

## NONLINEAR SCALAR TWO-POINT BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS ON TIME SCALES

REBECCA I. B. KALHORN, JESÚS RODRÍGUEZ

ABSTRACT. We establish sufficient conditions for the solvability of scalar nonlinear boundary-value problems on time scales. Our attention will be focused on problems where the solution space for the corresponding linear homogeneous boundary-value problem is nontrivial. As a consequence of our results we are able to provide easily verifiable conditions for the existence of periodic behavior for dynamic equations on time scales.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the study of scalar nonlinear boundary-value problems on time scales. We examine the problem

$$u^{\Delta^n}(t) + a_{n-1}(t)u^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) + \cdots + a_0(t)u(t) = q(t) + g(u(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (1.1)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}u^{\Delta^{j-1}}(a) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}u^{\Delta^{j-1}}(b) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Throughout this paper we will assume that  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale and  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^n}$  where  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  will denote  $\{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t \leq b\}$ . The functions  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  and  $q$  are real-valued, rd-continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The nonlinear term  $g$  is continuous, real-valued, and defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will assume the solution space for the corresponding homogeneous boundary-value problem, namely,

$$u^{\Delta^n}(t) + a_{n-1}(t)u^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) + \cdots + a_0(t)u(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (1.3)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}u^{\Delta^{j-1}}(a) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}u^{\Delta^{j-1}}(b) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.4)$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 39B99, 39A10.

*Key words and phrases*. Boundary value problems; time scales; Schauder fixed point theorem.

©2010 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted March 17, 2009. Published January 6, 2010.

has dimension 1. Let  $A(t)$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix-valued function given by

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0(t) & -a_1(t) & -a_2(t) & \cdots & -a_{n-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $A$  is rd-continuous, and we assume  $A$  is also regressive. Let the matrices  $B$  and  $D$  be defined by  $B = (b_{ij})$  and  $D = (d_{ij})$ . It should be observed that linear independence of the boundary conditions is equivalent to the matrix  $[B|D]$  having full rank. To analyze the boundary-value problem (1.1)–(1.2) we will look at the equivalent  $n \times n$  system,

$$x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t) + h(t) + f(x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (1.5)$$

subject to

$$Bx(a) + Dx(b) = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$[f(x)]_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ g([x]_1) & \text{for } i = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$[h(t)]_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ q(t) & \text{for } i = n \end{cases}.$$

Note that the solution space of

$$x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (1.7)$$

subject to

$$Bx(a) + Dx(b) = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

has dimension one as a result of the assumption on (1.3)–(1.4). Through use of the Lyapunov-Schmidt Procedure conditions will be established to guarantee the existence of solutions to the boundary-value problem (1.5)–(1.6) and thus (1.1)–(1.2).

We will pay particular attention to second-order equations subject to periodic boundary conditions. We obtain results which significantly extend previous work by Etheridge and Rodríguez concerning the periodic behavior of nonlinear discrete dynamical systems[5].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The notation and preliminary results presented here are a straightforward generalization of previous work in differential equations and discrete time systems [5, 15, 13, 14, 7, 6, 10]. We provide references concerning general information on time scales[2, 1, 3] as well as boundary-value problems[9, 16]. Let

$$X = \{x \in C[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} : Bx(a) + Dx(b) = 0\},$$

and

$$Y = C_{\text{rd}}[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

where  $C_{\text{rd}}[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  denotes the space of rd-continuous  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued maps on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $C[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  denotes the subspace of  $C_{\text{rd}}[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  where the maps are continuous.  $|\cdot|$  will

denote the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The operator norm will be used for matrices, and the supremum norm will be used for  $x \in Y \cup X$ , that is,

$$\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |x(t)|.$$

It is clear that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces with this norm. We define the norm of a product space,  $V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_m$ , by

$$\|(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_i\|_i$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_i$  denotes the norm on  $V_i$ .

We define the operator  $L : D(L) \rightarrow Y$  where  $D(L) = X \cap C_{\text{rd}}^1([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$(Lx)(t) = x^\Delta(t) - A(t)x(t), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

and the operator  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$(Fx)(t) = f(x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Clearly  $x$  is a solution to (1.5)–(1.6) if and only if  $Lx = h + Fx$ .  $\Phi$  will denote the fundamental matrix solution for  $x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t)$ ,  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  where  $\Phi(a) = I$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The solution space for the homogeneous boundary-value problem (1.7)–(1.8) and the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))$  have the same dimension.*

*Proof.* The the solution space of (1.7)–(1.8) and kernel of  $L$  have the same dimension.  $x \in \ker(L)$  if and only if  $x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t)$ ,  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $x$  satisfies the boundary conditions. This is true if and only if there is a  $c$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $x(t) = \Phi(t)c$  for all  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $Bc + D\Phi(b)c = 0$ . It follows that the kernel of  $L$  and the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))$  have the same dimension.  $\square$

Let  $d$  be a unit vector which spans the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))$ . Define  $S : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$S(t) = \Phi(t)d.$$

The following result is obvious.

**Corollary 2.2.** *labelcoro1 The kernel of  $L$  consists of  $x$  such that  $x(t) = S(t)\alpha$  for some real number  $\alpha$ .*

### 3. MAIN RESULT

We will now construct projections onto the kernel and image of  $L$  in order to use the Lyapunov-Schmidt Procedure [4, 5]. Define  $P : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$(Px)(t) = S(t)d^T x(a), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.**  *$P$  is a projection onto the kernel of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $P$  is a bounded linear map is self-evident. The fact that  $P$  is idempotent can be shown through direct computation. It remains to be shown that  $\text{Im}(P) = \ker(L)$ . Let  $x \in X$ .  $(Px)(t) = S(t)d^T x(a) = S(t)\alpha$  where  $\alpha = d^T x(a)$ . Therefore  $\text{Im}(P) \subset \ker(L)$ .

Let  $x \in \ker(L)$ . There exists a  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t) = S(t)\beta$ .  $(Px)(t) = S(t)d^T x(a) = S(t)d^T S(a)\beta = S(t)\beta = x(t)$ . Therefore  $\ker(L) \subset \text{Im}(P)$ .  $\square$

Let  $k$  be a vector that spans the kernel of  $((B + D\Phi(b))^T)$ . Define the map  $\Psi : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\Psi(t) = [D\Phi(b)\Phi^{-1}(\sigma(t))]^T k, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.**  $y$  is in the image of  $L$  if and only if  $\int_a^b y^T(\tau)\Psi(\tau)\Delta\tau = 0$ .

*Proof.* Using the variation of constants formula [2] and the boundary conditions it is clear that  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$  if and only if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $(B + D\Phi(b))x(a) + D \int_a^b \Phi(b)\Phi^{-1}(\sigma(\tau))y(\tau)\Delta\tau = 0$ , which is equivalent to

$$-x^T(a)(B + D\Phi(b))^T = \left[ \int_a^b D\Phi(b)\Phi^{-1}(\sigma(\tau))y(\tau) \right]^T \Delta\tau.$$

This holds if and only if  $\int_a^b [D\Phi(b)\Phi^{-1}(\sigma(\tau))y(\tau)]^T \Delta\tau \beta = 0$  where  $\beta$  is an element of the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))^T$  and therefore must be a multiple of  $k$ . Therefore,  $\int_a^b y^T(\tau)\Psi(\tau)\Delta\tau = 0$ .  $\square$

Define the operator  $W$  from  $Y$  into  $Y$  by

$$(Wy)(t) = \Psi(t) \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\tau)|^2 \Delta\tau \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)y(\tau)\Delta\tau, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

**Proposition 3.3.**  $E$ , defined by  $E = I - W$ , is a projection onto the image of  $L$ .

*Proof.* First we will show that  $E$  is a projection. Since  $W$  is a bounded linear map  $E$  is also a bounded map. To prove  $E^2 = E$  it will be sufficient to show that  $W^2 = W$ . Let  $y \in Y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (W(Wy))(t) \\ &= W\left(\Psi(\cdot) \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\tau)|^2 \Delta\tau \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)y(\tau)\Delta\tau\right)(t), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \\ &= \Psi(t) \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\tau)|^2 \Delta\tau \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)\Psi(\tau)\Delta\tau \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\nu)|^2 \Delta\nu \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\nu)y(\nu)\Delta\nu \\ &= \Psi(t) \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\nu)|^2 \Delta\nu \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\nu)y(\nu)\Delta\nu = (Wy)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we will prove that  $\text{Im}(E) = \text{Im}(L)$ . It is clear that  $Ey \in \text{Im}(E)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)(Ey)(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &= \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)(y - Wy)(\tau)\Delta\tau \\ &= \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)y(\tau)\Delta\tau - \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)\Psi(\tau)\Delta\tau \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\nu)|^2 \Delta\nu \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\nu)y(\nu)\Delta\nu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Ey \in \text{Im}(L)$ , and  $\text{Im}(E) \subset \text{Im}(L)$ .

Now suppose  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ .

$$(Ey)(t) = y(t) - \Psi(t) \left[ \int_a^b |\Psi(\tau)|^2 \Delta\tau \right]^{-1} \int_a^b \Psi^T(\tau)y(\tau)\Delta\tau = y(t),$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Therefore  $y \in \text{Im}(E)$ , and  $\text{Im}(L) \subset \text{Im}(E)$ .  $\square$

By constructing the projections  $P$  and  $E$  we are now able to analyze the existence of solutions to (1.5)–(1.6) using the classic Lyapunov-Schmidt Procedure. We provide a self-contained presentation of our approach, but offer references [4, 8, 10, 11, 12] for a more general formulation and for applications to differential and difference equations. We can utilize the fact that  $P$  and  $E$  are projections and write

$$X = \text{Im}(P) \oplus \text{Im}(I - P) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \text{Im}(I - E) \oplus \text{Im}(E).$$

For all  $x \in X$  there exists  $u \in \ker(L)$  and  $v \in \text{Im}(I - P)$  such that  $x = u + v$ . It is clear that  $L : \text{Im}(I - P) \cap D(L) \rightarrow \text{Im}(L)$  is a bijection, and therefore there exists a bounded linear map  $M : \text{Im}(L) \rightarrow \text{Im}(I - P) \cap D(L)$  such that

$$LM y = y, \forall y \in \text{Im}(L) \quad \text{and} \quad ML x = v, \forall x \in X.$$

Define  $H_1 : \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(I - P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$H_1(\alpha, v) = \alpha - \int_a^b g([\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEF(S\alpha + v)(\tau)]_1)[\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau,$$

Define  $H_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(I - P) \rightarrow \text{Im}(I - P)$  by

$$H_2(\alpha, v) = Mh + MEF(S\alpha + v).$$

Define  $H : \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(I - P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(I - P)$  by

$$H(\alpha, v) = (H_1(\alpha, v), H_2(\alpha, v)).$$

**Proposition 3.4.**  *$Lx = h + Fx$  if and only if there exists  $(\alpha, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(I - P)$  such that  $H(\alpha, v) = (\alpha, v)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . There exist  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in \text{Im}(I - P)$  such that  $x = S\alpha + v$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Lx = h + Fx &\iff \begin{cases} E[Lx - h - Fx] = 0 \\ (I - E)[Lx - h - Fx] = 0 \end{cases} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} Lv - h - EF(x) = 0 \\ (I - E)F(x) = 0 \end{cases} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} v = Mh + MEF(S\alpha + v) \\ \int_a^b g([\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEF(S\alpha + v)(\tau)]_1)[\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau = 0 \end{cases} \\ &\iff H(\alpha, v) = (\alpha, v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Define  $g(\pm\infty)$  as follows, provided the corresponding limits exist,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} g(x) = g(\pm\infty).$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Assume  $g$  is continuous,  $g(\infty)$  and  $g(-\infty)$  exist,  $[S(t)]_1$  is of one sign, and  $g(\infty)g(-\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\int_a^b g([\pm\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEFx(\tau)]_1)[\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \rightarrow g(\pm\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We will show that

$$\int_a^b g([\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEFx(\tau)]_1)[\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \rightarrow g(\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof for the corresponding result with the opposite sign follows an analogous argument.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $Mh$  and  $MEF$  are bounded on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $S$  achieves its minimum on the set there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha > \alpha_0$

$$|g(\infty) - g([\alpha S(t) + Mh(t) + MEFx(t)]_1)| < \epsilon.$$

Let  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| g(\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau - \int_a^b g([\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEFx(\tau)]_1) [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \right| \\ & \leq \int_a^b |g(\infty) - g([\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEFx(\tau)]_1)| [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\Psi\| (b - a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\int_a^b g([\pm\alpha S(\tau) + Mh(\tau) + MEFx(\tau)]_1) [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \rightarrow g(\pm\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Suppose that the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))$  is one dimensional. If*

- (i)  $[S(t)]_1$  is of one sign for all  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $g(\infty)$  and  $g(-\infty)$  exist,
- (iv)  $g(\infty)g(-\infty) \int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau < 0$ , and
- (v)  $\int_a^b h^T(\tau) \Psi(\tau) \Delta\tau = 0$

then there is at least one solution to the boundary-value problem (1.1)–(1.2).

*Proof.* For simplicity we will assume that  $g(\infty) > g(-\infty)$  and  $\int_a^b [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau > 0$ . Let  $r = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |g(z)|$ . Using Proposition 3.5 there is an  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b g([S(\tau)\alpha + Mh(\tau) + MEF(S\alpha + v)(\tau)]_1) [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau > 0, \\ & \int_a^b g([S(\tau)(-\alpha) + Mh(\tau) + MEF(S\alpha + v)(\tau)]_1) [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $v \in \text{Im}(I - P)$ . We now use Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem to prove the existence of a solution to (1.5)–(1.6). Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(v, \alpha) : \|v\| \leq \|Mh\| + \|ME\|r, \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq \delta\}$$

where  $\delta = \alpha_0 + r(b - a)\|\Psi\|$ . Note that

$$\left| \int_a^b g([S(\tau)(-\alpha) + Mh(\tau) + MEF(S\alpha + v)(\tau)]_1) [\Psi(\tau)]_n \Delta\tau \right| \leq r(b - a)\|\Psi\|.$$

For  $\alpha \in [0, \delta]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta & \leq -r(b - a)\|\Psi\| \leq H_1(\alpha, v) \leq \alpha \leq \delta, \\ -\delta & \leq -\alpha \leq H_1(-\alpha, v) \leq r(b - a)\|\Psi\| \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $(v, \alpha) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$\|H_2(v, \alpha)\| = \|Mh + MEF(S\alpha + v)\| \leq \|Mh\| + \|ME\|r.$$

Since  $H(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$  by the Schauder fixed point theorem there is at least one fixed point of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{v})$  is this fixed point, then  $\hat{v} = Mh + MEF\hat{v}$  and  $\int_a^b g([\hat{\alpha}S(\tau) +$

$Mh(\tau) + MEF(\hat{\alpha}S + \hat{v})(\tau)]_1[\Psi(\tau)]_n = 0$ . By Proposition 3.4,  $L(\hat{\alpha}S + \hat{v}) = h + F(\hat{\alpha}S + \hat{v})$ , and therefore the boundary-value problem (1.5)–(1.6) has at least one solution. Thus (1.1)–(1.2) has at least one solution.  $\square$

#### 4. PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In this section we establish the existence of solutions to periodic boundary-value problems. We consider

$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \beta u^\Delta(t) + \gamma u(t) = q(t) + g(u(t)) \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \tag{4.1}$$

subject to

$$u(a) - u(a + T) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^\Delta(a) - u^\Delta(a + T) = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

where  $[a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\gamma\mu - \beta$  is regressive. We will assume that the solution space of

$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \beta u^\Delta(t) + \gamma u(t) = 0 \quad t \in [a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}} \tag{4.3}$$

subject to

$$u(a) - u(a + T) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^\Delta(a) - u^\Delta(a + T) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

is one-dimensional. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\gamma & -\beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easily verified that the kernel of  $(I - \Phi(b))$  is one dimensional if and only if  $A$  has at least one zero eigenvalue.

First suppose  $A$  has real distinct eigenvalues, zero and  $\lambda$ . Now the solution to the corresponding homogeneous problem is  $u(t) = c_1 + c_2 e_\lambda(t, a)$ , where  $e_\lambda(\cdot, a)$  denotes the time scale exponential function [2]. If we impose the boundary conditions we find that the solution space of this scalar homogeneous boundary-value problem is spanned by  $u(t) = 1$  for  $t \in [a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Consequently the constant function  $[1, 0]^T$  spans  $\ker(L)$ .

Now suppose  $A$  has a repeated eigenvalue of zero. The solution to the corresponding homogeneous problem is  $u(t) = c_1 + c_2 t$ . If we impose the boundary conditions we find that the solution space of this scalar homogeneous boundary-value problem is spanned by  $u(t) = 1$  for  $t \in [a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Consequently the constant function  $[1, 0]^T$  spans the  $\ker(L)$  in this case as well.

We can now say that the solutions to the corresponding homogeneous boundary-value problem of (4.1)–(4.2) are real multiples of  $[1, 0]^T$ . Therefore,  $[S(t)]_1$  is of one sign for all  $t \in [a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *If*

$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \beta u^\Delta(t) + \gamma u(t) = q(t) \quad t \in [a, a + T]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

*subject to*

$$u(a) - u(a + T) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^\Delta(a) - u^\Delta(a + T) = 0$$

*has a solution and  $g(\infty)$  and  $g(-\infty)$  exist where  $g(\infty)g(-\infty) < 0$  then there is at least one solution to equation (4.1)–(4.2).*

The proof of this theorem follows from Theorem 3.6. It is easy to verify that the most significant results in Etheridge and Rodríguez [5] are a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Suppose the conditions in Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. If*

(i)  $q$  is periodic with period  $T$

(ii)  $\mathbb{T}$  is a periodic time scale with period  $T$ , meaning if  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  then  $t + T \in \mathbb{T}$

then there exists at least one periodic solution to equation (4.1)–(4.2).

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a solution to (4.1)–(4.2). Since  $g$  is bounded and  $q$  is periodic it is clear that the solution  $x$  exists on all of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $x(t + T) = y(t)$ .  $y$  satisfies the dynamic equation (4.1),  $y(a) = x(a + T) = x(a)$ , and  $y^\Delta(a) = x^\Delta(a + T) = x^\Delta(a)$ . Therefore by uniqueness  $x(t) = x(t + T)$ .  $\square$

## 5. EXAMPLE

In this section we examine the following second-order nonlinear boundary-value problem on several time scales. consider

$$u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \beta u^\Delta(t) + \gamma u(t) = g(u(t)) \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (5.1)$$

subject to

$$B \begin{bmatrix} u(a) \\ u^\Delta(a) \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} u(b) \\ u^\Delta(b) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma\mu - \beta$  is regressive,  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$ ,  $B$  and  $D$  are  $2 \times 2$  real matrices, and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. The scalar boundary-value problem (5.1)–(5.2) is equivalent to the  $2 \times 2$  system

$$x^\Delta(t) = Ax(t) + f(x(t)) \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (5.3)$$

subject to

$$Bx(a) + Dx(b) = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\gamma & -\beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g(x_1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u^\Delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose  $d$  is the vector that spans the kernel of  $(B + D\Phi(b))$  and  $A$  has real, distinct eigenvalues,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , where  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$  and both are positively regressive; i.e.,  $1 + \lambda_k\mu > 0$ . Further assume that the eigenpairs for  $A$  are given by  $(\lambda_1, v)$  and  $(\lambda_2, w)$ . Let

$$\hat{\Phi}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 e_{\lambda_1}(t, a) & w_1 e_{\lambda_2}(t, a) \\ v_2 e_{\lambda_1}(t, a) & w_2 e_{\lambda_2}(t, a) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that

$$S(t) = \hat{\Phi}(t)\hat{\Phi}^{-1}(a)d = \hat{\Phi}(t) \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 d_1 e_{\lambda_1}(t, a) + w_1 d_2 e_{\lambda_2}(t, a) \\ v_2 d_1 e_{\lambda_1}(t, a) + w_2 d_2 e_{\lambda_2}(t, a) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will provide conditions under which  $S_1$  will be of one sign. It is clear that if  $v_1, w_1, d_1$ , or  $d_2$  are zero then  $S_1(t)$  is either identically zero or of one sign. Now we investigate the case when  $v_1, w_1, d_1$ , and  $d_2$  are all nonzero.  $S_1(t)$  will be of one sign on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  if and only if  $v_1 d_1 e_{\lambda_1}(t, a) + w_1 d_2 e_{\lambda_2}(t, a)$  is of one sign for all  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . This holds when either

$$\frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, a)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, a)} > -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

or

$$\frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, a)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, a)} < -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, a)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, a)} > 1$  for any time scale. To obtain further results we consider specific time scales.

The first time scale we will discuss is given by

$$\mathbb{T}_1 = \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \right] : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\} \cup \{1\}.$$

For simplicity we assume that  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$ .

$$e_{\lambda_k}(t, 0) = \exp \left\{ \lambda_k \left[ t - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \right] \right\} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \lambda_k \right)$$

where  $t \in \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l+1}} \right]$  and  $k = 1, 2$ . Let  $t \in \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l+1}} \right]$  where  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < \frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, 0)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, 0)} &= \exp \left\{ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \left[ t - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \right] \right\} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \lambda_1 \right)}{\left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \lambda_2 \right)} \\ &< \exp \left\{ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2i+2}} \right] \right\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^l \\ &= \exp \left\{ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \right\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S_1(t)$  will be of one sign on  $[0, 1]$  when

$$1 > -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \exp \left\{ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \right\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^l < -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1} \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we consider the time scale

$$\mathbb{T}_2 = \{[2n, 2n + 1] : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Let  $a = 0$  and  $b > 0$  where  $b \in \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2N}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2N+1}} \right]$  where  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

$$e_{\lambda_k}(t, 0) = \exp\{\lambda_k(t - l)\}(1 + \lambda_k)^l$$

where  $t \in [2l, 2l + 1]$  and  $k = 1, 2$ . Let  $t \in \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2l+1}} \right]$  where  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(b - N)\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^N &\geq \frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, 0)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, 0)} \\ &= \exp\{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(t - l)\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^l > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S_1(t)$  will be of one sign on  $[0, b]$  when

$$1 > -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \exp\{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(b - N)\} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^N < -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1}.$$

Finally consider the time scale

$$\mathbb{T}_3 = \{2^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Let  $a = 1$  and  $b = 2^N$  where  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

$$e_{\lambda_k}(t, 1) = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (1 + 2^i \lambda_k)$$

where  $t = 2^l$  and  $k = 1, 2$ . Let  $t = 2^l$  where  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Observe that

$$\left(\frac{1 + 2^{N-1}\lambda_1}{1 + 2^{N-1}\lambda_2}\right)^N \geq \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \left(\frac{1 + 2^i\lambda_1}{1 + 2^i\lambda_2}\right) = \frac{e_{\lambda_1}(t, 1)}{e_{\lambda_2}(t, 1)} > 1.$$

Therefore,  $S_1(t)$  will be of one sign on  $[0, b]$  when

$$1 > -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\frac{1 + 2^{N-1}\lambda_1}{1 + 2^{N-1}\lambda_2}\right)^N < -\frac{w_1 d_2}{v_1 d_1}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. O'Regan, and A. Peterson; *Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **141** (2002), 1 – 26.
- [2] M. Bohner and A. Peterson; *Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson (eds.); *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [4] S.-N. Chow and J. K. Hale; *Methods of bifurcation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [5] D. L. Etheridge and J. Rodríguez; *Periodic solutions of nonlinear discrete-time systems*, Appl. Anal. **62** (1996), 119 – 137.
- [6] D. L. Etheridge and J. Rodríguez; *Scalar discrete nonlinear two-point boundary value problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **4** (1998), 127 – 144.
- [7] D. L. Etheridge and J. Rodríguez; *On perturbed discrete boundary value problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **8** (2002), 447 – 466.
- [8] J. K. Hale; *Ordinary differential equations*, second ed., Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Huntington, NY, 1980.
- [9] J. Henderson, A. Peterson, and C. C. Tisdell; *On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to boundary value problems on time scales*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2004** (2004), 93 – 109.
- [10] J. Rodríguez; *On resonant discrete boundary value problems*, Appl. Anal. **19** (1985), 265 – 274.
- [11] J. Rodríguez; *Galerkin's method for ordinary differential equations subject to generalized nonlinear boundary conditions*, J. Differential Equations **97** (1992), 112 – 126.
- [12] J. Rodríguez and D. Sweet; *Projection methods for nonlinear boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations **58** (1985), 282 – 293.
- [13] J. Rodríguez and P. Taylor; *Scalar discrete nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **330** (2007), 876 – 890.
- [14] J. Rodríguez and P. Taylor; *Weakly nonlinear discrete multipoint boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), 77 – 91.
- [15] J. Rodríguez and P. Taylor; *Multipoint boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 3465 – 3474.
- [16] P. Stehlík; *Periodic boundary value problems on time scales*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2005** (2005), 81 – 92.

REBECCA I. B. KALHORN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOX 8205, RALEIGH, NC 7695-8205, USA

*E-mail address:* rkalhorn@gmail.com

JESÚS RODRÍGUEZ

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOX 8205, RALEIGH, NC 7695-8205, USA

*E-mail address:* rodrigu@ncsu.edu