

EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF LINEAR AND ALMOST PERIODIC SYSTEMS ON BANACH SPACES

CONSTANTIN BUȘE & VASILE LUPULESCU

ABSTRACT. Let $v_f(\cdot, 0)$ the mild solution of the well-posed inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{v}(t) = A(t)v(t) + f(t), \quad v(0) = 0 \quad t \geq 0$$

on a complex Banach space X , where $A(\cdot)$ is an almost periodic (possibly unbounded) operator-valued function. We prove that $v_f(\cdot, 0)$ belongs to a suitable subspace of bounded and uniformly continuous functions if and only if for each $x \in X$ the solution of the homogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t), \quad u(0) = x \quad t \geq 0$$

is uniformly exponentially stable. Our approach is based on the spectral theory of evolution semigroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . The norms on X and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. We recall that a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s}$ of bounded linear operators acting on X , is a *strongly continuous and exponentially bounded evolution family* (which we will call simply an evolution family), if $U(t, t) = \text{Id}$ (Id is the identity operator on X), $U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r)$ for all $t \geq s \geq r$, for each $x \in X$ the map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous and there exist $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M_\omega \geq 1$ such that

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq M_\omega e^{\omega(t-s)} \quad \text{for all } t \geq s. \quad (1.1)$$

If $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is a suitable Banach function space, then for each $t \geq 0$ the operator $\mathcal{T}(t)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{T}(t)f)(s) = U(s, s-t)f(s-t), \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.2)$$

acts on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and the family $\{\mathcal{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup which is called the *evolution semigroup* associated with the family \mathcal{U} on the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. For example, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X) = C_{00}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions that vanish at infinities and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X) = L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, the usual Lebesgue-Bochner space, are suitable. Similar results were obtained when $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ are certain subspaces of $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the Banach space of all X -valued, bounded

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B10, 35B15, 35B40, 47A10, 47D03.

Key words and phrases. Almost periodic functions, uniform exponential stability, evolution semigroups.

©2003 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 13, 2003. Published December 16, 2003.

and uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R} , endowed with the sup-norm. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. The space $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ can be defined in a similar way.

We will use the following closed subspaces of $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, see [9, 14, 18]:

$AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is the smallest closed subspace of $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ which contains all functions of the form:

$$t \mapsto e^{i\mu t}x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in X;$$

$C_0^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is the subspace of $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ consisting by all functions vanishing at ∞ ;

$AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is the space consisting by all functions f with relatively compact range for which there exist $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $h \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $f = g + h$. $P_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$, with strictly positive fixed q , is the space consisting by all continuous and q -periodic functions.

The evolution family \mathcal{U} is called q -periodic if the function $U(t + \cdot, s + \cdot)$ is q -periodic for every pair (t, s) with $t \geq s$. Also we say that the family \mathcal{U} is *asymptotically almost periodic with relatively compact range* (a.a.p.r.) if for each $x \in X$ and each pair (t, s) with $t \geq s$, the map $U(t + \cdot, s + \cdot)x$ lies in the space $AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$. If the evolution family \mathcal{U} is q -periodic and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X) = P_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ or $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, X) = AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ then the semigroup $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined in (1.2) acts on $P_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ or $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and it is strongly continuous. Moreover, if \mathcal{U} is a.a.p.r. and for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} U(s, s - t)x = x$, uniformly for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the evolution semigroup \mathcal{T} is defined on $AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and is strongly continuous. More details related to these results can be found in [1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16]. Interesting results on this subject in the general framework of dynamical systems have been obtained by D. N. Cheban [6, 7].

2. ALMOST PERIODIC EVOLUTION FAMILIES AND EVOLUTION SEMIGROUPS

An X -valued function f defined on \mathbb{R} is called almost periodic (a.p.) if it belongs to the space $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a strongly continuous and exponentially bounded evolution family on the Banach space X and let f be a X -valued function on \mathbb{R} . We will consider the following hypotheses about \mathcal{U} and f .

- (H1) The function $U(\cdot, \cdot - t)x$ is a.p. for every $t \geq 0$ and any $x \in X$.
- (H2) The function $U(\cdot, \cdot - t)x$ has relatively compact range for every $t \geq 0$ and any $x \in X$.
- (H3) For each $x \in X$ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U(s, s - t)x = x$ uniformly for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H4) The function f is a.p.

It is well-known that (H1) implies (H2).

Theorem 2.1. (i) *If the evolution family \mathcal{U} satisfies (H1) and f satisfies (H4) then for each $t \geq 0$, the function $\mathcal{T}(t)f$ is a.p.*
 (ii) *If \mathcal{U} satisfies (H2) and f satisfies (H4) then for each $t \geq 0$, the map $\mathcal{T}(t)f$ has relatively compact range.*
 (iii) *If \mathcal{U} satisfies (H1) and (H3) then the semigroup \mathcal{T} acts on $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and is strongly continuous.*
 (iv) *If \mathcal{U} satisfies (H1) and (H3) then the evolution semigroup \mathcal{T} is defined on $AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and is strongly continuous.*

Proof. (i) Let $p_n(t) := \sum_{k=0}^n c_k e^{i\mu_k t} x_k$ with $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_k \in X$ such that $p_n(s)$ converges uniformly at $f(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $U(s, s - t)p_n(s - t)$

converges uniformly at $U(s, s-t)f(s-t)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the map:

$$s \mapsto U(s, s-t)p_n(s-t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k e^{i\mu_k(s-t)} U(s, s-t)x_k$$

is a. p. its limit $U(\cdot, \cdot-t)f(\cdot-t)$ is a.p. as well.

(ii) Let $t \geq 0$ be fixed. First we prove that for each $x \in X$ and each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $s \mapsto U(s, s-t)e^{i\mu(s-t)}x$ has relatively compact range. Let (s_n) be a sequence of real numbers such that $(U(s_n, s_n-t)x)$ converges in X . Since the sequence $(e^{i\mu(s_n-t)})$, is bounded in \mathbb{C} , we can suppose that the sequence $(e^{i\mu(s_n-t)}U(s_n, s_n-t)x)$ converges in X . Let $p_N(s-t) = \sum_{k=0}^N c_k e^{i\mu_k(s-t)}x_k$, as above, be such that $p_N(s-t) \rightarrow f(s-t)$ uniformly for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the inequality

$$Me^{\omega t} \|f(s_n-t) - p_{N_0}(s_n-t)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds for n sufficiently large. We denote by y_t the limit in X of the sequence $(U(s_n, s_n-t)p_{N_0}(s_n-t))$. Then, for n sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(s_n, s_n-t)f(s_n-t) - y_t\| \\ & \leq \|U(s_n, s_n-t)f(s_n-t) - U(s_n, s_n-t)p_{N_0}(s_n-t)\| \\ & \quad + \|U(s_n, s_n-t)p_{N_0}(s_n-t)\| \\ & \leq Me^{\omega t} \|f(s_n-t) - p_{N_0}(s_n-t)\| + \|U(s_n, s_n-t)p_{N_0}(s_n-t) - y_t\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map $U(\cdot, \cdot-t)f(\cdot-t)$ has relatively compact range.

(iii) Let $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We can choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the following three inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|U(s, s-t)p_{N_0}(s-t) - p_{N_0}(s-t)\| & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N_0} |c_k| \|U(s, s-t)x_k - x_k\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|p_{N_0}(s-t) - f(s-t)\| & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(s-t) - f(s)\| & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $0 \leq t < \delta$. Now it is clear that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{T}(t)f - f\|_\infty = 0$, hence the semigroup \mathcal{T} is strongly continuous.

(iv) Finally we show that the semigroup \mathcal{T} given in (1.2) on $AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is strongly continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. We can choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that the inequality

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(s-t) - f(s)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds for $0 \leq t < \delta_1$. Since f has relatively compact range there exist s_1, s_2, \dots, s_ν in \mathbb{R} such that:

$$\overline{\text{range}(f)} \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\nu} B(f(s_k), \frac{\varepsilon}{6Me^{\omega t}}), \quad \omega > 0, t \geq 0.$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ such that $f(s-t) \in B(f(s_k), \frac{\varepsilon}{6Me^{\omega t}})$. From hypothesis it follows that there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the inequality

$$\|U(s, s-t)f(s_k) - f(s_k)\| < \varepsilon/6$$

holds for $0 \leq t < \delta_2$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then for every t in $[0, \delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(s, s-t)f(s-t) - f(s)\| \\ & \leq \|U(s, s-t)f(s-t) - U(s, s-t)f(s_k)\| + \|U(s, s-t)f(s_k) - f(s_k)\| \\ & \quad + \|f(s_k) - f(s-t)\| + \|f(s-t) - f(s)\| \\ & \leq Me^{\omega t} \|f(s-t) - f(s_k)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon; \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{T}(t)f - f\|_\infty = 0$. In the above considerations we supposed that \mathcal{T} acts on $AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Next, we show that this is true. Let $f \in AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $t \geq 0$ be fixed. From the hypothesis it results that there exist a sequence (s_n) of real numbers and y_t, z_t in X such that

$$f(s_n - t) \rightarrow y_t \quad \text{and} \quad U(s_n, s_n - t)y_t \rightarrow z_t \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $U(s_n, s_n - t)f(s_n - t) \rightarrow z_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, we have

$$\|U(s_n, s_n - t)f(s_n - t) - z_t\| \leq \|U(s_n, s_n - t)[f(s_n - t) - y_t]\| + \|U(s_n, s_n - t)y_t - z_t\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

3. EVOLUTION SEMIGROUPS AND EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

Let $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{R}, X) := P_q(\mathbb{R}, X) \oplus C_0^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and \mathcal{U} be a q -periodic evolution family of bounded linear operators on the Banach space X . It is easy to see that the evolution semigroup \mathcal{T} defined in (1.2) acts on $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and it is strongly continuous. By $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ we will denote the subspace of $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ consisting of all functions f on \mathbb{R}_+ for which $f(0) = 0$ and there exists F_f in $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $F_f(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. For such f we consider the map:

$$(\mathcal{S}(t)f)(s) := \begin{cases} U(s, s-t)f(s-t) & \text{if } s \geq t \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq s < t. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.1. *With the previous notation we have that $\mathcal{S}(t)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for each $t \geq 0$ and the evolution semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous.*

Proof. Let $t \geq 0$ be fixed, $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $\tilde{f} := \mathcal{S}(t)f$. Then $F_f = G_f + H_f$ with $G_f \in P_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $H_f \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $f = G_f + H_f$ on \mathbb{R}_+ . Let us consider the maps $\tilde{G}_f \in P_q(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\tilde{H}_f \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_f(s) &= (\mathcal{T}(t)G_f)(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \tilde{H}_f(s) &= \begin{cases} (\mathcal{T}(t)H_f)(s) & \text{if } s \geq t \\ -(\mathcal{T}(t)G_f)(s) & \text{if } s < t. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $t > 0$ then $\tilde{G}_f(0) + \tilde{H}_f(0) = 0$, and if $t = 0$ then

$$\tilde{G}_f(0) + \tilde{H}_f(0) = (\mathcal{T}(0)G_f)(0) + (\mathcal{T}(0)H_f)(0) = U(0, 0)G_f(0) + U(0, 0)H_f(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand it is clear that $\tilde{f} = \tilde{G}_f + \tilde{H}_f$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , hence \tilde{f} belongs to $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. Using the strong continuity of \mathcal{T} and the uniform continuity of f , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}(t)f - f\|_\infty & \leq \sup_{s \geq t} \|(\mathcal{T}(t)F_f)(s) - F_f(s)\| + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|f(s)\| \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{T}(t)F_f - F_f\|_{\mathcal{F}_q(\mathbb{R}, X)} + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|f(s)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The last term tends to 0 when t tends to 0. Therefore, the semigroup \mathcal{S} is strongly continuous. \square

The following theorem seems to be a new characterization of the exponential stability for evolution families.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{U} be a q -periodic evolution family of bounded linear operators on the Banach space X . The following two statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The family \mathcal{U} is exponentially stable, that is, we can choose a negative ω such that (1.1) holds.*
- (2) *For each f in $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the map $t \mapsto \int_0^t U(t, \tau)f(\tau)d\tau : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.*

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ contains $C_{00}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. Then we can apply [3, Theorem 3] which works with $C_{00}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ instead of $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. Here $C_{00}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ denotes the subspace of $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ consisting by all functions that vanish at 0 and ∞ .

(1) \Rightarrow (2) \mathcal{U} is exponentially stable so the semigroup \mathcal{S} defined in (3.1) is exponentially stable as well. Then the generator

$$G : D(G) \subset \mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$$

of \mathcal{S} is an invertible operator. The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be complete using the following lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{u, f\}$ belong to $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $u \in D(G)$ and $Gu = -f$.
- (2) $u(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds$ for all $t \geq 0$.

This Lemma is well-known for certain spaces instead of $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be the set of all X -valued functions f on \mathbb{R}_+ for which there exist $t_f \geq 0$ and $F_f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $F_f(t_f) = 0$ and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, t_f] \\ F_f(t) & \text{if } t > t_f. \end{cases}$$

The smallest closed subspaces of $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ which contains $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. By $AAP_{r_0}^+(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ we will denote the space consisting by all functions f for which there exists $F_f \in AAP_r^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $F_f(0) = 0$ and $F_f = f$ on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proposition 3.4. (1) *If the evolution family \mathcal{U} satisfies the hypothesis (H1) and (H3) then the semigroup \mathcal{S} , given in (3.1) acts on $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Moreover the semigroup \mathcal{S} is strongly continuous.*

- (2) *If the family \mathcal{U} satisfies $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2$ and (H3) then the semigroup \mathcal{S} acts on $AAP_{r_0}^+(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and is strongly continuous.*

The proof of (1) can be obtained as in [4, Lemma 2.2], and the proof on (2) as in [5, Lemma 2.2]. Thus we omit their proof.

For every real fixed T we consider the spaces $BUC([T, \infty), X)$ and $AP([T, \infty), X)$. Recall that $AP([T, \infty))$ is bounded locally dense in $BUC([T, \infty), X)$; that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every bounded and closed interval $I \subset [T, \infty)$ and every $f \in C(I, X)$

there exist a function $f_{\varepsilon, I} \in AP([T, \infty), X)$ and a positive constant L , independent of ε and I such that

$$\sup_{s \in I} \|f(s) - f_{\varepsilon, I}(s)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

and $\|f_{\varepsilon, I}\|_{BUC([T, \infty), X)} \leq L\|f\|_{C(I, X)}$ (see [17], page 335).

Let $BUC_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be the space of functions in $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for which $f(0) = 0$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is bounded locally dense in $BUC_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ hence $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is bounded locally dense in $BUC_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ as well.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{U} is an evolution family that satisfies hypotheses (H1) and (H3). The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The family \mathcal{U} is exponentially stable.*
- (2) *For each $f \in \mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the map $t \mapsto \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.*

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows as in [4, Theorem 2.3]. Now we show that (2) \Rightarrow (1). By the uniform boundedness theorem there is a constant $K > 0$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$,

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left\| \int_0^t U(t, s)g(s)ds \right\| \leq K\|g\|_{\infty}.$$

For a given $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $t > 0$, let $M_t = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t} \|U(s, r)\|$ and let $f_t \in \mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|f(s) - f_t(s)\| &\leq \frac{1}{tM_t} \|f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}, \\ \|f_t\|_{BUC_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} &\leq L\|f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds \right\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^t U(t, s)[f(s) - f_t(s)]ds \right\| + \left\| \int_0^t U(t, s)f_t(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + KL) \cdot \|f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by [3, Theorem 3], \mathcal{U} is exponentially stable. \square

Now we can write the spectral mapping theorem for the evolution semigroup \mathcal{S} on $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ corresponding to an evolution family \mathcal{U} . Of course similar results hold for the spaces $\mathcal{F}_q^0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $AAP_{r_0}^+(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. With $(G, D(G))$ we will denote the generator of \mathcal{S} with its maximal domain. By $\sigma(G)$ we denote the spectrum of G . The spectral bound $s(G)$ is defined by

$$s(G) = \sup\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(G)\},$$

and the spectral radius of $\mathcal{S}(t)$ is defined by

$$r(\mathcal{S}(t)) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}(t))\}.$$

Theorem 3.6. *If \mathcal{U} is an evolution family that satisfies the hypothesis (H1) and (H3) then the evolution semigroup \mathcal{S} associated with \mathcal{U} , defined on $\mathcal{AP}_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, satisfies the spectral mapping theorem; that is,*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{S}(t)) \setminus \{0\} = e^{t\sigma(G)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, $\sigma(G) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq s(G)\}$, and for every $t > 0$,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{S}(t)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq r(\mathcal{S}(t))\}.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions on a preliminary version of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. **96**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [2] C. J. K. Batty, R. Chill, *Bounded convolutions and solutions of inhomogeneous Cauchy problems*, Forum. Math. **11**, No.2, 253-277, (1999).
- [3] C. Buşe, *On the Perron-Bellman theorem for evolutionary processes with exponential growth in Banach spaces*, New Zealand Journal of Mathematics, **27**, (1998), 183-190.
- [4] C. Buşe, O. Jitianu, *A new theorem on exponential stability of periodic evolution families on Banach spaces*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. **2003**, (2003), No. 14, pp.1-10.
- [5] C. Buşe, *A spectral mapping theorem for evolution semigroups on asymptotically almost periodic functions defined on the half line*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. **2002** (2002), No. 70, pp. 1-11.
- [6] D. N. Cheban, *Relationship between different types of stability for linear almost periodic systems in Banach spaces*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. **1999** (1999), No. 46, pp.1-9.
- [7] D. N. Cheban, *Uniform exponential stability of linear periodic systems in a Banach spaces*, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. **2001** (2001), No. 03, pp. 1-12.
- [8] S. Clark, Y. Latushkin, S. Montgomery-Smith, and T. Randolph, *Stability radius and internal versus, external stability: An evolution semigroup approach*, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, **38**, (2000),1757-1793.
- [9] C. Corduneanu, *Almost-Periodic Functions*, Interscience Wiley, New-York-London, Sydney-Toronto, 1968.
- [10] C. Chicone, Y. Latushkin, *Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [11] R. Datko, *Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **3** (1972), 428-445.
- [12] W. Hutter, F. Rübiger, *Spectral mapping theorems for evolution semigroups on spaces of almost periodic functions*, Quaest. Math. **26**, No.2, 191-211(2003).
- [13] Y. Latushkin, S. Montgomery-Smith, *Evolutionary semigroups and Lyapunov theorems in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **127**(1995), 173-197.
- [14] B. M. Levitan, V. V. Zhicov, *Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [15] Nguyen Van Minh, F. Rübiger and R. Schnaubelt, *Exponential stability, exponential expansiveness, and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half-line*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **32**, (1998), 332-353.
- [16] S. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, *Evolution semigroups and spectral criteria for almost periodic solutions of periodic evolution equations*, J. Diff. Equations **152** (1999), 338-376.
- [17] J. van Neerven, *Characterization of Exponential Stability of a Semigroups of Operators in Terms of its Action by Convolution on Vector-Valued Function Spaces over \mathbb{R}_+* , J. Diff. Eq. **124**, No. 2 (1996), 324-342.
- [18] S. D. Zaidman, *Almost-Periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces*, Research Notes in Math. **126**, Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1985.

CONSTANTIN BUŞE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMIŞOARA, BD. V. PÂRVAN, NO. 4, TIMIŞOARA, ROMÂNIA

E-mail address: buse@hilbert.math.uvt.ro

VASILE LUPULESCU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, "CONSTANTIN BRÂNCUŞI" - UNIVERSITY OF TG. JIU, BD. REPUBLICII, NO. 1, TG. JIU, ROMÂNIA

E-mail address: vasile@utgjiu.ro