.$$

With this estimate and (2.11), we complete the proof.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi (grant no. 20151BAB201010), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 11501125, 11361004) and the Supporting the Development for Local Colleges and Universities Foundation of China – Applied Mathematics Innovative Team Building.

#### References

- H. Bahouri, Hajer, J. Y. Chemin, R. Danchin; Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] S. Gala, Z. G. Guo, M. A. Ragusa; A remark on the regularity criterion of Boussinesq equations with zero heat conductivity, Appl. Math. Lett., 27 (2014), 70–73.
- [3] J. B. Geng, J. S. Fan; A note on regularity criterion for the 3D Boussinesq system with zero thermal conductivity, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012), 63–66.
- [4] T. Kato, G. Ponce; Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1988), 891–907.
- N. H. Katz, N. Pavlović; A cheap Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality for the Navier-Stokes equation with hyper-dissipation, Geom. Funct. Anal., 12 (2002), 355–379.
- [6] H. Kozono, Y. Shimada; Bilinear estimates in homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and the Navier-Stokes equations, Math. Nachr., 276 (2004), 63–74.
- [7] J. L. Lions; Quelques méthodes de résolution des problémes aux limites non linéaires. Vol. 31. Paris: Dunod, 1969.
- [8] A. Majda; Introduction to PDEs and waves for the atmosphere and ocean. Courant lecture notes in mathematics. vol. 9, AMS/CIMS; 2003.
- [9] J. Pedlosky; Geophyical fluid dynamics, New York: Springer-Verlag; 1987.
- [10] T. Tao; Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equation, Anal. PDE, 2 (2009), 361–366.
- [11] Z. Y. Xiang, W. Yan; On the well-posedness of the Boussinesq equation in the Triebel-Lizorkin-Lorentz spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2012), Art. ID 573087, 17 pp.
- [12] Z. Y. Xiang, W. Yan; Global regularity of solutions to the Boussinesq equations with fractional diffusion, Adv. Differ. Equ., 18 (2013), 1105–1128.
- K. Yamazaki, On the global regularity of N-dimensional generalized Boussinesq system, Appl. Math., 60 (2015), 109–133.
- [14] Z. Ye; A note on global well-posedness of solutions to Boussinesq equations with fractional dissipation, Acta Math. Sin., 35 (2015), 112–120.

#### Zujin Zhang

School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, Jiangxi, China

E-mail address: zhangzujin361@163.com, phone (86) 07978393663