

LOGARITHMICALLY IMPROVED REGULARITY CRITERIA FOR SUPERCRITICAL QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATIONS IN ORLICZ-MORREY SPACES

SADEK GALA, MARIA ALESSANDRA RAGUSA

ABSTRACT. This article provides a regularity criterion for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation with supercritical dissipation. This criterion is in terms of the norm of the solution in a Orlicz-Morrey space. The result shows that, if a weak solutions θ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla\theta(\cdot, s)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-r}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp\theta(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{2/r}})} ds < \infty,$$

for some $0 < r < \alpha$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then θ is regular at $t = T$. In view of the embedding $L^{2/r} \subset \mathcal{M}_p^{2/r} \subset \mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}$ with $2 < p < 2/r$ and $P > 1$, our result extends the results due to Xiang [29] and Jia-Dong [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

The surface quasi-geostrophic equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda^\alpha \theta &= 0, \\ \theta(x, 0) &= \theta_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\theta = \theta(x, t)$ is a scalar real-valued function of $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $u = (u^1, u^2)$ is the associated incompressible velocity field of the fluid with $\nabla \cdot u = 0$, and determined from θ by

$$u := (-\partial_2 \Lambda^{-1} \theta, \partial^1 \Lambda^{-1} \theta) = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\mathcal{R}_2 \theta, \mathcal{R}^1 \theta),$$

where $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$ is the Zygmund operator and \mathcal{R}_i , $i = 1, 2$ are the Riesz transforms.

The surface quasi-geostrophic equation with subcritical ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$) or critical dissipation ($\alpha = 1$) have been shown to possess global classical solutions whenever the initial data is sufficiently smooth. However, the global regularity problem remains open for the supercritical case ($0 < \alpha < 1$). Various regularity (or blow-up) criteria have been produced to shed light on this difficult global regularity problem (see e.g. [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 16, 17, 28, 30] and the references therein). The difficulties in understanding this problem are similar to those in solving the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-geostrophic equations; logarithmical regularity criterion; Orlicz-Morrey space.

©2016 Texas State University.

Submitted September 28, 2015. Published June 8, 2016.

For the study of the regularity criterion to (1.1) for the critical and supercritical case, Constantin, Majda and Tabak [6] obtained the following conditions

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} < \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} dt < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

with $m \geq 3$ and $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_1})$. Later on, Chae [2] (see also [3]) generalizes (1.2) to obtain the regularity criterion of the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation (1.1) under the assumption

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p}^r dt < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} < p < \infty.$$

Recently, Xiang [29] improved the Chae's result and obtained another logarithmically improved regularity criterion in terms of the Lebesgue space subject to the assumption

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p}^r}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty})} dt < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} < p < \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Very recently, Jia and Dong [15] improves the above regularity criterion (1.3) from Lebesgue space framework to Morrey-Campanato space framework. More precisely, they show the regularity of weak solution when the temperature function θ satisfies the growth condition

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}^r}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p})} dt < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{r} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} < p < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

The regularity criterion presented in this article states that, if a weak solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, s)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-r}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{2/r}})} ds < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < \alpha,$$

for some $0 < r < \alpha$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then θ is actually regular in H^2 on $[0, T]$, where $\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}$ denotes the Orlicz-Morrey space. Since the embedding relation $L^{2/r} \subset \mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}$ with $P > 1$ holds, our regularity criterion can be understood as an extension of the regularity results of Xiang [29] and Jia-Dong [15]. Main tools used in this paper are a weighted norm inequality for the Riesz potential and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

2. ORLICZ-MORREY SPACES AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Before stating our result, let us recall some definitions and properties of the spaces that we are going to use (see e.g. [10, 11, 12, 13, 26] and references therein).

Definition 2.1. For $P \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < w < v < \infty$, the Orlicz-Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{L^u \log^P L}^v$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^u \log^P L}^v} := \sup \{r^{2/v} \|f\|_{B(x,r), L^u \log^P L} : x \in \mathbb{R}^2, r > 0\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\|f\|_{B(x,r), L^u \log^P L}$ denotes the $t^w \log^P(3+t)$ average given by

$$\|f\|_{B(x,R), L^u \log^P L}$$

$$:= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^w \log \left(3 + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^P dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Our main result now reads as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let θ be a Leray-Hopf weak solutions of (1.1) with $0 < \alpha < 1$, namely*

$$\theta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

and satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, s)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-r}}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-r}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{2/r}})} ds < \infty \quad \text{with } 0 < r < \alpha. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, the solution $\theta(x, t)$ is regular on $(0, T]$.

Remark 2.3. This criterion is in terms of the norm of the solution in a Orlicz-Morrey space. It is clear that Theorem 2.2 gives a logarithmic improvement of Xiang's regularity criteria (1.3) (see also 1.4). As a consequence, this result extends several previous works.

Meanwhile, the definition of classical Morrey-Campanato spaces is as follows (see e.g. [18]):

Definition 2.4. For $1 < p \leq q \leq +\infty$, the Morrey-Campanato space is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_q^p = \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{R > 0} |B|^{1/q-1/p} \|f\|_{L^p(B(x, R))} < \infty \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $B(x, R)$ denotes the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^2 with center x and radius R .

In view of (2.1) and (2.3), the definition (2.1) covers (2.3) as a special case when $P = 0$. Here and below we write $(\log a)^P =: \log^P a$.

Recall the following crucial result established in [10, 13, 12] (see also [22, 23, 24, 25]).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and fractional integral operator I_α be defined by*

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{2-\alpha}} dy. \quad (2.4)$$

If $P > 1$, then

$$\|g \cdot I_\alpha f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|g\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{3/\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Additionally, we have the following embeddings: for $P > 0$ and $0 < u < \tilde{u} < v$,

$$L^v \hookrightarrow L^{v, \infty} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_u^v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{L^u \log^P L}^v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_u^v \quad (2.6)$$

in the sense of continuous embedding and the inclusion is proper, where $L^{p, \infty}$ denotes the usual Lorentz (weak- L^p) space. For more details see [10, 13, 12]. We shall use as well the following useful Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $s > 1$ and $p \in [2, +\infty]$. Then, there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

In particular,

$$\|f\|_{L^{2/r}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq r \leq 1.$$

The above lemma can be proved using the well-known boundedness property of the Riesz potential operator (see, e.g., Stein [27]). In the proof of the main result, we employ the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality having fractional derivatives contained in [14].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 < p, p_0, p^1 \leq \infty, s, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^s} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{p_0}}^{1-\beta} \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{p^1}^\gamma}^\beta,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{2} = \frac{1-\beta}{p_0} + \beta \left(\frac{1}{p^1} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right), \quad s \leq \beta\gamma.$$

In particular,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{s}{\gamma}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^\gamma}^{s/\gamma}. \quad (2.7)$$

Now we are in a position to prove our regularity criterion.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. It suffices to prove that (2.2) ensures the a priori estimate

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} dt < \infty,$$

hence guaranteeing the desired regularity until T by (1.2).

For this, applying Λ^2 to (1.1) and taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Lambda^2 \theta$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\Lambda^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u \cdot \nabla \theta) \Lambda^4 \theta \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Lambda^2 (u \cdot \nabla \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda u \cdot \nabla \Lambda \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^2 \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda u \cdot \nabla \Lambda \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following cancelation property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^2 \theta) \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u \cdot \nabla |\Lambda^2 \theta|^2 \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla \cdot u) \cdot |\Lambda^2 \theta|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Notice that Λ^s and ∇ commute. Hence, by Hölder inequality, first we estimate J . By using the Schwarz inequality, the fact that $B_{2,2}^s = \dot{H}^s$ and the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\Lambda^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta) I_r(-\Delta)^{\frac{r}{2}} \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Lambda u \cdot \nabla \Lambda \theta) I_r(-\Delta)^{\frac{r}{2}} \Lambda^2 \theta \, dx \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathcal{R}^\perp \Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|(\nabla \theta I_r(-\Delta)^{\frac{r}{2}} \Lambda^2 \theta)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \|\nabla \Lambda \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|(\mathcal{R}^\perp \Lambda \theta I_r(-\Delta)^{\frac{r}{2}} \Lambda^2 \theta)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we invoke Theorem 2.5 and (2.7), then we have by Young inequality and the boundedness of \mathcal{R}^\perp in the space L^2 and $\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\Lambda^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^r} \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{2/r} \\ & \quad + C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^r} \|\mathcal{R}^\perp \Lambda \theta(\cdot, t)\| \\ & \leq C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{2r}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{2r}{\alpha}} \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{2/r} \\ & = \left(C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}} \right)^{1-\frac{r}{\alpha}} \left(\|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^2 \right)^{r/\alpha} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}}^2 + C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{2/r}}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by absorbing the diffusion term into the left hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} [1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})] \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} [1 + \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2})] \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding (see Lemma 2.6)

$$\|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}} \leq C \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} [1 + \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2)]$$

and thus by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta_0(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) \exp \left(C \int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the uniform boundedness of $\|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2$ in the time interval $[0, T]$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} [1 + \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2})] \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Integrating (2.8) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L^2 \log^P L}^{\frac{\alpha}{2-r}}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2-r}}}{1 + \ln(e + \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2/r}})} dt \\ & \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ [1 + \ln(e + \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2})] \|\Lambda^2 \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \} + \|\Lambda^2 \theta_0\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_0^T \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in \mathbb{R}^2 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^\perp \theta\|_{L^\infty} & \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+4}} \|\nabla^\perp \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}^{\frac{4}{\alpha+4}} \\ & \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+4}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{\alpha+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\|\theta\|_{L^2} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} dt & \leq C \int_0^T \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+4}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{\alpha+4}} dt \\ & \leq C \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+4}} \int_0^T \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{\alpha+4}} dt \\ & \leq C \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+4}} T^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+4}} \left(\int_0^T \|\Lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+2} \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha+4}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the blow-up criterion (2.2) of smooth solutions to (1.1), we complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. This work was done, while the first author was visiting University of Catania. He thanks the Department of Mathematics at the University of Catania for its support and hospitality. The authors want to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the referees for their invaluable comments and suggestions for improving this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Caffarelli, A. Vasseur; *Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation*, Ann. Math. 171 (2010), 1903-1930.
- [2] D. Chae; *On the regularity conditions for the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37 (2006), 1649-1656.
- [3] D. Chae, J. Lee; *Global well-posedness in the supercritical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations*, Commun Math. Phys. 233 (2003), 297-311.
- [4] A. Cordoba, D. Cordoba; *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. 249 (2004), 511-528.
- [5] P. Constantin, D. Cordoba, J. Wu; *On the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), 97-107.
- [6] P. Constantin, A. Majda, E. Tabak; *Formation of strong fronts in the 2D quasi-geostrophic thermal active scalar*, Nonlinearity 7 (1994), 1495-1533.
- [7] P. Constantin, J. Wu; *Behavior of solutions of 2D quasi-geostrophic equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1999), 937-948.
- [8] P. Constantin, J. Wu; *Regularity of Hölder continuous solutions of the super-critical quasi-geostrophic equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. NonLineaire 25 (2008), 1103-1110.

- [9] S. Gala; *Regularity criteria for the 3D magneto-micropolar fluid equations in the Morrey-Campanato space*, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications 17 (2010), 181-194.
- [10] S. Gala, Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka; *A new Beale-Kato-Majda criteria for the 3D magneto-micropolar fluid equations in Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 35 (2012), 1321-1334.
- [11] S. Gala, Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka; *A remark on two generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, J. Approx. Theory 198 (2015), 1-9.
- [12] S. Gala, Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka; *On the uniqueness of weak solutions of the 3D MHD equations in the Orlicz-Morrey space*, Applicable Analysis 92 (2013), 776-783.
- [13] S. Gala, Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka, M. A. Ragusa; *On the uniqueness of weak solutions of the 3D MHD equations in the Orlicz-Morrey space*, Applicable Analysis 93 (2014), 356-368.
- [14] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger; *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1983.
- [15] Y. Jia, B.-Dong; *Remarks on the logarithmical regularity criterion of the supercritical surface quasi-geostrophic equation in Morrey spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. 43 (2015), 80-84.
- [16] N. Ju; *Existence and uniqueness of the solution to the dissipative 2D quasi-geostrophic equation in the Sobolev space*, Comm. Math. Phys. 251 (2004), 365-376.
- [17] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, A. Volberg; *Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Invent. Math. 167 (2007), 445-453.
- [18] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset; *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*, London: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [19] F. Marchand; *Weak-strong uniqueness criteria for the critical quasi-geostrophic equation*, Physica D 237 (2008), 1346-1351.
- [20] J. Pedlosky; *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, Springer, New York (1987).
- [21] C. Pérez; *Sharp L_p -weighted Sobolev inequalities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45 (1995), 809-824.
- [22] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka; *Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), 6481-6503.
- [23] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka; *A note on Generalized Fractional Integral Operators on Generalized Morrey Space*, Boundary Value Problems, 2009 (2009), Article ID 835865, 18pp.
- [24] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka; *Orlicz-Morrey spaces and fractional operators*, Potential Analysis 36 (2012), 517-556.
- [25] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka; *Olsen's inequality and its applications to Schrödinger equations*. Harmonic analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations, 51-80, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B26, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (x RIMS), Kyoto, 2011.
- [26] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka, S. Gala; *Olsen's inequality and its applications to the MHD equations* (Regularity and Singularity for Geometric Partial Differential Equations and Conservation Laws), RIMS Kokyuroku 1845 (2013), 121-144.
- [27] E. M. Stein; *Harmonic Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [28] J. Wu; *The 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Appl. Math. Lett. 15 (2002), 925-930.
- [29] Z. Xiang; *A regularity criterion for the critical and supercritical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1286-1290.
- [30] B. Yuan; *Regularity condition of solutions to the quasi-geostrophic equations in Besov spaces with negative indices*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series 26 (2010), 381-386.

SADEK GALA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MOSTAGANEM, BOX 227, MOSTAGANEM 27000, ALGERIA.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, VIALE ANDREA DORIA, 6 95125 CATANIA, ITALY

E-mail address: sadek.gala@gmail.com

MARIA ALESSANDRA RAGUSA

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, VIALE ANDREA DORIA, 6 95125 CATANIA, ITALY

E-mail address: maragusa@dmf.unict.it