

## BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATION

SVETLIN GEORGIEV GEORGIEV

ABSTRACT. We study the solutions to the the radial 2-dimensional wave equation

$$\chi_{tt} - \frac{1}{r}\chi_r - \chi_{rr} + \frac{\sinh 2\chi}{2r^2} = g,$$

$$\chi(1, r) = \chi_0 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma, \quad \chi_t(1, r) = \chi_1 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1},$$

where  $r = |x|$  and  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We show that this Cauchy problem, with values into a hyperbolic space, is ill posed in subcritical Sobolev spaces. In particular, we construct a function  $g(t, r)$  in the space  $L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$ , with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 3 - \gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $1 < q \leq 2$ , for which the solution satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} = \infty$ . In doing so, we provide a counterexample to estimates in [1].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the properties of the solutions to the Cauchy problem

$$\chi_{tt} - \frac{1}{r}\chi_r - \chi_{rr} + \frac{\sinh(2\chi)}{2r^2} = g(t, r), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\chi(1, r) = \chi_0 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma, \quad \chi_t(1, r) = \chi_1 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $g \in L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 3 - \gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ ,  $r = |x|$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

The homogeneous problem, i.e. (1.1) with  $g \equiv 0$ , has been investigated by several authors; see for example [1, p. 89-141], [10], [11], and references therein. For the non-homogeneous problem, we construct function  $g$  in  $L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$ , and a solution  $\bar{\chi}$  to (1.1)–(1.2) such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} = \infty$ . This implies that (1.1)–(1.2) is an ill posed problem, and provides a counter example to [1, pages 3–4, Eq. (9)].

Equation (1.1) is obtained from the wave map equation with a source term when  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the target is the hyperboloid  $\mathcal{H}^2 : u_1^2 + u_2^2 - u_3^2 = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let us consider the equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - (|u_t|^2 - |\nabla_x u|^2)u = q(t, x),$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35L10, 35L50.

*Key words and phrases*. Wave equation, blow-up, hyperbolic space.

©2004 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted March 16, 2004. Published May 26, 2004.

when  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the target is the hyperboloid  $\mathcal{H}^2 : u_1^2 + u_2^2 - u_3^2 = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Equation (1) is a wave map equation with a source term, where

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t|^2 &= u_{1t}^2 + u_{2t}^2 - u_{3t}^2, \\ |\nabla_x u|^2 &= |\nabla_{x_1} u|^2 + |\nabla_{x_2} u|^2, \\ |\nabla_{x_i} u|^2 &= u_{1x_i}^2 + u_{2x_i}^2 - u_{3x_i}^2, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \cosh \chi \cos \phi_1 (\chi_{tt} - \Delta \chi) + \frac{1}{r^2} \sinh \chi \cos \phi_1 + \frac{\sinh^3 \chi \cos \phi_1}{r^2}, \\ q_2 &= \cosh \chi \sin \phi_1 (\chi_{tt} - \Delta \chi) + \frac{1}{r^2} \sinh \chi \sin \phi_1 + \frac{\sinh^3 \chi \sin \phi_1}{r^2}, \\ q_3 &= \sinh \chi g. \end{aligned}$$

For the hyperboloid  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , we have the parametric representation

$$u = (u_1, u_2, u_3), \quad (1.3)$$

$$u_1 = \sinh \chi \cos \phi_1, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u_2 = \sinh \chi \sin \phi_1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$u_3 = \cosh \chi, \quad \chi \geq 0, \quad \phi_1 \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (1.6)$$

Let  $x_1 = r \cos \phi_1$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin \phi_1$ . Then from (1), we get that  $\chi$  satisfies (1.1).

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 3 - \gamma$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then there exist function  $g \in L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$  and solution  $\bar{\chi}$  to (1.1)–(1.2) such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{\dot{H}^\gamma_{\text{rad}}} = \infty.$$

Note that the case  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 2$  which is the energy case can not be reached by the setting in this theorem.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let  $f$  be a real-valued function satisfying

$$(H1) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^2[0, \infty),$$

$$(H2) \quad f(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = f'(1) = 0.$$

As an example of a function satisfying (H1)–(H2), we have

$$f(x) = (1 - x)^2 x. \quad (2.1)$$

Certainly  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2[0, \infty)$ ; therefore, (H1) holds. Note that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(x) = -2(1 - x)x + (1 - x)^2$  thus  $f(1) = f'(1) = 0$ ; therefore, (H2) holds.

In addition, assume that:

$$(H3) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 3 - \gamma, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \quad p \geq 1, \quad q > 1$$

$$(H4) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2 - q, \quad \beta > 0$$

$$(H5) \quad \text{Either } \beta > \alpha \text{ with } \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < \frac{q}{2p(q-1)} \text{ or } \beta < \alpha \text{ with } \frac{\beta}{\alpha} > \frac{q(2p-1)}{2p}.$$

Note that when (H3) holds,  $q \leq 2$ ; because if  $q > 2$  then  $3 - \gamma = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 + 1 = 2$ , from where  $\gamma > 1$  which contradicts  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

Let  $z^{1/\alpha} = \frac{r}{t^{\beta/\alpha}}$ . Then  $z^{2/\alpha} = \frac{r^2}{t^{2\beta/\alpha}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z^{2/\alpha}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{2\beta}{\alpha} \frac{1}{t} z^{2/\alpha}, & \frac{\partial^2 z^{2/\alpha}}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{2\beta(\alpha+2\beta)}{\alpha^2} \frac{1}{t^2} z^{2/\alpha}, \\ \frac{\partial z^{2/\alpha}}{\partial r} &= \frac{2}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, & \frac{\partial^2 z^{2/\alpha}}{\partial r^2} &= \frac{2}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be a function satisfying (H1)–(H2) and let

$$\chi_0 = \begin{cases} f(r^2) & \text{for } r \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\chi_1 = \begin{cases} -\frac{2\beta}{\alpha} r^2 f'(r^2) & \text{for } r \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

$$B_1 = \frac{4\beta^2}{\alpha^2} z^{4/\alpha} f''(z^{2/\alpha}) + \frac{2\beta(\alpha+2\beta)}{\alpha^2} z^{2/\alpha} f'(z^{2/\alpha}), \quad (2.4)$$

$$B_2 = z^{2/\alpha} f''(z^{2/\alpha}) + f'(z^{2/\alpha}), \quad (2.5)$$

$$g = \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{t^{2\beta/\alpha}} B_2 + \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2r^2} & \text{for } r \leq t^{\beta/\alpha}, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq t^{\beta/\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

and let

$$\bar{\chi} = \begin{cases} f(z^{2/\alpha}) & \text{for } r \leq t^{\beta/\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq t^{\beta/\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Note that  $\bar{\chi}$  is a solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Indeed, for  $z \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_t &= -\frac{2\beta}{t\alpha} z^{2/\alpha} f'(z^{2/\alpha}), \\ \bar{\chi}_{tt} &= \frac{4\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \frac{1}{t^2} z^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} f''(z^{2/\alpha}) + \frac{2\beta(\alpha+2\beta)}{\alpha^2} \frac{z^{2/\alpha}}{t^2} f'(z^{2/\alpha}), \\ \bar{\chi}_r &= \frac{2}{t^{\beta/\alpha}} z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} f'(z^{2/\alpha}), \\ \bar{\chi}_{rr} &= \frac{4}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} z^{2/\alpha} f''(z^{2/\alpha}) + \frac{2}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} f'(z^{2/\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $z \leq 1$ , we have

$$\bar{\chi}_{tt} - \frac{1}{r} \bar{\chi}_r - \bar{\chi}_{rr} + \frac{\sinh 2\bar{\chi}}{2r^2} = \frac{B_1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} B_2 + \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2r^2} \equiv g,$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Under Assumptions (H1)–(H5), the function  $g$  defined by (2.6) is in the space  $L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\|g\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^q}^q = \int_0^{t^{\beta/\alpha}} |g|^q r \, dr = \int_0^{t^{\beta/\alpha}} \left| \frac{B_1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} B_2 + \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2r^2} \right|^q r \, dr.$$

By making the change of variable  $r = z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t^{\beta/\alpha}$ ,

$$dr = t^{\beta/\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} dz, \quad r \, dr = t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz$$

(with  $t$  fixed) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^q}^q &= \frac{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \left| B_1 \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}} B_2 + \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}} z^{2/\alpha}} \right|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}-2q}}{\alpha} \int_0^1 |B_1|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz + c_2 \frac{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}(1-q)}}{\alpha} \int_0^1 |B_2|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz \\ &\quad + c_3 \frac{t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}(1-q)}}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2z^{2/\alpha}} \right|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 |B_1|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz, \quad I_2 = \int_0^1 |B_2|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz, \quad I_3 = \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sinh(2\bar{\chi})}{2z^{2/\alpha}} \right|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz.$$

From the definition of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  and since (H1) and (H4) hold (we note that  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  because  $1 < q \leq 2$ ), we have  $|B_1|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ ,  $|B_2|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ . Then  $I_1 < \infty$ ,  $I_2 < \infty$ . Now we consider  $I_3$ . Let  $B$  be constant for which

$$B - 2 \cosh(2\bar{\chi})\bar{\chi}' \geq 0.$$

Such a constant  $B$  exists because  $\bar{\chi}(z^{2/\alpha})$  and  $\bar{\chi}'(z^{2/\alpha})$  are bounded functions for  $z \in [0, 1]$  and  $\text{supp } \bar{\chi} \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\text{supp } \bar{\chi}' \subset [0, 1]$ . Let

$$p(z) = Bz^{2/\alpha} - \sinh(2\bar{\chi}).$$

Then

$$p'(z) = \frac{2}{\alpha} z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} (B - 2 \cosh(2\bar{\chi})\bar{\chi}') \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in [0, 1].$$

Consequently,  $p(z)$  is an increasing function for  $z \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $p(z) \geq p(0) = 0$  for all  $z \in [0, 1]$  or  $Bz^{2/\alpha} \geq \sinh(2\bar{\chi})$  for  $z \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$I_3 \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{Bz^{2/\alpha}}{2z^{2/\alpha}} \right|^q z^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-1} dz \equiv \text{const} < \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\|g\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^q}^q \leq \bar{c}_1 t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}-2q} + \bar{c}_2 t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}(1-q)}$$

and from here

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^q} &\leq \tilde{c}_1 t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha q}-2} + \tilde{c}_2 t^{\frac{2\beta}{\alpha q}-\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}}, \\ \|g\|_{L^p([0,1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)}^p &\leq \bar{c}_1 \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2\beta p}{\alpha q}-2p} dt + \bar{c}_2 \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2\beta p}{\alpha q}-\frac{2\beta p}{\alpha}} dt < \infty \end{aligned}$$

because (H5) holds. Here  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$  are positive constants.  $\square$

As special notation we have

$$\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \dot{F}_{2,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < s < \infty$$

where (see [1, p. 94, def. 2])

$$\dot{F}_{2,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{B}_{2,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad -\infty < s < \infty.$$

As in [5, p. 30-31], when  $f(r)$  is a function with compact support in  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} := \left( \int_0^1 h^{-1-2\gamma} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^2}^2 dh \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $\Delta_h f = f(r+h) - f(r)$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Under assumptions (H1)–(H5), the function  $\chi_\circ$  defined by (2.2) is in the space  $\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of the norm,

$$\|\chi_\circ\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma}^2 = \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_0^1 |f((r+h)^2) - f(r^2)|^2 r \, dr \, dh$$

Using the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\circ\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma}^2 &= \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_0^1 |f'(\xi)|^2 [(r+h)^2 - r^2]^2 r \, dr \, dh \\ &= \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_0^1 |f'(\xi)|^2 (h^2 + 2rh)^2 r \, dr \, dh \\ &\leq Q_1 \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_0^1 (h^4 r + 4r^2 h^3 + 4r^3 h^2) \, dr \, dh \\ &= Q_1 \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \left( \frac{h^4}{2} + \frac{4}{3} h^3 + h^2 \right) dh \\ &= Q_1 \frac{1}{2(4-2\gamma)} + \frac{4}{3} Q_1 \frac{1}{3-2\gamma} + Q_1 \frac{1}{2-2\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_1$  is positive constant. Therefore,  $\|\chi_\circ\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} \leq Q_2$  for some constant  $Q_2$  and  $\chi_\circ \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Under Assumptions (H1)–(H5), the function  $\chi_1$  defined by (2.3) is in the space  $\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $L_{\text{rad}}^2 \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}$ . On the other hand

$$\|\chi_1\|_{L_{\text{rad}}^2}^2 = \frac{4\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \int_0^1 r^5 |f'(r^2)|^2 dr = \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \int_0^1 r^4 |f'(r^2)|^2 dr^2 < \infty$$

because  $f'(r^2) \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ . Consequently,  $\chi_1 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}$ . □

PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Let (H1)–(H5) hold. By (2.1),  $f(z^{2/\alpha}) = (1 - z^{2/\alpha})^2 z^{2/\alpha}$ . Let  $g$  be defined by (2.6) and  $\bar{\chi}$  by (2.7). From Lemma 2.1,  $g \in L^p([0, 1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)$ . Also, we have  $\bar{\chi}(1, r) = \chi_\circ$ ,  $\bar{\chi}_t(1, r) = \chi_1$ . From Lemma 2.2,  $\bar{\chi}(1, r) \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma$ , and from Lemma 2.3,  $\bar{\chi}_t(1, r) \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{\chi}$  is solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Let  $\theta = t^{\beta/\alpha}$  which is in  $(0, 1]$ . Then

$$\|\bar{\chi}\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma}^2 = \int_0^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_0^\theta \left| f\left(\left(\frac{r+h}{\theta}\right)^2\right) - f\left(\frac{r^2}{\theta^2}\right) \right|^2 r \, dr \, dh =: I. \tag{2.8}$$

Then

$$I \geq \int_{(\sqrt{2}-\frac{1}{2})\theta}^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^{\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}}} \left| f\left(\left(\frac{r+h}{\theta}\right)^2\right) - f\left(\frac{r^2}{\theta^2}\right) \right|^2 r \, dr \, dh.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left(\frac{r+h}{\theta}\right)^2\right) - f\left(\frac{r^2}{\theta^2}\right) &= \left[1 - \frac{(r+h)^2}{\theta^2}\right]^2 \frac{(r+h)^2}{\theta^2} - \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\theta^2}\right)^2 \frac{r^2}{\theta^2} \\ &= \frac{[\theta^2 - (r+h)^2]^2 (r+h)^2 - (\theta^2 - r^2)^2 r^2}{\theta^6}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the numerator of the above expression is

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= [\theta^2 - (r+h)^2]^2(r+h)^2 - (\theta^2 - r^2)^2 r^2 \\ &= (\theta^2 - r^2)(2rh + h^2)(\theta^2 - 3r^2) + (3r^2 - 2\theta^2)(2rh + h^2)^2 + (2rh + h^2)^3. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r \in (\frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq (3r^2 - 2\theta^2)(2rh + h^2)^2 + (2rh + h^2)^3 \\ &= (2rh + h^2)^2(3r^2 - 2\theta^2 + 2rh + h^2) \\ &= (2rh + h^2)^2[2r^2 + (r+h)^2 - 2\theta^2]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $h \in ((\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2})\theta, 1)$  and  $r \in (\frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r+h &\geq \frac{\theta}{2} + \sqrt{2}\theta - \frac{1}{2}\theta = \sqrt{2}\theta, \\ (r+h)^2 &\geq 2\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $h \in ((\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2})\theta, 1)$  and  $r \in (\frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq (2rh + h^2)^2 2r^2 \geq h^4 r^2, \\ \left| f\left(\left(\frac{r+h}{\theta}\right)^2\right) - f\left(\frac{r^2}{\theta^2}\right) \right|^2 &\geq \frac{h^8 r^4}{\theta^{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \int_{(\sqrt{2}-\frac{1}{2})\theta}^1 h^{-(1+2\gamma)} \int_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^{\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}}} \frac{h^8 r^5}{\theta^{12}} dr dh \\ &= \frac{1}{6\theta^{12}} \int_{(\sqrt{2}-\frac{1}{2})\theta}^1 h^{7-2\gamma} \left( \frac{\theta^6}{27} - \frac{\theta^6}{64} \right) dh \\ &= \frac{37}{6 \times 27 \times 64\theta^6 \cdot (8-2\gamma)} \left[ 1 - (\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2})^{8-2\gamma} \theta^{8-2\gamma} \right] \\ &= \frac{37}{6 \times 27 \times 64\theta^6 \cdot (8-2\gamma)} - \frac{37}{6 \times 27 \times 64(8-2\gamma)(\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2})^{8-2\gamma}} \theta^{2-2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

which approaches zero as  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ . From this statement and (2.8), we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\bar{\chi}\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} = \infty.$$

**2.1. Comments.** Let (H1)-(H5) hold. By (2.1),  $f(z^{2/\alpha}) = (1 - z^{2/\alpha})^2 z^{2/\alpha}$ . Then the function  $\bar{\chi}$  defined by (2.7) is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\chi_{tt} - \frac{1}{r}\chi_r - \chi_{rr} = g_1(t, r), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\chi(1, r) = \chi_\circ \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma, \quad \chi_t(1, r) = \chi_1 \in \dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\chi_\circ$  and  $\chi_1$  are the functions defined with (2.2) and (2.3),

$$g_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{t^{2\beta/\alpha}} B_2 & \text{for } r \leq t^{\beta/\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq t^{\beta/\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

Then because of (H5),

$$\|g_1\|_{L^p([0,1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)}^p \leq l_1 \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2\beta p}{\alpha q} - 2p} dt + l_2 \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2\beta p}{\alpha q} - \frac{2\beta p}{\alpha}} dt < \infty,$$

where  $l_1, l_2$  are positive constants. In [2, Corollary 1.3] it is proved that

$$\|\bar{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,1]\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma)} \leq \|\chi_\circ\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma} + \|\chi_1\|_{\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^{\gamma-1}} + \|g_1\|_{L^p([0,1]L_{\text{rad}}^q)}.$$

Therefore,  $\bar{\chi}$  is in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1]\dot{H}_{\text{rad}}^\gamma)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Runst, T., W. Sickel; *Sobolev spaces of fractional order*, Nemytskij operators and nonlinear partial differential equations, 1996, New York.
- [2] Keel, M., T. Tao; *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. 1998.
- [3] D'Ancona, P., V. Georgiev; *On the continuity of the solution operator the wave map system*, Preprint.
- [4] D'Ancona, P., V. Georgiev; *On Lipschitz continuity of the solution map for two dimensional wave maps*, Preprint.
- [5] Shatah, J., M. Struwe; *Geometric wave equation*, Courant lecture notes in mathematics 2(1998).
- [6] Struwe, M.; *Radial symmetric wave maps from 1+2 - dimensional Minkowski space to the sphere*, preprint 2000.
- [7] Klainerman, S., S. Selberg; *Remarks on the optimal regularity of equations of wave maps type*, CPDE, 22(1997), 901-918.
- [8] Tataru, D.; *Local and global results for wave maps I*, CPDE, 23(1998), 1781-1793.
- [9] Bergh, J., J. Lofstrom; *Interpolation spaces*, New York, 1976(In Russian).
- [10] Grillakis, M.; *A priori estimates and regularity for nonlinear waves*, Communications at ICM 94, Zurich, 1994.
- [11] Krieger, J.; *Null-form estimates and nonlinear waves*, Adv. Dif. Eq. 8(2003), no. 10, 1193-1236.

UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, BULGARIA

*E-mail address:* sgg2000bg@yahoo.com