

**POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR SECOND-ORDER
THREE-POINT BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR
DIFFERENCE EQUATION WITH CHANGE OF SIGN**

CHUNLI WANG, XIAOSHUANG HAN, CHUNHONG LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the existence of positive solution to the discrete second-order three-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 x_{k-1} + h(k)f(x_k) &= 0, \quad k \in [1, n], \\ x_0 &= 0, \quad ax_l = x_{n+1},\end{aligned}$$

where $n \in [2, \infty)$, $l \in [1, n]$, $0 < a < 1$, $(1 - a)l \geq 2$, $(1 + a)l \leq n + 1$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $h(t)$ is a function that may change sign on $[1, n]$. Using the fixed-point index theory, we prove the existence of positive solution for the above boundary-value problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, some authors considered the existence of positive solutions to discrete boundary-value problems and obtained some existence results; see for example, [1, 2, 3, 6, 7]. Motivated by the papers [5, 8], we consider the existence of positive solution for the nonlinear discrete three-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 x_{k-1} + h(k)f(x_k) &= 0, \quad k \in [1, n], \\ x_0 &= 0, \quad ax_l = x_{n+1},\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, $l \in [1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $0 < a < 1$, $(1 - a)l \geq 2$, $(1 + a)l \leq n + 1$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$.

When $h(k) \equiv 1$, Equation (1.1) reduces to the nonlinear discrete three-point boundary-value problem studied by Zhang and Medina [8]. Using the same approach as in [8], we obtain the the existence of positive solutions to (1.1) when $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$. To the author's knowledge, no one has studied the existence of positive solution for (1.1) when h is allowed to change sign on $[1, n]$. Hence, the aim of the present paper is to establish simple criteria for the existence of at least one positive solution of the (1.1). Our main tool is the fixed-point index theory [4].

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). *Suppose E is a real Banach space, $K \subset E$ is a cone, and $\Omega_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| \leq r\}$. Let the operator $T : \Omega_r \rightarrow K$ be completely continuous and satisfy $Tx \neq x$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega_r$. Then*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A05, 39A10.

Key words and phrases. Boundary value problem; positive solution; difference equation; fixed point; changing sign coefficients.

©2008 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted March 6, 2008. Published June 11, 2008.

- (i) If $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega_r$, then $i(T, \Omega_r, K) = 1$;
(ii) If $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega_r$, then $i(T, \Omega_r, K) = 0$.

In this paper, by a positive solution x of (1.1), we mean a solution of the (1.1) satisfying $x_k > 0$, $k \in [1, n+1]$.

We will use the following notation: $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$; $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$; $[m, n] = \{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$; $[x]$ is the integer value function; $\Delta y_k = y_{k+1} - y_k$, $\Delta^n y_k = \Delta(\Delta^{n-1} y_k)$, $n \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, we shall use the following assumptions:

- (H1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous and nondecreasing.
(H2) $h : [1, n] \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $h(k) \geq 0$, $k \in [1, l]$; $h(k) \leq 0$, $k \in [l, n]$.
Moreover, $h(k)$ does not vanish identically on any subinterval of $[1, n]$.
((H3) There exist nonnegative constants in the extended reals, f_0, f_∞ , such that

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}.$$

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, preliminary lemmas are given. In section 3, we prove the existence of positive solutions for (1.1). In section an example is provided.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some lemmas that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *Let $al \neq n+1$. For $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{n+1}$, the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 x_{k-1} + y_k &= 0, \quad k \in [1, n], \\ x_0 &= 0, \quad ax_l = x_{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

has a unique solution

$$x_k = \frac{k}{n+1-al} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^i y_j - a \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^i y_j \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^i y_j, \quad k \in [0, n+1].$$

Using the above lemma, for $0 < a < 1$, it is easy to prove the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *The Green's function for (2.1) is*

$$G(k, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{((a-1)k+n+1-al)j}{n+1-al}, & j < k, j < l, \\ \frac{((a-1)j+n+1-al)k}{n+1-al}, & k \leq j < l, \\ \frac{al(k-j) + (n+1-k)j}{n+1-al}, & l < j \leq k, \\ \frac{(n+1-j)k}{n+1-al}, & j \geq k, j \geq l, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We want to point that this Green's function is new.

Remark 2.3. Note that $G(k, j) \geq 0$ for $(k, j) \in [0, n+1] \times [1, n]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(1-a)l \geq 2$. For all $j_1 \in [\tau, l]$ and $j_2 \in [l, n]$, we have*

$$G(k, j_1) \geq MG(k, j_2), \quad k \in [0, n+1], \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tau \in [[al] + 1, l-1]$ and $M = a^2 l/n$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $(1-a)l \geq 2$ implies $[[al] + 1, l - 1]$. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $k \leq l$. By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(k, j_1)}{G(k, j_2)} &= \begin{cases} \frac{[(a-1)k + n + 1 - al]j_1}{(n+1-j_2)k}, & j_1 \leq k, \\ \frac{[(a-1)j_1 + n + 1 - al]k}{(n+1-j_2)k}, & k < j_1 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1-l)\tau}{(n+1-l)k}, & j_1 \leq k, \\ \frac{(n+1-l)k}{(n+1-l)k}, & k < j_1 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{\tau}{l}, 1\right\} \\ &= \frac{\tau}{l} \\ &\geq a > M. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $k \geq l$. For $j_2 \in [l, n]$ and $(1+a)l \leq n+1$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1-k-al)j_2 + alk &= (n+1-al)j_2 + (al-j_2)k \\ &\leq (n+1-al)j_2 + (al-j_2)l \\ &= (n+1-al-l)j_2 + al^2 \\ &\leq (n+1-al-l)n + al^2 \\ &= (n-al)(n+1-l) + al, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$(a-1)k + n + 1 - al \geq (a-1)(n+1) + n + 1 - al = a(n+1-l), \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(k, j_1)}{G(k, j_2)} &= \begin{cases} \frac{[(a-1)k + n + 1 - al]j_1}{(n+1-k-al)j_2 + alk}, & j_2 \leq k, \\ \frac{[(a-1)k + n + 1 - al]j_1}{(n+1-j_2)k}, & k < j_2 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{a(n+1-l)\tau}{(n-al)(n+1-l) + al}, & j_2 \leq k, \\ \frac{a(n+1-l)\tau}{(n+1-l)n}, & k < j_2 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{a^2l(n+1-l)}{(n-al)(n+1-l) + al}, \frac{a^2l}{n}\right\} \\ &= \frac{a^2l}{n} = M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(t, j_1) \geq MG(t, j_2)$ holds. \square

Let $C[0, n+1]$ be the Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{k \in [0, n+1]} |x_k|$. Denote

$$C_0^+[0, n+1] = \{x \in C[0, n+1] : \min_{k \in [0, n+1]} x_k \geq 0 \text{ and } x_0 = 0, x_{n+1} = ax_l\},$$

$$P = \{x \in C_0^+[0, n+1] : x_k \text{ is concave on } [0, l] \text{ and convex on } [l, n+1]\}.$$

It is obvious that P is a cone in $C[0, n+1]$.

Lemma 2.5. *If $x \in P$, then*

$$x_k \geq A(k)x_l, \quad k \in [0, l]; \quad x_k \leq A(k)x_l, \quad k \in [l, n+1].$$

where

$$A(k) = \begin{cases} k/l, & k \in [0, l], \\ \frac{n+1-al+(a-1)k}{n+1-l}, & k \in [l+1, n+1], \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $x \in P$, we have x_k is concave on $[0, l]$, convex on $[l, n+1]$, $x_0 = 0$, and $x_{n+1} = ax_l$. Thus,

$$x_k \geq x_0 + \frac{x_l - x_0}{l}k = \frac{k}{l}x_l, \quad \text{for } k \in [0, l],$$

and

$$x_k \leq x_{n+1} + \frac{x_{n+1} - x_l}{n+1-l}(k-1-n) = \frac{n+1-al+(a-1)k}{n+1-l}x_l, \quad \text{for } k \in [l, n+1].$$

Hence, we have

$$x_k \geq A(k)x_l, \quad k \in [0, l]; \quad x_k \leq A(k)x_l, \quad k \in [l, n+1].$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $(1-a)l \geq 2$. Let $x \in P$, then*

$$x_k \geq \mu \|x\|, \quad k \in [[al] + 1, \tau],$$

where $\mu = \min\{a, 1 - \frac{\tau}{l}\}$, $\tau \in [[al] + 1, l-1]$.

Proof. Let $x \in P$, then x is concave on $[0, l]$, and convex on $[l, n+1]$. Since $0 < a < 1$, $x_{n+1} = ax_l < x_l$, then $\|x\| = \sup_{k \in [0, n+1]} |x_k| = \sup_{k \in [0, l]} |x_k|$. Set $r = \inf\{r \in [0, l] : \sup_{k \in [0, l]} x_k = x_r\}$. We now consider the following two cases:

Case (i): $k \in [0, r]$. By the concavity of x_k , we have

$$x_k \geq x_0 + \frac{x_r - x_0}{r}k = \frac{k}{r}x_r \geq \frac{k}{l}x_r = \frac{k}{l}\|x\|.$$

Case(ii): $k \in [r, l]$. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &\geq x_r + \frac{x_r - x_l}{r-l}(k-r) \\ &= \frac{l-k}{l-r}x_r + \frac{k-r}{l-r}x_l \\ &\geq \frac{l-k}{l-r}x_r \geq \frac{l-k}{l}x_r \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{k}{l}\right]\|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$x_k \geq \min\left\{\frac{k}{l}, 1 - \frac{k}{l}\right\}\|x\|, \quad k \in [0, l],$$

which yields

$$\min_{k \in [[al]+1, \tau]} x_k \geq \min\left\{a, 1 - \frac{\tau}{l}\right\}\|x\| = \mu \|x\|.$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that $(1 - a)l \geq 2$. If conditions (H1), (H2), (H4) hold $\forall k \in [0, n - l]$, then there exists a constant $\tau \in [[al] + 1, l - 2]$ such that*

$$B(k) = h^+(l - [\delta k]) - \frac{1}{M}h^-(l + k) \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where $h^+(k) = \max\{h(k), 0\}$, $h^-(k) = -\min\{h(k), 0\}$, $\delta = \frac{l-\tau-1}{n-l+1}$, and $M = a^2l/n$. Then for all $q \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(qA(j)) \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. By the definition of $A(k)$, it is easy to check that

$$A(l - [\delta r]) = \frac{1}{l} \left(l - \left[\frac{l - \tau - 1}{n - l + 1} r \right] \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{l} \left[\frac{l - \tau - 1}{n - l + 1} r \right], \quad r \in [0, n - l + 1], \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$A(l + r) = 1 - \frac{r}{n - l + 1} (1 - a), \quad r \in [0, n - l]. \quad (2.9)$$

Set $j = l - [\delta r]$, $r \in [0, n - l + 1]$ (δ as in (H4)). For all $q \in [0, \infty)$, by view of Lemma 2.4, Remark 2.3, (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), (H4), and that f is nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=\tau+1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(qA(j)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-l+1} G(k, l - [\delta r])h^+(l - [\delta r])f(qA(l - [\delta r])) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-l+1} G(k, l - [\delta r])h^+(l - [\delta r])f\left(q\left(1 - \frac{1}{l} \left[\frac{l - \tau - 1}{n - l + 1} r \right] \right)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{r=0}^{n-l+1} G(k, l - [\delta r])h^+(l - [\delta r])f\left(q\left(1 - \frac{r}{n - l + 1} \left(1 - \frac{\tau + 1}{l}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\geq M \sum_{r=0}^{n-l} G(k, l + r)h^+(l - [\delta r])f\left(q\left(1 - \frac{r}{n - l + 1} \left(1 - \frac{\tau + 1}{l}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{r=0}^{n-l} G(k, l + r)h^-(l + r)f\left(q\left(1 - \frac{r}{n - l + 1} (1 - a)\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Again, setting $j = l + r$, $r \in [0, n - l]$, for $q \in [0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(qA(j)) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-l} G(k, l + r)h^-(l + r)f\left(q\left(1 - \frac{r}{n - l + 1} (1 - a)\right)\right). \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, by (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(qA(j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=\tau+1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(qA(j)) - \sum_{j=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(qA(j)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

We define the operator $T : C[0, n + 1] \rightarrow C[0, n + 1]$ by

$$(Tx)_k = \sum_{j=1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j), \quad (k, j) \in [0, n + 1] \times [1, n]. \quad (2.12)$$

where $G(k, j)$ as in (2.2). From Lemma 2.4, we easily know that $x(t)$ is a solution of the (1.1) if and only if $x(t)$ is a fixed point of the operator T .

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(1-a)l \geq 2$. Assume that conditions (H1), (H2), (H4) are satisfied. Then T maps P into P .*

Proof. For $x \in P$, by Lemmas 2.5, 2.7, and f is nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=\tau}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) - \sum_{j=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(x_j) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=\tau+1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(A(j)x_l) - \sum_{j=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(A(j)x_l) \\ &= \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_l A(j)) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)_k &= \sum_{j=1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) + \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

again $(Tx)_0 = 0$, $(Tx)_{n+1} = a(Tx)_l$, it follows that $T : P \rightarrow C_0^+[0, n + 1]$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2(Tx)_k &= -h^+(j)f(x_j) \leq 0, \quad j \in [0, l], \\ \Delta^2(Tx)_k &= h^-(j)f(x_j) \geq 0, \quad j \in [l, n + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T maps P into P . \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(1-a)l \geq 2$. Assume that conditions (H1), (H2), (H4) are satisfied. If $z \in P$ is a fixed point of T and $\|z\| > 0$, then z is a positive solution of the (1.1).*

Proof. At first, we claim that $z_l > 0$. Otherwise, $z_l = 0$ implies $z_{n+1} = az_l = 0$. By the convexity and the nonnegativity of z on $[l, n + 1]$, we have

$$z_k \equiv 0, \quad k \in [l, n + 1],$$

this implies $\Delta z_l = z_{l+1} - z_l = 0$. Since $z = Tz$, we have $\Delta^2 z_k = -h^+(k)f(z_k) \leq 0$, $k \in [0, l]$. Then

$$\Delta z_k \geq \Delta z_l = 0, \quad k \in [0, l-1].$$

Thus, $z_k \leq z_l = 0$, $k \in [0, l]$. By the nonnegativity of z , we get

$$z_k \equiv 0, \quad k \in [0, l],$$

which yields a contradiction with $\|z\| > 0$. \square

Next, in view of Lemma 2.1, for $z \in P$, we have

$$z_k \geq \frac{k}{l} z_l > 0, \quad k \in [1, l]. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that $h(k)$ does not vanish identically on any subinterval of $k \in [1, l]$, for any $k \in [1, n]$. By (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= (Tz)_k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(z_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(z_j) + \sum_{j=\tau}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(z_j) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(z_j) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we assert that z is a positive solution of (1.1).

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

For convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left(\mu \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=[al]+1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j) \right)^{-1}, \\ m &= \left(\max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where μ as in Lemma 2.6.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(1-a)l \geq 2$. Assume that conditions (H1)–(H4) are satisfied. If (H5), $0 \leq f_0 < m$, and $M < f_\infty \leq +\infty$ hold, then (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, $T : P \rightarrow P$. Moreover, it is easy to check by Arzela-Ascoli theorem that T is completely continuous. By (H5), we have $f_0 < m$. There exist $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \leq (m - \varepsilon_1)u, \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq \rho_1. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in P : \|x\| < \rho_1\}$. For $x \in \partial\Omega_1$, by (3.1), we have

$$(Tx)_k = \sum_{j=1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) - \sum_{k=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(x_j) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\
&\leq \rho_1(m - \varepsilon_1) \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j) \\
&= \rho_1(m - \varepsilon_1) \frac{1}{m} \\
&< \rho_1 = \|x\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\|Tx\| < \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial\Omega_1$. Then by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_1, P) = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, from (H5), we have $f_\infty > M$. There exist $\rho_2 > \rho_1 > 0$ and ε_2 such that

$$f(u) \geq (M + \varepsilon_2)u, \quad \text{for } u \geq \mu\rho_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Set $\Omega_2 = \{x \in P : \|x\| < \rho_2\}$. For any $x \in \partial\Omega_2$, from Lemma 2.6, we have $x_k \geq \mu\|x\| = \mu\rho_2$, for $k \in [[al] + 1, \tau]$. Then from (2.7) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx\| &= \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) + \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \right] \\
&\geq \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\
&\geq \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=[al]+1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\
&\geq \mu(M + \varepsilon_2)\rho_2 \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=[al]+1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j) \\
&= \frac{1}{M}(M + \varepsilon_2)\rho_2 > \rho_2 = \|x\|;
\end{aligned}$$

this is, $\|Tx\| > \|x\|$, for $x \in \partial\Omega_2$. Then, by Theorem 3.1,

$$i(T, \Omega_2, P) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, by (3.2), (3.4), and $\rho_1 < \rho_2$, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_2 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, P) = -1.$$

Then operator T has a fixed point in $\Omega_2 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1$. So, (1.1) has at least one positive solution. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(1 - a)l \geq 2$. Assume that (H1)–(H4) are satisfied. If (H6), $M < f_0 \leq +\infty$, and $0 \leq f_\infty < m$ hold, then (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. At first, by (H6), we get $f_0 > M$, there exist ρ_3 and ε_3 such that

$$f(u) \geq (M + \varepsilon_3)u, \quad \text{for } 0 < u < \rho_3. \quad (3.5)$$

Set $\Omega_3 = \{x \in P : \|x\| < \rho_3\}$. For any $x \in \partial\Omega_3$, by Lemma 2.6, we get $x_k \geq \mu\|x\| = \mu\rho_3$, for $k \in [[al] + 1, \tau]$, then by (2.7) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) + \sum_{j=\tau+1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \right] \\ &\geq \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\ &\geq \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=[al]+1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\ &\geq \mu(M + \varepsilon_3)\rho_3 \max_{k \in [0, n+1]} \sum_{j=[al]+1}^{\tau} G(k, j)h^+(j) \\ &= \frac{1}{M}(M + \varepsilon_3)\rho_3 > \rho_3 = \|x\|; \end{aligned}$$

this is, $\|Tx\| > \|x\|$, for $x \in \partial\Omega_3$. Thus, by Theorem 1.1,

$$i(T, \Omega_3, P) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, from (H6), we have $f_\infty < m$. There exist $\rho_4 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon_4 < \rho_4$ such that

$$f(u) \leq (m - \varepsilon_4)u, \quad \text{for } u \geq \rho_4.$$

Set $L = \max_{0 \leq u \leq \rho_4} f(u)$. Then

$$f(u) \leq L + (m - \varepsilon_4)u, \quad \text{for } u \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Choose $\rho_5 > \max\{\rho_4, L/\varepsilon_4\}$. Let $\Omega_4 = \{x \in P : \|x\| < \rho_5\}$. Then for $x \in \partial\Omega_4$, by (2.7) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)_k &= \sum_{j=1}^n G(k, j)h(j)f(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) - \sum_{j=l+1}^n G(k, j)h^-(j)f(x_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^l G(k, j)h^+(j)f(x_j) \\ &\leq (L + (m - \varepsilon_4)\rho_5) \frac{1}{m} \\ &= \rho_5 - (\varepsilon_4\rho_5 - L) \frac{1}{m} \\ &< \rho_5 = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1,

$$i(T, \Omega_4, P) = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, by (3.6), (3.8), and $\rho_3 < \rho_5$, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_4 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_3, P) = 1.$$

Then operator T has a fixed point in $\Omega_4 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_3$. So, (1.1) has at least one positive solution. \square

4. EXAMPLE

In this section, we illustrate our main results. Consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 x_{k-1} + h(k)x_k^\alpha &= 0, & k \in [1, 11], \\ x_0 &= 0, & \frac{1}{3}x_6 = x_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, and

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} 3(k-8)^2, & k \in [1, 8], \\ \frac{8}{99}(8-k)^3, & k \in [8, 11]. \end{cases}$$

Let $n = 11$, $l = 8$, $a = 1/3$, then we have $M = 8/99$. Now taking $\tau = 3$, then $\tau \in [[al] + 1, l - 2] = [3, 6]$, $\delta = 1$, and for all $k \in [0, n - l] = [0, 3]$, we have

$$B(k) = h^+(l - [\delta k]) - \frac{1}{M}h^-(l + k) = k^2(3 - k) \geq 0.$$

Hence, Condition (H2) and (H4) hold. Set $f(u) = u^\alpha$, it is easy to see that

$$f_0 = \infty, \quad f_\infty = 0,$$

that is, (H6) holds. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, (4.1) has at least one positive solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Anderson, R. Avery and A. Peterson; *Three positive solutions to a discrete focal boundary-value problem*, J. Computational and Applied Math. 88(1998), 103-118.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Wong; *Advanced Topics in Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 1997.
- [3] W. Cheung, J. Ren, P. J. Y. Wong and D. Zhao; *Multiple positive solutions for discrete nonlocal boundary-value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330(2007), 900-915.
- [4] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham; *Nonlinear problems in Abstract cone*, Academic press, Sandiego. 1988.
- [5] B. Liu; *Positive solutions of second-order three-point boundary-value problems with change of sign*, Computers Math. Applic. 47(2004), 1351-1361.
- [6] F. Merdivenci; *Two positive solutions of a boundary-value problem for difference equations*, J. Difference Equations Appl. 1(1995), 263-270.
- [7] C. Yang and P. Weng; *Green functions and positive solutions for boundary-value problems of third-order difference equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. 54(2007), 567-578.
- [8] G. Zhang and R. Medina; *Three-point boundary-value problems for difference equations*, Computers Math. Applic. 48(2004), 1791-1799.

CHUNLI WANG

INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY, GUILIN, GUANGXI 541004, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUAIYIN TEACHERS COLLEGE, HUAIAN, JIANGSU 223001, CHINA

E-mail address: wangchunliwcl1821222@sina.com

XIAOSHUANG HAN

YANBIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, YANJI, JILIN 133000, CHINA

E-mail address: petty_hxs@hotmail.com

CHUNHONG LI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANBIAN UNIVERSITY, YANJI, JILIN 133000, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUAIYIN TEACHERS COLLEGE, HUAIAN, JIANGSU 223001, CHINA

E-mail address: abbccc2007@163.com