

## GLOBAL ATTRACTOR FOR AN EQUATION MODELLING A THERMOSTAT

RITA DE CÁSSIA D. S. BROCHE, L. AUGUSTO F. DE OLIVEIRA,  
& ANTÔNIO L. PEREIRA

ABSTRACT. In this work we show that the system considered by Guidotti and Merino in [2] as a model for a thermostat has a global attractor and, assuming that the parameter is small enough, the origin is globally asymptotically stable.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to answer a question proposed by Guidotti and Merino [2], concerning the global stability for the trivial solution of the nonlinear and nonlocal boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u_t &= u_{xx}, & x \in (0, \pi) \quad t > 0 \\u_x(0, t) &= \tanh(\beta u(\pi, t)), & t > 0 \quad \beta > 0 \\u_x(\pi, t) &= 0, & t > 0 \\u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x \in (0, \pi).\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

This problem was proposed in [2] as a rudimentary model for a thermostat. To achieve this goal, we first show the existence of a global compact attractor  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  for (1.1), for any positive value of the parameter  $\beta$ . We then prove that  $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0\}$  if  $0 < \beta < 1/\pi$ , thus showing that the trivial solution is globally asymptotically stable in the phase space, for these values of  $\beta$ .

### 2. GLOBAL SEMI-FLUX IN A FRACTIONAL POWER SPACE $X^\alpha$

As in [2] we adopt here the following weak formulation for (1.1):  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\pi u_t \varphi dx + \int_0^\pi u_x \varphi_x dx &= -\tanh(\beta u(\pi)) \varphi(0), \quad t > 0, \\u(0) &= u_0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

for all  $\varphi \in H^1(0, \pi) = H^1$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35K60.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlocal boundary conditions, parabolic equations, attractors.

©2003 Texas State University-San Marcos.

Submitted August 1, 2003. Published September 26, 2003.

R. B. was partially supported by CAPES - Brazil.

L. de O. was partially supported by grant MECD 023/01 from CAPES Brazil.

Consider the linear operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^1, (H^1)')$  induced by the continuous bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot) : H^1 \times H^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $a(u, v) = ((u, v))_{H^1}$ , that is,

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{(H^1)' \times H^1} = a(u, v) = ((u, v))_{H^1}, \forall u, v \in H^1.$$

We may interpret  $A$  as the unbounded closed nonnegative self-adjoint operator  $A : D(A) \subset L^2(0, \pi) \rightarrow L^2(0, \pi) = L^2$  defined by

$$Au(x) = -u''(x) + u(x), x \in (0, \pi),$$

for any  $u \in D(A) = \{u \in H^2(0, \pi) : u'(0) = u'(\pi) = 0\}$ . Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{e_n\}$  denote the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $A$ , respectively. As it is easy to see,  $A$  is a sectorial operator in  $L^2(0, \pi)$  and, therefore, its fractional powers are well defined (cf. Henry [4]). Let  $X^\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , be the domain of  $A^\alpha$ . It is well known that  $X^\alpha$  endowed with the inner product

$$(u, v)_\alpha = (A^\alpha u, A^\alpha v)_{L^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha} (u, e_n)_{L^2} (v, e_n)_{L^2}$$

is a Hilbert space. In particular, we have  $X^0 = L^2$ ,  $X^1 = D(A)$  and  $X^{1/2} = H^1$ .

Following Amann [1] or Teman [5] we have, for any  $\theta \in [0, 1]$

$$X^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} = [H^1, L^2]_\theta,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\theta$  denotes the complex interpolation functor. On the other hand, for any  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$H^s(0, \pi) = [H^1, L^2]_{1-s}.$$

Letting  $\theta = 1 - s$ , we obtain  $X^\alpha = H^{2\alpha}$ , for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ .

Denoting  $X^{-1/2} = (X^{1/2})' = (H^1)'$  and considering the linear operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^1, (H^1)')$  as a unbounded operator in  $(H^1)' = X^{-1/2}$  given by  $D(A) = X^{1/2}$  and

$$\langle Au, \varphi \rangle_{-1/2, 1/2} = (u, \varphi)_{1/2} = ((u, \varphi))_{H^1},$$

for any  $u, \varphi \in H^1 = X^{1/2}$ , we rewrite equation (2.1) as an evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -Au + F(u) \quad \text{in } X^{-1/2} \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $F : X^\alpha \rightarrow X^{-1/2}$  is defined by

$$\langle F(u), \varphi \rangle_{-1/2, 1/2} = -\tanh(\beta u(\pi))\varphi(0) + \int_0^\pi u\varphi dx,$$

for  $u \in X^\alpha$  and  $\varphi \in X^{1/2}$ , that is,  $F(u) = -\gamma_0^* \tanh(\beta \gamma_\pi(u)) + u$  in  $X^{-1/2}$ , where  $\gamma_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(X^\alpha, \mathbb{R})$  is given by  $\gamma_\pi(u) = u(\pi)$  and  $\gamma_0^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, X^{-1/2})$  is the adjoint operator of  $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X^{1/2}, \mathbb{R})$  given by  $\gamma_0(u) = u(0)$ .

To have a well-posed problem in  $X^\alpha$ , we make some restrictions on  $\alpha$ . We impose first that  $X^\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, \pi])$ , which is accomplished by requiring that  $\alpha > 1/4$ . Now, according to [1, 4],  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup  $\{e^{-At}, t \geq 0\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X^{-1/2})$ ; since  $F$  maps  $X^\alpha$  into  $X^{-1/2}$ , we impose also that  $0 \leq \alpha - (-\frac{1}{2}) < 1$ . It turns out that the condition  $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  implies that (2.2) has an unique global solution  $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X^\alpha$ , for any  $u_0 \in X^\alpha$ . This follows

immediately from Theorem 3.3.3 in [4] and from the fact that  $F$  is globally Lipschitz continuous:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle F(u) - F(v), \varphi \rangle_{-1/2, 1/2}| \\ & \leq |\tanh(\beta\gamma_\pi(u)) - \tanh(\beta\gamma_\pi(v))| |\varphi(0)| + \|(u - v, \varphi)_{L^2}| \\ & \leq \beta \|\gamma_\pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^\alpha, \mathbb{R})} \|\gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{1/2}, \mathbb{R})} \|u - v\|_\alpha \|\varphi\|_{1/2} + \|u - v\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq (\beta \|\gamma_\pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^\alpha, \mathbb{R})} \|\gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{1/2}, \mathbb{R})} + k) \|u - v\|_\alpha \|\varphi\|_{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi$  in  $X^{1/2}$  and any  $u, v$  in  $X^\alpha$ , which implies

$$\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{-1/2} \leq K \|u - v\|_\alpha,$$

for all  $u, v \in X^\alpha$ , where  $K = (\beta \|\gamma_\pi\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^\alpha, \mathbb{R})} \|\gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{1/2}, \mathbb{R})} + k)$  and  $k$  is the embedding constant of  $X^\alpha$  in  $L^2$ .

Since  $F$  maps bounded sets of  $X^\alpha$  into bounded sets of  $X^{-1/2}$ , it follows by [4, Theorem 3.3.4] that the flow defined by (2.2) is global.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We denote by  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X^{-1/2})$  the semigroup generated by (2.2). Since the spectrum of  $A : X^{1/2} \subset X^{-1/2} \rightarrow X^{-1/2}$  is given by  $\sigma(A) = \{n^2 + 1; n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ , for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we have, by [4, Theorem 1.4.3],

$$\|e^{-At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{-1/2})} \leq C e^{-\delta t}, \quad \|A^\alpha e^{-At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{-1/2})} \leq C_\alpha t^{-\alpha} e^{-\delta t}, \tag{3.1}$$

for  $t > 0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle F(u), \varphi \rangle_{-1/2, 1/2}| & \leq |\tanh(\beta u(\pi))| |\varphi(0)| + \|(u, \varphi)_{L^2}| \\ & \leq |\varphi(0)| + \|u\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \|\varphi\|_{1/2} + \|u\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in X^{1/2}$ , we have that for all  $u \in X^\alpha$ ,

$$\|F(u)\|_{-1/2} \leq \sqrt{2\pi} + \|u\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in (1/4, 1/2)$ . Denote by  $B_\varepsilon$  the ball with center 0 and radius  $\pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)$  in  $L^2$ . Then we have*

- (1) *For any  $u_0 \in X^\alpha$  there exists  $t^* = t^*(u_0)$ , depending only on the  $L^2$ -norm of  $u_0$ , such that the positive semiorbit  $T(t)u_0$  is in  $B_\varepsilon$  for  $t \geq t^*(u_0)$ ;*
- (2) *While  $T(t)u_0$  is outside  $B_\varepsilon$  its  $L^2$ -norm is decreasing.*

*Proof.* Let  $u_0 \in X^\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and, for simplicity, denote by  $u(\cdot, t) = T(t)u_0$  the solution of (1.1) through  $u_0$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi u(x, t)^2 dx & = \int_0^\pi u(x, t) u_t(x, t) dx \\ & = -\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t)) u(0, t) - \int_0^\pi u_x(x, t)^2 dx, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

To obtain estimates for this derivative we consider the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(u_0) & = \{t \in (0, \infty) : u(0, t)u(\pi, t) \geq 0\}, \\ S_2(u_0) & = \{t \in (0, \infty) : u(0, t)u(\pi, t) < 0\} = (0, \infty) \setminus S_1(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

If  $t \in S_2(u_0)$ , there exists  $y(t) \in (0, \pi)$  such that  $u(y(t), t) = 0$  and then

$$|u(x, t)| \leq |u(y(t), t)| + \int_0^\pi |u_x(x, t)| dx \leq \sqrt{\pi} \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2},$$

for all  $x \in [0, \pi]$ . Therefore,

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \pi^2 \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

for any  $t \in S_2(u_0)$ . Hence, for all  $t \in S_2(u_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= |\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t))| |u(0, t)| - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\pi} \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} > \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\epsilon(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon). \tag{3.5}$$

To compute the derivative when  $t \in S_1(u_0)$ , we need to estimate  $\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}$ . Let  $m : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be the continuous function  $m(t) = \min \{|u(0, t)|, |u(\pi, t)|\}$  and

$$J(u_0) = \{t \in S_1(u_0), m(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}\}.$$

From

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \min \{|u(0, t)|, |u(\pi, t)|\} + \int_0^\pi |u_x(x, t)| dx$$

for  $x \in [0, \pi]$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \pi (m(t) + \sqrt{\pi} \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2})^2 \leq 2\pi^2 (m(t)^2 + \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - m(t)^2.$$

Thus, if  $t \in J(u_0)$ , then

$$\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, for all  $t \in J(u_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t)) u(0, t) - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

If  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} > \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)^2 \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, if  $t \in S_1(u_0) \setminus J(u_0)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t))u(0, t) - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t))u(0, t) \\ &= -\tanh(\beta |u(\pi, t)|)|u(0, t)| \\ &\leq -\tanh\left(\frac{\beta \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}}{2\pi}\right) \frac{\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} > \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\tanh\left(\frac{\beta(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)}{2}\right) \frac{(\sqrt{\pi} + \epsilon)}{2} \quad (3.9)$$

Letting  $\varepsilon_1 = \min\{\varepsilon(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon), \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)^2, \tanh\left(\frac{\beta(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)}{2}\right) \frac{(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)}{2}\}$ , we conclude using (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9), that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -2\varepsilon_1 \quad (3.10)$$

This proves our second assertion.

Suppose  $u(t, u_0)$  is outside  $B_\varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}$ . Then  $\|u(\cdot, \bar{t})\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\varepsilon_1 \bar{t}$ . Therefore, there must exist a  $t^* = t^*(u_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \pi^2(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)^2)$  such that  $u(\cdot, t^*)$  belongs to  $B_\varepsilon$ . We claim that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)$  for all  $t \geq t^*$ . Otherwise, there would exist  $t_1 \geq t^*$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2} = \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)$  and  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} > \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)$  for  $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \delta)$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $t \mapsto \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}$  is non increasing. This proves our first assertion.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha \in (1/4, 1/2)$ , then  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  has a global attractor  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ .*

*Proof.* . Let  $u_0 \in X^\alpha$  and  $u(\cdot, t) = T(t)u_0$ . By the variation of constant formula and estimates (3.1), (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\alpha &\leq Ce^{-\delta t} \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|F(u(\cdot, s))\|_{-1/2} ds, \\ &\leq Ce^{-\delta t} \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

If  $t^*(u_0)$  is as given by Lemma 3.1, for  $t > t^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\alpha &\leq C e^{-\delta t} \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha \int_0^{t^*} e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}) ds \\
&\quad + C_\alpha \int_{t^*}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}) ds \\
&\leq C e^{-\delta t} \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha \int_0^{t^*} e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}) ds \\
&\quad + C_\alpha (\sqrt{2\pi} + \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)) \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds \\
&\leq C e^{-\delta t} \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha e^{-\delta t} (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u_0\|_{L^2}) \int_0^{t^*} e^{\delta s} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds + M_1 \\
&\leq e^{-\delta t} \left( C \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u_0\|_{L^2}) e^{\delta t^*} (t^*)^{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-1} \right) + M_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where  $M_1 = C_\alpha (\sqrt{2\pi} + \pi(\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon)) \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds$ . From this formula, and the continuous inclusion of  $X_\alpha$  in  $L^2$ , it is easy to see that one can choose  $t_1 > 0$ , depending only on the norm of  $u_0$  in  $X_\alpha$ , so that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\alpha \leq 2M_1,$$

for all  $t \geq t_1$  and, therefore, the semigroup  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is bounded dissipative.

If  $t < t^*$  the same estimate (without the last term and with  $t$  in the place of  $t^*$ ) shows that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\alpha \leq e^{-\delta t} C \|u_0\|_\alpha + C_\alpha (\sqrt{2\pi} + \|u_0\|_{L^2}) t^{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-1} \tag{3.13}$$

From 3.12 and 3.13 it follows that orbits of bounded sets are bounded. Since  $A$  has compact resolvent and  $F$  maps bounded sets in  $X^\alpha$  into bounded sets in  $X^{-1/2}$ , it follows from [3, Theorem 4.2.2] that  $T(t)$  is compact for all  $t > 0$ . The result follows then from [3, Theorem 3.4.6].  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** We observe that 3.12 above also gives an estimate for the size of the attractor.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $\beta \in (0, 1/\pi)$  and  $\alpha \in (1/4, 1/2)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We will use the estimates obtained in Lemma 3.2 for the decay of the  $L^2$ -norm of a solution  $u(\cdot, t)$  when  $t \in S_1(u_0)$ . If  $t \in S_2(u_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= |\tanh(\beta u(\pi, t))| |u(0, t)| - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \beta |u(\pi, t)| |u(0, t)| - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \beta (\sqrt{\pi} \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2})^2 - \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq (\beta\pi - 1) \|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq -\frac{1-\beta\pi}{\pi^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

If  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \geq \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{1-\beta\pi}{\pi^2} \varepsilon^2, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\pi^2}, \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right) \right\}$ , we obtain using (3.14), (3.6) and (3.8), that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -2\varepsilon_2. \tag{3.15}$$

Suppose  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \geq \varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}$ . Then  $\|u(\cdot, \bar{t})\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\varepsilon_2\bar{t}$ . Therefore, there must exist a  $t^* = t^*(u_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \varepsilon^2)$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq t^*$ .

Since the attractor  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is a bounded subset of  $L^2$ , there exists  $t^*(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_\beta = T(t^*)\mathcal{A}_\beta \subset V_\varepsilon$ , where  $V_\varepsilon$  is the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  in  $L^2$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0\}$  as claimed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, *Parabolic Evolution Equations and Nonlinear Boundary Conditions*, Journal of Differential Equations, **72** (1988), 201-269.
- [2] P. Guidotti and S. Merino, *Hopf Bifurcation in a Scalar Reaction Diffusion Equation*, Journal of Differential Equations, **140**, no. 1, (1997), 209-222.
- [3] J.K. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **25**, AMS (1988).
- [4] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, **840**, Springer-Verlag (1981).
- [5] R. Temam, *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer-Verlag (1980).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

*E-mail address*, Rita de Cássia D. S. Broche: [ritac@ime.usp.br](mailto:ritac@ime.usp.br)

*E-mail address*, L. Augusto F. de Oliveira: [luizaug@ime.usp.br](mailto:luizaug@ime.usp.br)

*E-mail address*, Antônio L. Pereira: [alpereir@ime.usp.br](mailto:alpereir@ime.usp.br)