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# TRAVELING WAVE SOLUTIONS FOR THREE-SPECIES NONLOCAL COMPETITIVE-COOPERATIVE SYSTEMS 

HONG-JIE WU, BANG-SHENG HAN, SHAO-YUE MI, LIANG-BIN SHEN


#### Abstract

By using a two-point boundary-value problem and a Schauder's fixed point theorem, we obtain traveling wave solutions connecting $(0,0,0)$ to an unknown positive steady state for speed $c \geq c^{*}=\max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$. Then we present some asymptotic behaviors of traveling wave solutions. In particular we show that the nonlocal effects have a great influence on the final state of traveling wave solutions at $-\infty$.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the three-species nonlocal competitive-cooperative system

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t} & =d_{1} \Delta u+r_{1} u\left[1-a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)-b_{1} v-c_{1} w\right] \\
v_{t} & =d_{2} \Delta v+r_{2} v\left[1-a_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * v\right)+b_{2} w-c_{2} u\right]  \tag{1.1}\\
w_{t} & =d_{3} \Delta w+r_{3} w\left[1-a_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * w\right)+b_{3} v-c_{3} u\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\phi_{i} * u\right)(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{i}(x-y) u(y, t) d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2,3
$$

Here the unknown functions $u(x, t), v(x, t)$ and $w(x, t)$ represent the population densities of species at position $x$ and time $t$, and $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}, r_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are real constants. It is easy to see that the species $u$ competes with the species $v$ and $w$ which cooperate with each other from 1.1). The positive coefficients $d_{i}$, $r_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ indicate the diffusion rate and natural growth rate of $u, v, w$, respectively. The competition and cooperation coefficients for three species are denoted by the positive parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. To simplify the notations, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{t}{r_{1}} \rightarrow t, \quad \sqrt{\frac{d_{1}}{r_{1}}} x \rightarrow x, \quad a_{1} u \rightarrow u, \quad a_{2} v \rightarrow v, \quad a_{3} w \rightarrow w, \\
& \frac{b_{1}}{a_{2}} \rightarrow b_{1}, \quad \frac{c_{1}}{a_{3}} \rightarrow c_{1}, \quad \frac{b_{2}}{a_{3}} \rightarrow b_{2}, \quad \frac{c_{2}}{a_{1}} \rightarrow c_{2}, \quad \frac{b_{3}}{a_{2}} \rightarrow b_{3}, \\
& \frac{c_{3}}{a_{1}} \rightarrow c_{3}, \quad \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} \rightarrow d_{2}, \quad \frac{d_{3}}{d_{1}} \rightarrow d_{3}, \quad \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} \rightarrow r_{2}, \quad \frac{r_{3}}{r_{1}} \rightarrow r_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]then system (1.1) is converted to
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\Delta u+u\left[1-\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)-b_{1} v-c_{1} w\right] \\
v_{t}=d_{2} \Delta v+r_{2} v\left[1-\left(\phi_{2} * v\right)+b_{2} w-c_{2} u\right]  \tag{1.2}\\
w_{t}=d_{3} \Delta w+r_{3} w\left[1-\left(\phi_{3} * w\right)+b_{3} v-c_{3} u\right]
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

where the bounded kernel functions $\phi_{i}(x)(i=1,2,3)$ satisfy the assumptions:
(A1) $\phi_{i}(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{i}(x) d x=1, i=1,2,3$;
(A2) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{i}(y) e^{\lambda y} d y<\infty$ for each $\lambda \in\left(0, \max \left\{1, \sqrt{r_{2} / d_{2}}, \sqrt{r_{3} / d_{3}}\right\}\right)$.
In addition, as we shown in the paper, it suffices to assume
(A3) $0<b_{i}, c_{i}<1$ for $i=1,2,3$.
We point out that if $w=0$ in 1.2 , then the system of equations is reduced to a two-species nonlocal Lotka-Volterra competitive system whose traveling waves have been discussed by Han et al. [6]. When $u=0,41.2$ becomes the two-species nonlocal cooperative system which have been studied by Huang and Zou [10]. In summary, two-species Lotka-Volterra systems have been extensively considered [3, 4, 5, 8, 15, 16, 18, 30. Of course, there are studies on the traveling waves for threespecies competitive systems [17, 28], but little research on cooperative systems due to the technical treatments of cooperative systems are not as convenient as competitive systems. Leung and Hou et al. [13, 14] proved that the two-species Lotka-Volterra cooperative system can be transformed into a competitive system by using variable transformation which cannot be applied to 3-dimension system [1, 2, 22]. To mitigate this technical challenge, Hung [12] proposed the following classical three-species Lotka-Volterra competitive-cooperative system for the first time

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t} & =d_{1} u_{x x}+u\left(\lambda_{1}-c_{11} u-c_{12} v+c_{13} w\right), \\
v_{t} & =d_{2} v_{x x}+v\left(\lambda_{2}-c_{21} u-c_{22} v-c_{23} w\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
w_{t} & =d_{3} w_{x x}+w\left(\lambda_{3}+c_{31} u-c_{32} v-c_{33} w\right), \\
& x \in \mathbb{R}, t>0
\end{align*}
$$

where competition between species $u$ and $v\left(c_{12}, c_{21}>0\right)$, species $v$ and $w\left(c_{23}, c_{32}>\right.$ 0 ), and cooperation between species $u$ and $w\left(c_{13}, c_{31}>0\right)$. And by transforming 1.3) into a monotonic system, they proved the existence of the traveling wave solutions for (1.3). After that, Meng and Zhang [20] obtained the asymptotic behavior and uniqueness of the traveling waves for 1.3 by using Ikehare's theorem. For more results, we can refer to [9, 21, 23, 27.

To make the model more practical in applications, nonlocal effects and timedelays have been considered [3, 6, 7, 10, 23]. Subsequently, the traveling wave solution of this model has been studied and developed, see [11, 18, 19, 25, 29. But they are mostly concerned with the quasi-monotone case.

It is worth noting that, compared with three-species delayed Lotka-Volterra competitive-cooperative systems, there are relatively few studies on nonlocal systems. Recently, Zhang and Bao [26] introduced nonlocal effect to the diffusion term which deduced the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} & =d_{1}\left(J_{1} * u-u\right)+r_{1} u\left(1-a_{1} u-b_{1} v-c_{1} w\right) \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} & =d_{2}\left(J_{2} * v-v\right)+r_{2} v\left(1-a_{2} v+b_{2} w-c_{2} u\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} & =d_{3}\left(J_{3} * w-w\right)+r_{3} w\left(1-a_{3} w+b_{3} v-c_{3} u\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
J_{i} * z-z=\int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{i}(y)[z(x-y, t)-z(x, t)] d y, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

and gave the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of the traveling wave solutions of 1.4 by the comparative lemma, the bilateral Laplace transform and the sliding method. We should point out that $\sqrt{1.4}$ ) does not destroy the comparison principle of the classical system which will not hold if nonlocal effects are introduced to the reaction term, and the method based on the comparison principle is not applicable. Therefore, inspired by [6, 24, 26], we try to (partially) solve the existence and asymptotic behavior of the traveling wave solutions of 1.2 by using the Schauder's fixed point theorem and a two-point boundary value problem.

Substituting $(u, v, w)(x, t)=(U, V, W)(\xi)$ into 1.2) and denoting $\xi=x-c t$ where $c \geq\left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$ represents the wave speed, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-U^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c U^{\prime}(\xi) & =U(\xi)\left[1-\left(\phi_{1} * U\right)(\xi)-b_{1} V(\xi)-c_{1} W(\xi)\right] \\
-d_{2} V^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c V^{\prime}(\xi) & =r_{2} V(\xi)\left[1-\left(\phi_{2} * V\right)(\xi)+b_{2} W(\xi)-c_{2} U(\xi)\right]  \tag{1.5}\\
-d_{3} W^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c W^{\prime}(\xi) & =r_{3} W(\xi)\left[1-\left(\phi_{3} * W\right)(\xi)+b_{3} V(\xi)-c_{3} U(\xi)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have the following result.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold. Then, for each

$$
c>c^{*}=\max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}
$$

there exists a traveling wave solution $(U, V, W)(\xi)$ satisfying 1.5 with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty}(U(\xi)+V(\xi)+W(\xi))>0 \\
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} U(\xi)=\lim _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} V(\xi)=\lim _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} W(\xi)=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, $U, V$ and $W$ are monotone decreasing on $\left[Z_{0},+\infty\right)$ for some $Z_{0}>0$ (which may depend on c). Moreover, such traveling wave solution does not exist for $c<c^{*}$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the super- and sub-solutions of (1.5). The existence of traveling wave solutions connecting $(0,0)$ to an unknown positive stea dy state of 1.1) is obtained in Section 3. In Section 4, we show the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first use super- and sub-solution to construct the range of traveling waves which will be used for the proof of the existence of the solution in Section 3. In the following, we construct the super- and sub-solutions of 1.5 .

Supersolution. Let

$$
\bar{p}_{c}(x)=e^{-\lambda_{c} x}, \quad \bar{q}_{c}(x)=e^{-\zeta_{c} x}, \quad \bar{l}_{c}(x)=e^{-\eta_{c} x}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\lambda_{c}>0, \zeta_{c}>0, \eta_{c}>0$ are the smaller roots of the equations

$$
\lambda_{c}^{2}-c \lambda_{c}+1=0, \quad d_{2} \zeta_{c}^{2}-c \zeta_{c}+r_{2}=0, \quad d_{3} \eta_{c}^{2}-c \eta_{c}+r_{3}=0
$$

respectively. Then, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\bar{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{p}_{c}^{\prime}=\bar{p}_{c}, \quad-d_{2} \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime}=r_{2} \bar{q}_{c}, \quad-d_{3} \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime}=r_{3} \bar{l}_{c} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsolution. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{p}_{c}(x)=e^{-\lambda_{c} x}-A e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}, \quad \underline{q}_{c}(x)=e^{-\zeta_{c} x}-B e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}, \\
\underline{l}_{c}(x)=e^{-\eta_{c} x}-D e^{-\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

where small enough $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \min \left(\lambda_{c}, \zeta_{c}, \eta_{c}\right)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{c}=-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+c\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)-1>0, \quad \iota_{c}=-d_{2}\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+c\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)-r_{2}>0, \\
\vartheta_{c}=-d_{3}\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+c\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)-r_{3}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, $A, B, D>1$ are large enough such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 Z_{1}^{c}}{A \kappa_{c}}, \frac{1}{\zeta_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 b_{1}}{A \kappa_{c}}, \frac{1}{\eta_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 c_{1}}{A \kappa_{c}}\right\}, \\
& \frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\zeta_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 r_{2} Z_{2}^{c}}{B \iota_{c}}, \frac{1}{\eta_{c}} \ln \frac{3 r_{2} b_{2} D}{B \iota_{c}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 r_{2} c_{2}}{B \iota_{c}}\right\}, \\
& \frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\eta_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 r_{3} Z_{3}^{c}}{D \vartheta_{c}}, \frac{1}{\zeta_{c}} \ln \frac{3 r_{3} b_{3} B}{D \vartheta_{c}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon} \ln \frac{3 r_{3} c_{3}}{D \vartheta_{c}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Z_{1}^{c}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{1}(y) e^{\lambda_{c} y} d y, \quad Z_{2}^{c}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{2}(y) e^{\zeta_{c} y} d y, \quad Z_{3}^{c}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{3}(y) e^{\eta_{c} y} d y
$$

Then, for all $x>\max \left\{\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}\right\}$, it holds that $\underline{p}_{c}>0, \underline{q}_{c}>0, \underline{l}_{c}>0$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \underline{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \underline{p}_{c}^{\prime}-\underline{p}_{c}+\underline{p}_{c}\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{p}_{c}\right)+b_{1} \underline{p}_{c} \bar{q}_{c}+c_{1} \underline{p}_{c} \bar{l}_{c} \\
&=\left(-\lambda_{c}^{2}+c \lambda_{c}-1\right) e^{-\lambda_{c} x}+A e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}-c\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)+1\right] \\
&+\left[e^{-\lambda_{c} x}-A e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\right]\left(Z_{1}^{c} e^{-\lambda_{c} x}+b_{1} e^{-\zeta_{c} x}+c_{1} e^{-\eta_{c} x}\right) \\
&<-A \kappa_{c} e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}+e^{-\lambda_{c} x}\left(Z_{1}^{c} e^{-\lambda_{c} x}+b_{1} e^{-\zeta_{c} x}+c_{1} e^{-\eta_{c} x}\right) \\
&= e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[-A \kappa_{c}+Z_{1}^{c} e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}+b_{1} e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}+c_{1} e^{-\left(\eta_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}\right]<0, \\
&-d_{2} \underline{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \underline{q}_{c}^{\prime}-r_{2} \underline{q}_{c}+r_{2} \underline{q}_{c}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{q}_{c}\right)-b_{2} r_{2} \underline{q}_{c} l_{c}+c_{2} r_{2} \underline{q}_{c} \bar{p}_{c} \\
&=( \left(d_{2} \zeta_{c}^{2}+c \zeta_{c}-r_{2}\right) e^{-\zeta_{c} x}+B e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[d_{2}\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}-c\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)+r_{2}\right] \\
&+ r_{2}\left[e^{-\zeta_{c} x}-B e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\right]\left(Z_{2}^{c} e^{-\zeta_{c} x}-b_{2} e^{-\eta_{c} x}+b_{2} D e^{-\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}+c_{2} e^{-\lambda_{c} x}\right) \\
&< e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[-B \iota_{c}+r_{2} Z_{2}^{c} e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}+r_{2} b_{2} D e^{-\eta_{c} x}+r_{2} c_{2} e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}\right]<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & d_{3} \underline{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \underline{l}_{c}^{\prime}-r_{3} \underline{l}_{c}+r_{3} \underline{l}_{c}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{l}_{c}\right)-b_{3} r_{3} \underline{l}_{c} \underline{q}_{c}+c_{3} r_{3} \underline{l}_{c} \bar{p}_{c} \\
= & \left(-d_{3} \eta_{c}^{2}+c \eta_{c}-r_{3}\right) e^{-\eta_{c} x}+D e^{-\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[d_{3}\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}-c\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)+r_{3}\right] \\
& +r_{3}\left[e^{-\eta_{c} x}-D e^{-\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\right]\left(Z_{3}^{c} e^{-\eta_{c} x}-b_{3} e^{-\zeta_{c} x}+b_{3} B e^{-\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}+c_{3} e^{-\lambda_{c} x}\right) \\
< & e^{-\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right) x}\left[-D \vartheta_{c}+r_{3} Z_{3}^{c} e^{-\left(\eta_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}+r_{3} b_{3} B e^{-\zeta_{c} x}+r_{3} c_{3} e^{-\left(\lambda_{c}-\varepsilon\right) x}\right]<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x>\max \left\{\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln C}{\varepsilon}\right\}$. Let

$$
\widetilde{p}_{c}(x)=\max \left\{0, \underline{p}_{c}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{q}_{c}(x)=\max \left\{0, \underline{q}_{c}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{l}_{c}(x)=\max \left\{0, \underline{l}_{c}\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

then combining this with 2.1, we deduce that

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\bar{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{p}_{c}^{\prime}+\bar{p}_{c}\left(\phi_{1} * \widetilde{p}_{c}+b_{1} \widetilde{q}_{c}+c_{1} \tilde{l}_{c}\right) \geq \bar{p}_{c} \\
-d_{2} \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \widetilde{q}_{c}+c_{2} \widetilde{p}_{c}\right) \bar{q}_{c} \geq r_{2} \bar{q}_{c}+r_{2} b_{2} \bar{l}_{c} \bar{q}_{c}  \tag{2.2}\\
-d_{3} \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \widetilde{l}_{c}+c_{3} \widetilde{p}_{c}\right) \bar{l}_{c} \geq r_{3} \bar{l}_{c}+r_{3} b_{3} \bar{q}_{c} \bar{l}_{c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b_{i}, c_{i}(i=2,3)$ satisfy

$$
\phi_{2} * \widetilde{q}_{c}-b_{2} \bar{l}_{c}+c_{2} \widetilde{p}_{c} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{3} * \widetilde{l}_{c}-b_{3} \bar{q}_{c}+c_{3} \widetilde{p}_{c} \geq 0
$$

In addition, we can also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime}+\widetilde{p}_{c}\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{p}_{c}-b_{1} \bar{q}_{c}-c_{1} \bar{l}_{c}\right) \leq \widetilde{p}_{c} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \neq \ln (A) / x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{q}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{q}_{c}+c_{2} \bar{p}_{c}\right) \widetilde{q}_{c} \leq r_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c}+r_{2} b_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c} \widetilde{l}_{c}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \neq \ln (B) / x$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{l}_{c}+c_{3} \bar{p}_{c}\right) \widetilde{l}_{c} \leq r_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c}+r_{3} b_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c} \widetilde{q}_{c} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \neq \ln (D) / x$.
Based on the above setting, we give the existence of the traveling wave solutions of 1.5 .

## 3. Existence of traveling wave solutions of 1.5

The aim of this section is two-fold. Firstly, we provide a specific result assuring the existence of the solutions for the equation 1.5 in a finite interval by applying the super- and sub-solution constructed in Section 2 and a well know argument (Schauder's fixed point theorem). Secondly, by taking the limit, we derive a existence criterion of solution to $\sqrt{1.5}$ on the entire interval.

A three-point boundary value problem. For $c>\max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$, we study the following system in a finite interval $(-a, a)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -u^{\prime \prime}-c u^{\prime}=u\left(1-\phi_{1} * \bar{u}-b_{1} \bar{v}-c_{1} \bar{w}\right), \\
& -d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}-c v^{\prime}=r_{2} v\left(1-\phi_{2} * \bar{v}+b_{2} \bar{w}-c_{2} \bar{u}\right) \text {, } \\
& -d_{3} w^{\prime \prime}-c w^{\prime}=r_{3} w\left(1-\phi_{3} * \bar{w}+b_{3} \bar{v}-c_{3} \bar{u}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
& u( \pm a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}( \pm a), v( \pm a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}( \pm a), w( \pm a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}( \pm a),
\end{align*}
$$

where $a>\max \left\{\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{u}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u(a), & x>a, \\
u(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
u(-a), & x<-a,
\end{array}\right. \\
\bar{v}(x)= \begin{cases}v(a), & x>a \\
v(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
v(-a), & x<-a\end{cases} \\
\bar{w}(x)= \begin{cases}w(a), & x>a, \\
w(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
w(-a), & x<-a .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Next we first define a convex set

$$
\mathcal{M}_{a}=\left\{(u, v, w) \in C\left([-a, a], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \widetilde{p}_{c}(x) \leq u(x) \leq \bar{p}_{c}, x \in(-a, a)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{q}_{c}(x) \leq v(x) \leq \bar{q}_{c}, x \in(-a, a), \widetilde{l}_{c}(x) \leq w(x) \leq \bar{l}_{c}, x \in(-a, a) \\
& \left.u( \pm a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}( \pm a), v( \pm a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}( \pm a), w( \pm a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}( \pm a)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

to study the existence of the solution for (3.1). Then, we construct the three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{\prime \prime}-c u^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{0}+b_{1} \bar{v}_{0}+c_{1} \bar{w}_{0}\right) u=u_{0} \\
-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}-c v^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{0}+c_{2} \bar{u}_{0}\right) v=r_{2} v_{0}+r_{2} b_{2} w_{0} v_{0} \\
-d_{3} w^{\prime \prime}-c w^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{0}+c_{3} \bar{u}_{0}\right) w=r_{3} w_{0}+r_{3} b_{3} v_{0} w_{0}  \tag{3.2}\\
u( \pm a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}( \pm a), v( \pm a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}( \pm a), w( \pm a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}( \pm a)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{u}_{0}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
u_{0}(a), & x>a, \\
u_{0}(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
u_{0}(-a), & x<-a,
\end{array} \bar{v}_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}v_{0}(a), & x>a, \\
v_{0}(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
v_{0}(-a), & x<-a .\end{cases} \right. \\
\bar{w}_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}w_{0}(a), & x>a, \\
w_{0}(x), & x \in[-a, a], \\
w_{0}(-a), & x<-a .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we define a linear operator $\Psi_{a}$ which satisfies $\Psi_{a}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right)=(u, v, w)$. It is clear that the fixed point of $\left(3.2\right.$ is a solution for (3.1). Obviously, $\Psi_{a}$ is compact and continuous. Next, we prove that $\mathcal{M}_{a}$ is an invariant for $\Psi_{a}$. From the definition of $\Psi_{a}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{a}$, we know that $\mathcal{M}_{a} \in \Psi_{a}\left(\mathcal{M}_{a}\right)$. Following, we prove $\Psi_{a}\left(\mathcal{M}_{a}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$. Since $(u, v, w)=(0,0,0)$ is a sub-solution of 3.2$)$, we know that $u(x)>0, v(x)>0, w(x)>0$ for each $x \in(-a, a)$. Given $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ and combining with 2.2), then for $x \in(-a, a)$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\bar{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{p}_{c}^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{0}+b_{1} \bar{v}_{0}+c_{1} \bar{w}_{0}\right) \bar{p}_{c} \\
& \geq-\bar{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{p}_{c}^{\prime} \\
& =\bar{p}_{c} \\
& \geq u_{0} \\
& =-u^{\prime \prime}-c u^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{0}+b_{1} \bar{v}_{0}+c_{1} \bar{w}_{0}\right) u, \\
& \\
& -d_{2} \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{0}+c_{2} \bar{u}_{0}\right) \bar{q}_{c} \\
& \geq-d_{2} \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{q}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \widetilde{q}_{c}+c_{2} \widetilde{p}_{c}\right) \bar{q}_{c} \\
& \geq r_{2} \bar{q}_{c}+r_{2} b_{2} \bar{l}_{c} \bar{q}_{c} \\
& \geq r_{2} v_{0}+r_{2} b_{2} w_{0} v_{0} \\
& =-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}-c v^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{0}+c_{2} \bar{u}_{0}\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -d_{3} \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{0}+c_{3} \bar{u}_{0}\right) \bar{l}_{c} \\
& \geq-d_{3} \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \bar{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \widetilde{l}_{c}+c_{3} \widetilde{p}_{c}\right) \bar{l}_{c} \\
& \geq r_{3} \bar{l}_{c}+r_{3} b_{3} \bar{q}_{c} \bar{l}_{c} \\
& \geq r_{3} w_{0}+r_{3} b_{3} v_{0} w_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=-d_{3} w^{\prime \prime}-c w^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{0}+c_{3} \bar{u}_{0}\right) w .
$$

In addition, we also have $u( \pm a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}( \pm a) \leq \bar{p}_{c}( \pm a), v( \pm a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}( \pm a) \leq \bar{q}_{c}( \pm a)$, $w( \pm a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}( \pm a) \leq \bar{l}_{c}( \pm a)$. Then by using the maximum principle, we obtain $u(x) \leq \bar{p}_{c}(x), v(x) \leq \bar{q}_{c}(x), w(x) \leq \bar{l}_{c}(x)$ for each $x \in(-a, a)$. On the other hand, combining with 2.3-2.5), it is easy to calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{0}+b_{1} \bar{v}_{0}+c_{1} \bar{w}_{0}\right) \widetilde{p}_{c} \\
& \leq-\widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{p}_{c}^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{p}_{c}+b_{1} \bar{q}_{c}+c_{1} \bar{l}_{c}\right) \widetilde{p}_{c} \\
& \leq \widetilde{p}_{c} \\
& \leq u_{0} \\
& =-u^{\prime \prime}-c u^{\prime}+\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{0}+b_{1} \bar{v}_{0}+c_{1} \bar{w}_{0}\right) u
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $x \in(\ln (A) / \varepsilon, a)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -d_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{q}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{0}+c_{2} \bar{u}_{0}\right) \widetilde{q}_{c} \\
& \leq-d_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{q}_{c}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{q}_{c}+c_{2} \bar{p}_{c}\right) \widetilde{q}_{c} \\
& \leq r_{2} \widetilde{q}_{c}+r_{2} b_{2} \widetilde{l}_{c} \widetilde{q}_{c} \\
& \leq r_{2} v_{0}+r_{2} b_{2} w_{0} v_{0} \\
& =-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}-c v^{\prime}+r_{2}\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{0}+c_{2} \bar{u}_{0}\right) v,
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $x \in(\ln (B) / \varepsilon, a)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -d_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{0}+c_{3} \bar{u}_{0}\right) \widetilde{l}_{c} \\
& \leq-d_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime \prime}-c \widetilde{l}_{c}^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{l}_{c}+c_{3} \bar{p}_{c}\right) \widetilde{l}_{c} \\
& \leq r_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c}+r_{3} b_{3} \widetilde{l}_{c} \widetilde{q}_{c} \\
& \leq r_{3} w_{0}+r_{3} b_{3} w_{0} v_{0} \\
& =-d_{3} w^{\prime \prime}-c w^{\prime}+r_{3}\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{0}+c_{3} \bar{u}_{0}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $x \in\left(\frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}, a\right)$, where $u(a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}(a), u\left(\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}\right)>0=\widetilde{p}_{c}\left(\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}\right), v(a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}(a)$, $v\left(\frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}\right)>0=\widetilde{q}_{c}\left(\frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $w(a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}(a), w\left(\frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}\right)>0=\widetilde{l}_{c}\left(\frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}\right)$. The maximum principle implies $u(x) \geq \widetilde{p}_{c}$ for each $x \in(\ln (A) / \varepsilon, a), v(x) \geq \widetilde{q}_{c}$ for each $x \in$ $(\ln (B) / \varepsilon, a)$ and $w(x) \geq \widetilde{l}_{c}$ for each $x \in(\ln (D) / \varepsilon, a)$. Then we conclude $u(x) \geq \widetilde{p}_{c}$, $v(x) \geq \widetilde{q}_{c}, w(x) \geq \widetilde{l}_{c}$ for each $x \in(-a, a)$, that is $(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ holds. Thus $\Psi_{a}\left(\mathcal{M}_{a}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{a}$.

Now, by using the Schauder's fixed point theorem, we can obtain that $\Psi_{a}$ has a fixed point $\left(u_{a}, v_{a}, w_{a}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$ which is the solution of (3.1).

Lemma 3.1. There exists a constant $M$ which is independent of the number a and $c>c^{*}\left(c^{*}=\max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}\right)$ such that each solution of problem 3.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{a} \leq M, \quad 0 \leq v_{a} \leq M, \quad 0 \leq w_{a} \leq M \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{A\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\lambda_{c}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{B\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\zeta_{c}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{D\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\eta_{c}}\right\}$ and all $x \in[-a, a]$.
Proof. Suppose that the maximum points of $u_{a}(x), v_{a}(x)$ and $w_{a}(x)$ are $x_{M}, x_{N}$, $x_{H} \in[-a, a]$ respectively, that is

$$
M_{u}=\max _{x \in[-a, a]} u_{a}(x)=u_{a}\left(x_{M}\right), \quad M_{v}=\max _{x \in[-a, a]} v_{a}(x)=v_{a}\left(x_{N}\right)
$$

$$
M_{w}=\max _{x \in[-a, a]} w_{a}(x)=w_{a}\left(x_{H}\right)
$$

Then we have $u_{a}^{\prime}\left(x_{M}\right)=0, u_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{M}\right) \leq 0, v_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right)=0, v_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right) \leq 0, w_{a}^{\prime}\left(x_{H}\right)=0$ and $w_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{H}\right) \leq 0$.

Apart from this, we can also prove $x_{M}, x_{N}, x_{H} \in[-a, a)$. Since $u_{a}(a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}(a)$, $v_{a}(a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}(a)$ and $w_{a}(a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}(a)$, and $\widetilde{p}_{c}(x), \widetilde{q}_{c}(x), \widetilde{l}_{c}(x)$ are decreasing for $x>$ $\max \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{A\left(\lambda_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\lambda_{c}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{B\left(\zeta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\zeta_{c}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{D\left(\eta_{c}+\varepsilon\right)}{\eta_{c}}\right\}$, so it holds that $x_{M}, x_{N}, x_{H} \in[-a, a]$.

Next we prove the lemma. From the value of

$$
-u_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c u_{a}^{\prime}=u_{a}\left(1-\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{a}-b_{1} \bar{v}_{a}-c_{1} \bar{w}_{a}\right)
$$

at $x_{M}$,

$$
-d_{2} v_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c v_{a}^{\prime}=r_{2} v_{a}\left(1-\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{a}+b_{2} \bar{w}_{a}-c_{2} \bar{u}_{a}\right)
$$

at $x_{N}$ and

$$
-d_{3} w_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c w_{a}^{\prime}=r_{3} w_{a}\left(1-\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{a}+b_{3} \bar{v}_{a}-c_{3} \bar{u}_{a}\right)
$$

at $x_{H}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{a}\right)\left(x_{M}\right)-b_{1} \bar{v}_{a}\left(x_{M}\right)-c_{1} \bar{w}_{a}\left(x_{M}\right) \geq 0 \\
& 1-\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{a}\right)\left(x_{N}\right)+b_{2} \bar{w}_{a}\left(x_{N}\right)-c_{2} \bar{u}_{a}\left(x_{N}\right) \geq 0 \\
& 1-\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{a}\right)\left(x_{H}\right)+b_{3} \bar{v}_{a}\left(x_{H}\right)-c_{3} \bar{u}_{a}\left(x_{H}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{a}\right)\left(x_{M}\right)<1, \quad\left(\phi_{2} * \bar{v}_{a}\right)\left(x_{N}\right)<1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\phi_{3} * \bar{w}_{a}\right)\left(x_{H}\right)<1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
-u_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c u_{a}^{\prime} \leq u_{a} \leq M_{u} \\
-d_{2} v_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c v_{a}^{\prime} \leq r_{2} v_{a} \leq r_{2} M_{v} \\
-d_{3} w_{a}^{\prime \prime}-c w_{a}^{\prime} \leq r_{3} w_{a} \leq r_{3} M_{w}
\end{gathered}
$$

for small enough $b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$. Thus, it holds that

$$
\left(u_{a}^{\prime} e^{c x}\right)^{\prime} \geq-M_{u} e^{c x}, \quad\left(d_{2} v_{a}^{\prime} e^{\frac{c}{d_{2}} x}\right)^{\prime} \geq-r_{2} M_{v} e^{\frac{c}{d_{2}} x}, \quad\left(d_{3} w_{a}^{\prime} e^{\frac{c}{d_{3}} x}\right)^{\prime} \geq-r_{3} M_{w} e^{\frac{c}{d_{3}} x}
$$

Integrating the above inequalities from $x_{M}$ to $x>x_{M}, x_{N}$ to $x>x_{N}$ and $x_{H}$ to $x>x_{H}$, respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{a}^{\prime}(x) \geq-\frac{M_{u}}{c}\left(1-e^{-c\left(x-x_{M}\right)}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{M}, a\right), \\
v_{a}^{\prime}(x) \geq-\frac{r_{2} M_{v}}{c}\left(1-e^{-\frac{c}{d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{N}, a\right), \\
w_{a}^{\prime}(x) \geq-\frac{r_{3} M_{w}}{c}\left(1-e^{-\frac{c}{d_{3}}\left(x-x_{H}\right)}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{H}, a\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Integrating the above inequalities in the same interval again, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{a}(x) & \geq M_{u}-\frac{M_{u}}{c}\left(x-x_{M}\right)+\frac{M_{u}}{c} \int_{x_{m}}^{x} e^{-c\left(s-x_{M}\right)} d s \\
& =M_{u}\left[1-\frac{x-x_{M}}{c}+\frac{1-e^{-c\left(x-x_{M}\right)}}{c^{2}}\right] \\
& =M_{u}\left[1-\left(x-x_{M}\right)^{2} h\left(c\left(x-x_{M}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \geq M_{u}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{M}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{a}(x) & \geq M_{v}-\frac{r_{2} M_{v}}{c}\left(x-x_{N}\right)+\frac{r_{2} M_{v}}{c} e^{\frac{c}{d_{2}} x_{N}} \int_{x_{N}}^{x} e^{-\frac{c}{d_{2}} s} d s \\
& =M_{v}\left[1-\frac{r_{2}}{d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{c\left(x-x_{N}\right)}{d_{2}}}+\frac{e^{-\frac{c}{d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)}}{\frac{c^{2}\left(x-x_{N}\right)^{2}}{d_{2}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\frac{c^{2}\left(x-x_{N}\right)^{2}}{d_{2}^{2}}}\right)\right] \\
& =M_{v}\left[1-\frac{r_{2}}{d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)^{2} h\left(\frac{c}{d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \geq M_{v}\left[1-\frac{r_{2}}{2 d_{2}}\left(x-x_{N}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
w_{a}(x) \geq M_{w}\left[1-\frac{r_{3}}{2 d_{3}}\left(x-x_{H}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

where $h(y)=\frac{e^{-y}+y-1}{y^{2}} \leq 1 / 2$ for $y>0$. Since $u_{a}(x), v_{a}(x), w_{a}(x) \in \mathcal{M}_{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{a}(a)=\widetilde{p}_{c}(a) \leq \bar{p}_{c}(a)=e^{-\lambda_{c} a} \leq 1, \\
& v_{a}(a)=\widetilde{q}_{c}(a) \leq \bar{q}_{c}(a)=e^{-\zeta_{c} a} \leq 1, \\
& w_{a}(a)=\widetilde{l}_{c}(a) \leq \bar{l}_{c}(a)=e^{-\eta_{c} a} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which can further imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{u}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(a-x_{M}\right)^{2}\right] \leq 1 \\
M_{v}\left[1-\frac{r_{2}}{2 d_{2}}\left(a-x_{N}\right)^{2}\right] \leq 1  \tag{3.5}\\
M_{w}\left[1-\frac{r_{3}}{2 d_{3}}\left(a-x_{H}\right)^{2}\right] \leq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking $x_{0}=1 / 2$, if $x_{M} \in\left(a-x_{0}, a\right)$, it follows from (3.5) that

$$
M_{u} \leq\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(a-x_{M}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{4}{3}
$$

If $x_{M} \in\left[-a, a-x_{0}\right)$, then combining this with (3.4], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geq\left(\phi_{1} * \bar{u}_{a}\right)\left(x_{M}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{1}(y) \bar{u}_{a}\left(x_{M}-y\right) d y \\
& \geq \int_{-x_{0}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y) \bar{u}_{a}\left(x_{M}-y\right) d y \\
& \geq M_{u} \int_{-x_{0}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y)\left(1-\frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition of $x_{0}$, we obtain

$$
M_{u} \leq\left[\int_{-x_{0}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y)\left(1-\frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) d y\right]^{-1} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left[\int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y) d y\right]^{-1}
$$

From (A1), we know that

$$
\frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y) d y\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{4}{3}
$$

Thus, for each $x \in[-a, a)$, we have

$$
M_{u} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y) d y\right)^{-1}
$$

Similarly, take $y_{0}=\sqrt{d_{2} /\left(2 r_{2}\right)}$ and $z_{0}=\sqrt{d_{3} /\left(2 r_{3}\right)}$, then for each $x \in[-a, a)$, it holds

$$
M_{v} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{\sqrt{-\frac{d_{2}}{2 r_{2}}}}^{0} \phi_{2}(y) d y\right)^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{w} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{\sqrt{-\frac{d_{3}}{2 r_{3}}}}^{0} \phi_{3}(y) d y\right)^{-1}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
M=\max \{ & \frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}}^{0} \phi_{1}(y) d y\right)^{-1}, \frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{\sqrt{-\frac{d_{2}}{2 r_{2}}}}^{0} \phi_{2}(y) d y\right)^{-1} \\
& \left.\frac{4}{3}\left(\int_{\sqrt{-\frac{d_{3}}{2 r_{3}}}}^{0} \phi_{3}(y) d y\right)^{-1}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

then the inequality 3.3 follows. The proof is complete
Limit of $\left(u_{a}, v_{a}, w_{a}\right)$ as $a \rightarrow+\infty$. From Lemma 3.1 and the standard elliptic estimates, we know that there exists $M_{0}>0$ such that for each $a>\max \left\{\frac{\ln A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln B}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\ln D}{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and a constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$, it holds that

$$
\left\|u_{a}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right)} \leq M_{0}, \quad\left\|v_{a}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right)} \leq M_{0}, \quad\left\|w_{a}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right)} \leq M_{0}
$$

Letting $a \rightarrow+\infty$ (possibly along a subsequence), we have $u_{a} \rightarrow u, v_{a} \rightarrow v$ and $w_{a} \rightarrow w$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and $(u(x), v(x), w(x))$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u^{\prime \prime}-c u^{\prime} & =u\left(1-\phi_{1} * u-b_{1} v-c_{1} w\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\
-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}-c v^{\prime} & =r_{2} v\left(1-\phi_{2} * v+b_{2} w-c_{2} u\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\
-d_{3} w^{\prime \prime}-c w^{\prime} & =r_{3} w\left(1-\phi_{3} * w+b_{3} v-c_{3} u\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{p}_{c} \leq u(x) \leq \min \left\{M, \bar{p}_{c}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{q}_{c} \leq v(x) \leq \min \left\{M, \bar{q}_{c}\right\} . \quad \widetilde{l}_{c} \leq w(x) \leq \min \left\{M, \bar{l}_{c}\right\}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} v(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} w(x)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1 we use the following lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. There exists a $Z_{0}>0$ such that $u(x), v(x)$ and $w(x)$ are monotonically decreasing for $x>Z_{0}$.

Proof. On the contrary, suppose that $u(x)$ is not always monotonic as $x \rightarrow+\infty$. From (3.7), then there exists a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow+\infty(n \rightarrow+\infty)$ such that $u\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, $v\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, w\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)$ and $u(x)$ achieves a local minimum at $x_{n}$, that is $u^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0, u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \geq 0$. Since

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-c u^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=u\left(x_{n}\right)\left(1-\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)-b_{1} v\left(x_{n}\right)-c_{1} w\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)+b_{1} v\left(x_{n}\right)+c_{1} w\left(x_{n}\right) \geq 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=0$ and the boundedness of $u(x)$ on $C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, it is easy to find that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)=0$. Then combining this with the fact that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} v\left(x_{n}\right)=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} w\left(x_{n}\right)=0$, one can obtain a contradiction of 4.1. Therefore, $u(x)$ is always monotonically decreasing on $\left[Z_{0},+\infty\right)$. In the same way, $v(x)$ and $w(x)$ are also monotonically decreasing on $\left[Z_{0},+\infty\right)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.2. There exists no traveling wave solutions of (1.5 for speed $c<c^{*}$.
Proof. Using a contradiction argument, we suppose that there exists a traveling wave solution satisfying (1.5) and (1.6) for $c<c^{*}$. Take a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $z_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Denote $u_{n}(x)=u\left(x+z_{n}\right) / u\left(z_{n}\right), v_{n}(x)=v\left(x+z_{n}\right) / u\left(z_{n}\right)$, $w_{n}(x)=w\left(x+z_{n}\right) / w\left(z_{n}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c u_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =u_{n}(x)\left(1-\left(\phi_{1} * \widetilde{u}_{n}\right)(x)-b_{1} \widetilde{v}_{n}(x)-c_{1} \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
-d_{2} v_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c v_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =r_{2} v_{n}(x)\left(1-\left(\phi_{2} * \widetilde{v}_{n}\right)(x)+b_{2} \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)-c_{2} \widetilde{u}_{n}(x)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\
-d_{3} w_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c w_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =r_{3} w_{n}(x)\left(1-\left(\phi_{3} * \widetilde{w}_{n}\right)(x)+b_{3} \widetilde{v}_{n}(x)-c_{3} \widetilde{u}_{n}(x)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{u}_{n}(x)=u\left(x+z_{n}\right), \widetilde{v}_{n}(x)=v\left(x+z_{n}\right), \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)=w\left(x+z_{n}\right)$. Notice that $u_{n}(0)=v_{n}(0)=w_{n}(0)=1$ and $u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), w_{n}(x)$ are monotonic decreasing on [ $Z_{0}-z_{n},+\infty$ ) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $Z_{0}$ is defined by Lemma 4.1. Since $u(x) \rightarrow 0$, $v(x) \rightarrow 0$ and $w(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$, it follows that $\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}, \widetilde{v}_{n}, \widetilde{w}_{n}\right) \rightarrow(0,0,0)$ locally uniformly at $x$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\widehat{u}(x), \widehat{v}(x), \widehat{w}(x))$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\widehat{u}^{\prime \prime}-c \widehat{u}^{\prime} & =\widehat{u}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
-d_{2} \widehat{v}^{\prime \prime}-c \widehat{v}^{\prime} & =r_{2} \widehat{v}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{4.2}\\
-d_{3} \widehat{w}^{\prime \prime}-c \widehat{w}^{\prime} & =r_{3} \widehat{w}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, $\widehat{v}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{w}$ are monotonically decreasing and $\widehat{u}(0)=\widehat{v}(0)=\widehat{w}(0)=1$. In addition, it is easy to get that $\widehat{u}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{w}$ are positive. Take $\widehat{u}$ to say, if there exists a point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{u}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, then from the monotonicity of the nonnegative function $\widehat{u}$, we know that for each $x \geq x_{0}, \widehat{u}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. By the uniqueness of the solutions of ordinary differential equations 4.2 , we can obtain $\widehat{u}(x)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}$, which contradicts with the fact $\widehat{u}(0)=1$. Therefore, 4.2 ) admits such a solution $(\widehat{u}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{w})$ if and only if $c \geq \max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$, that is, there exists no traveling wave solutions for speed $c<\max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.3. Under assumptions (A1)-(A3), the traveling wave $(u(x), v(x), w(x))$ of system 1.5 satisfies

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty}(u(x)+v(x)+w(x))>0 .
$$

Proof. Since $u(x), v(x), w(x)$ are non-negative, $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty}(u(x)+v(x)+w(x)) \geq$ 0 . Using a contradiction argument, we suppose that $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty}(u(x)+v(x)+$ $w(x))=0$ which will lead to a sequence $y_{n}$ satisfying $u\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, v\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, $w\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $y_{n} \rightarrow-\infty(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. Taking $\widetilde{u}(x)=u(-x), \widetilde{v}(x)=v(-x)$, $\widetilde{w}(x)=w(-x)$ and $\widetilde{c}=-c$, then $\left(\widetilde{u}\left(-y_{n}\right), \widetilde{v}\left(-y_{n}\right), \widetilde{w}\left(-y_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow(0,0,0)$, and $(\widetilde{u}(x), \widetilde{v}(x), \widetilde{w}(x))$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\widetilde{u}^{\prime \prime}-\widetilde{c} \widetilde{u}^{\prime} & =\widetilde{u}\left(1-\phi_{1} \otimes \widetilde{u}-b_{1} \widetilde{v}-c_{1} \widetilde{w}\right) \\
-d_{2} \widetilde{v}^{\prime \prime}-\widetilde{c} \widetilde{v}^{\prime} & =r_{2} \widetilde{v}\left(1-\phi_{2} \otimes \widetilde{v}+b_{2} \widetilde{w}-c_{2} \widetilde{u}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-d_{3} \widetilde{w}^{\prime \prime}-\widetilde{c} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}=r_{3} \widetilde{w}\left(1-\phi_{3} \otimes \widetilde{w}+b_{3} \widetilde{v}-c_{3} \widetilde{u}\right)
$$

where $\left(\phi_{i} \otimes z\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{i}(y) z(x+y) d y(i=1,2,3)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2 , we can obtain $\widetilde{c} \geq \max \left\{2,2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}}, 2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}$; that is

$$
c \leq \min \left\{-2,-2 \sqrt{d_{2} r_{2}},-2 \sqrt{d_{3} r_{3}}\right\}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.2. The proof is complete.
Lemma 4.4. Assume (A1)-(A3) hold If $b_{1}<\frac{1}{2 M}, c_{i}<\frac{1}{2 M}(i=1,2,3)$, then the traveling wave $(u(x), v(x), w(x))$ of the system 1.5 satisfies

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)>0, \quad \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0, \quad \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)>0
$$

Proof. Since $u(x), v(x)$, and $w(x)$ are nonnegative functions, we know that

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x) \geq 0, \quad \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x) \geq 0, \quad \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x) \geq 0
$$

We will prove this lemma in two steps.
Step 1. We prove that $\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)>0$. By a contradiction argument assume that $\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)=0$. Then there must hold one of the following two cases: $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ in an oscillating or a monotonous manner as $x \rightarrow-\infty$.
Case 1.1. There exists a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, such that $u(x)$ attains local minimum at $x_{n}$ and $u\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. A well know argument (the Harnack inequality) shows that for each $Z>0$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1-\left(b_{1}+c_{1}\right) M}{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $N>0$ such that $u(x) \leq \delta$ for each $n>N$ and $x \in\left(x_{n}-Z, x_{n}+Z\right)$ which can conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-c u^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) & =u\left(x_{n}\right)\left[1-\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)-b_{1} v\left(x_{n}\right)-c_{1} w\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \geq u\left(x_{n}\right)\left[1-\left(b_{1}+c_{1}\right) M-\left(\phi_{1} * u\right)\left(x_{n}\right)\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for large enough $n$. On the other hand, it is easy to obtain that

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-c u^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq 0
$$

because $u(x)$ attains local minimum at $x_{n}$ which implies $u^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \geq 0$. At this point, we reach a contradiction.
Case 1.2. $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)=0$ and there exists a large enough constant $Z>0$ such that $u^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x<-Z$. From Lemma 4.3. we know that

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty}(v(x)+w(x))>0
$$

which can be divided into the following two situations.
(a) Without loss of generality, we suppose that

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0, \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)=0
$$

which admits a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow-\infty(n \rightarrow+\infty)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u\left(x_{n}\right)=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} v\left(x_{n}\right)=\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)=A>0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} w\left(x_{n}\right)=0
$$

where $A$ is a constant. Let $u_{n}(x)=u\left(x+x_{n}\right) / u\left(x_{n}\right), v_{n}(x)=v\left(x+x_{n}\right) / v\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $w_{n}(x)=w\left(x+x_{n}\right) / w\left(x_{n}\right)$, then we have

$$
-u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c u_{n}^{\prime}(x)=u_{n}(x)\left[1-\left(\phi_{1} * \widehat{u}_{n}\right)(x)-b_{1} \widehat{v}_{n}(x)-c_{1} \widehat{w}_{n}(x)\right] \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $u_{n}^{\prime}(x), v_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ and $w_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ are defined by Lemma 4.2 Suppose that $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow$ $\widetilde{u}(x), v_{n}(x) \rightarrow \widetilde{v}(x), w_{n}(x) \rightarrow \widetilde{w}(x)$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Then by the Harnack
inequality, we can conclude that $\widehat{u}_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0, \widehat{v}_{n}(x) \rightarrow \widehat{v}(x), \widehat{w}_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. Since $u^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for $x<-Z$, it follows that $\widetilde{u}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Also we that $\widetilde{u}(x)$ satisfies

$$
-\widetilde{u}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c \widetilde{u}^{\prime}(x)=\widetilde{u}(x)\left(1-b_{1} \widehat{v}(x)\right) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

After integrating from 0 to $x>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\widetilde{u}^{\prime}(x)+\widetilde{u}^{\prime}(0)-c \widetilde{u}(x)+c \widetilde{u}(0) & =\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{u}(y)\left(1-b_{1} \widehat{v}(y)\right) d y  \tag{4.3}\\
& >\left(1-b_{1} M\right) \widetilde{u}(0) x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\widetilde{u}(x)>0, \widetilde{u}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0, \widetilde{u}(0)=1$, it follows that 4.3) does hold for large enough $x$.
(b) $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0, \liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)>0$. Processing $w(x)$ as we did for $v(x)$ in case (a), we obtain

$$
-\widetilde{u}^{\prime}(x)+\widetilde{u}^{\prime}(0)-c \widetilde{u}(x)+c \widetilde{u}(0)>\left[1-\left(b_{1}+c_{1}\right) M\right] \widetilde{u}(0) x
$$

which also does not hold for large enough $x$. Hence,

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Step 2. We prove that $\lim _{\inf }{ }_{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0$. As in the case above, we assume that $\liminf \operatorname{inc}_{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)=0$ which implies that there exists a sequence $y_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $u\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. We also analyze the following two cases.

Case 2.1. $v(x)$ attains local minimum at $y_{n}$. The proof is same as the Case 1.1 in Step 1, except that we take $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1-c_{2} M}{2}\right)$ here such that $v(x)<\epsilon$ for each $x \in\left(y_{n}-Z, y_{n}+Z\right)$ and $n>N$. Then we have

$$
-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right)-c v^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \geq r_{2} v\left(y_{n}\right)\left[1-c_{2} M-\left(\phi_{2} * v\right)\left(y_{n}\right)\right]>0
$$

which contradicts $-d_{2} v^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right)-c v^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \leq 0$, because $v(x)$ attains local minimum at $y_{n}$.
Case 2.2. There exists large enough $Z>0$ such that $v^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for each $x<-Z$. As in Case 1.2 in Step 1, one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d_{2} \widetilde{v}^{\prime \prime}(x)-c \widetilde{v}^{\prime}(x)=r_{2} \widetilde{v}(x)\left(1+b_{2} \widehat{w}(x)-c_{2} \widehat{u}(x)\right) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 4.3, we know that ${\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow-\infty}}(u(x)+w(x))>0$ which implies that $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} u(x)>0$, and either $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)>0$ or $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)=0$. Then, after integrating 4.4 from 0 to $x>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-d_{2} \widetilde{v}^{\prime \prime}(x)+d_{2} \widetilde{v}^{\prime}(0)-c \widetilde{v}(x)+c \widetilde{v}(0) & =r_{2} \int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{v}(y)\left(1+b_{2} \widehat{w}(y)-c_{2} \widehat{u}(y)\right) d y \\
& \geq r_{2} \int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{v}(y)\left(1-c_{2} \widehat{u}(y)\right) d y \\
& \geq r_{2}\left(1-c_{2} M\right) \widetilde{v}(0) x
\end{aligned}
$$

which does not hold for large enough $x$ because $\widetilde{v}(x)>0, \widetilde{v}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{v}(0)=1$. Therefore,

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0
$$

Note that the proof of $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} v(x)>0$ is similar to the the proof of $\liminf _{x \rightarrow-\infty} w(x)>0$; so we omit it. This completes the proof.

Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4
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