

## MULTIPLICITY RESULTS FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

SAMIRA BENMOULOU, MOSTAFA KHIDDI, SIMOHAMMED SBAI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , and  $p = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  the limiting Sobolev exponent. We show that for  $f \in H_0^1(\Omega)^*$ , satisfying suitable conditions, the nonlinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{p-2}u + f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

has at least three solutions in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known [6, Theorems 1 and 2] that for  $f \neq 0$  and  $\|f\|$  sufficiently small, the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{p-2}u + f \quad \text{on } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

has at least two distinct solutions  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1$  which are critical points of the functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p - \int_{\Omega} f u,$$

such that  $I(\mathbf{u}_1) > I(\mathbf{u}_0)$ . In this note we suppose  $f \geq 0$  and satisfies

$$\|f\| < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{4}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{N+2}{4}}, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \|u\|_p=1} \|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

which corresponds to the best constant for the Sobolev embedding  $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ . We determine a special  $\omega_\varepsilon$ , from the extremal functions for the Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and consider  $\Gamma$  the class of continuous paths joining 0 to  $\omega_\varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let*

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Semilinear elliptic equations; critical Sobolev exponent.

©2006 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Published September 20, 2006.

Then there is a sequence  $(u_j) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_j) &\rightarrow c, \\ I'(u_j) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (H_0^1(\Omega))^*, \\ I(\mathbf{u}_0) &< I(\mathbf{u}_1) < c. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  denotes the weak limit in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  of (a subsequence of)  $(u_n)$ , our principal result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f \in H_0^1(\Omega)^*$ ,  $f \geq 0$  satisfies (1.2). Then either*

- (1)  $I(\mathbf{u}) = c$  and Problem (1.1) has at least three solutions. Or
- (2)  $I(\mathbf{u}) \leq c - \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ .

Note that the existence results of biharmonic analogue of Problem (1.1) have been studied in [2], so a result similar to that of Theorem 1.2 may be established for the bilaplacian operator.

## 2. THE PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.1

We start with a variant of the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz without the Palais-Smale condition

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ . Suppose there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $E$  and a constant  $\rho > 0$  such that*

- (H1)  $I(u) \geq \rho$ , for all  $u \in \partial U$ .
- (H2)  $I(0) < \rho$  and,  $I(v) < \rho$  for some  $v \in E \setminus U$ .

Let

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow E, \text{ is continuous, } \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v \}.$$

Then there is a sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) &\rightarrow c, \\ I'(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } E^*. \end{aligned}$$

On  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  we define a variational functional  $I : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for problem (1.1), by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \int_{\Omega} f u.$$

Clearly  $I$  is  $C^1$  on  $E$  and  $I(0) = 0$ . We shall verify the assumptions of Theorem 2.1

**Verification of (H1).** Let  $r \in ]0, \alpha S^{N/4}[$  and  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be such that  $\|\nabla u\|_2 = r$ . We have

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{p}r^p S^{-p/2} - \|f\|r.$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow \alpha S^{N/4}$ , we obtain

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 S^{N/2} - \frac{1}{p}\alpha^p S^{N/2} - \frac{1}{4N}\alpha^2 S^{N/2}.$$

Set

$$\rho = \frac{\alpha^p S^{N/2}}{2N},$$

hence  $I(u) > \rho$  for all  $u \in \partial B(0, r)$ .

**Verification of (H2).** Assume  $0 \in \Omega$  and let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  be a fixed function such that  $\phi \equiv 1$  for  $x$  in some neighborhood of 0. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad v_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{u_\varepsilon(x)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_p}.$$

Hence, from [4],

$$\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = S + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}). \tag{2.1}$$

For every  $\mu \neq 0$ , [6, Lemma 2.1], gives a real  $t^+ > 0$  such that

$$t^+ > \left(\frac{\|\nabla \mu v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}{(p-1)\|\mu v_\varepsilon\|_p^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$t^+ < \frac{1}{\mu} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{N-2}{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Set  $\omega_\varepsilon = t^+ \mu v_\varepsilon$ . We have

$$\|\nabla \omega_\varepsilon\|_2 = t^+ \mu \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2 > \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{N}{2}} > \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}} S^{\frac{N}{4}} > \alpha S^{\frac{N}{4}} > r.$$

On the other hand, from (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(\omega_\varepsilon) &< \frac{1}{2}(t^+)^2 \|\nabla \omega_\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p}(t^+)^p \\ &< \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^N - \frac{1}{\mu^p} \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{p(N-2)}{4}} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^N. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), we deduce

$$I(\omega_\varepsilon) < \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^2} - \frac{1}{\mu^p} \frac{N-2}{N+2} \left(\frac{N-2}{N+2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}\right) (S + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}))^{N/2} < \frac{\epsilon_0^p S^{N/2}}{2N},$$

for  $\mu$  large enough. Then  $c \geq \rho > I(\omega_\varepsilon)$ . Recall that  $\omega_\varepsilon \in \Lambda^-$  ([6, Lemma 2.1] with

$$\Lambda^- = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) / \langle I'(u), u \rangle = 0, \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - (p-1)\|u\|_p^p < 0\},$$

and that  $\inf_{\Lambda^-} I$  is attained by  $\mathbf{u}_1$  [6, Theorem 2]. We conclude that

$$c \geq \rho > I(\omega_\varepsilon) \geq I(\mathbf{u}_1) > I(\mathbf{u}_0).$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.2

Applying Proposition 1.1 we obtain a sequence  $(u_j) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$I(u_j) \rightarrow c, \tag{3.1}$$

$$I'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega)^*. \tag{3.2}$$

This implies that  $\|\nabla u_j\|_2$  is uniformly bounded. Hence for a subsequence of  $u_j$ , still denoted by  $u_j$ , we can find  $\mathbf{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\rightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_j &\rightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \text{strongly in } L^q, \quad q < p, \\ u_j &\rightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we deduce that  $\mathbf{u}$  is a (weak) solution of Problem (1.1). In particular  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p = \int f\mathbf{u} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $u_j = \mathbf{u} + v_j$ , where  $v_j \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $v_j \rightarrow 0$  a.e on  $\Omega$ . We have

$$\|\nabla u_j\|_2^2 = \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_j\|_2^2 + o(1).$$

and by (3.1),

$$I(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v_j\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p}\|v_j\|_p^p = c + o(1),$$

thanks to Brezis-Lieb Lemma [5]. By (3.2) and (3.3),  $\|\nabla v_j\|_2^2 - \|v_j\|_p^p = o(1)$ , which gives

$$I(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{N}\|\nabla v_j\|_2^2 = c + o(1).$$

Set  $l = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nabla v_j\|_2^2$ , then  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_j\|_p^p = l$ . Using Sobolev inequality one see that  $l \geq Sl^{2/p}$ . Then  $l = 0$ , or  $l \geq S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ . We get, either

$$I(\mathbf{u}) = c,$$

and since

$$I(\mathbf{u}) > I(\mathbf{u}_1) > I(\mathbf{u}_0),$$

$\mathbf{u}$  is a solution of Problem (1.1) distinct from  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1$ , or

$$I(\mathbf{u}) \leq c - \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

**Remark 3.1.** One can show that  $c < \frac{1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ , consequently  $I(\mathbf{u}) < 0$  in the second case

#### 4. SEMILINEAR BIHARMONIC EQUATION

In [2], Benmouloud considered the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= |u|^{p-2}u + f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \Delta u &= u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bonded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 5$ ,  $p = \frac{2N}{N-4}$  and  $\Delta^2$  denotes the biharmonic operator. She proved that for  $f \in H^{-1}$  subject to a suitable condition, this problem has at least two distinct solutions in  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The existence of on solution follows from the mountain-pass theorem, with Palais-Smale condition, and a second is obtained by a constrained minimization (see also [3]).

It follows from this study that an analog result of Theorem 1.2 may be established by a similar argument with suitable smallness condition on  $f$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz; *Dual Variational Methods in Critical Point Theory and Applications*, J. Funct. Anal, Vol. 11, 1973, pp. 349-381.
- [2] S. Benmouloud, *Existence de solutions pour un problme biharmonique non homogne avec exposant critique de Sobolev*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 8 (2001), 555-565
- [3] S. Benmouloud, M. Sbai; *A perturbed biharmonic minimization problem with critical exponent à paraitre dans le volume 7 de Math-Recherche et applications*.
- [4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg; *Positive Solutions of NonLinear Elliptic Equations Involving Critical Sobolev Exponents*, comm. Pure. Appl. Math 36(1983), 437-477.
- [5] H. Brezis, E. Lieb; *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Sco. 88 pp. 486-490 (1983).
- [6] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Vol. 9, no. 3, 1992, p. 281-304.

SAMIRA BENMOULOU

E.G.A.L, DÉPT. MATHS, FAC. SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ IBN TOFAIL, BP. 133, KÉNITRA, MAROC  
*E-mail address:* [ben.sam@netcourrier.com](mailto:ben.sam@netcourrier.com)

MOSTAFA KHIDDI

E.G.A.L, DÉPT. MATHS, FAC. SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ IBN TOFAIL, BP. 133, KÉNITRA, MAROC

SIMOHAMMED SBAI

E.G.A.L, DÉPT. MATHS, FAC. SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ IBN TOFAIL, BP. 133, KÉNITRA, MAROC  
*E-mail address:* [sbaisimo@netcourrier.com](mailto:sbaisimo@netcourrier.com)