

**L_∞ -ESTIMATE FOR THE ROBIN PROBLEM OF A SINGULAR
 VARIABLE p -LAPLACIAN EQUATION IN A CONICAL DOMAIN**

MIKHAIL BORSUK

ABSTRACT. We establish a bound for the modulus of the weak bounded solution to the Robin problem for an elliptic quasi-linear second-order equation with the variable $p(x)$ -Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of our article is to obtain an estimate for the modulus of weak bounded solutions to the Robin problem for quasi-linear elliptic second-order equations with the variable $p(x)$ -Laplacian in a neighborhood of an angular or conical boundary point in a bounded domain. The Robin boundary conditions are related to Sturm-Liouville problems which are used in many contexts in science and engineering. For example, in electromagnetic problems, in heat transfer problems and for convection-diffusion equations (Fick's law of diffusion); as well as to study of reflected shocks in transonic flows.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ be a bounded domain with the boundary Γ . We suppose that Γ is a smooth surface everywhere except at the origin $\mathcal{O} \in \Gamma$, and near the point \mathcal{O} it is a conical surface whose vertex is \mathcal{O} .

We consider the Robin problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}u + a_0(x)u|u|^{p(x)-1} + b(u, \nabla u) &= f(x), \quad x \in G, \\ |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}} + \frac{\gamma}{|x|^{p(x)-1}} u|u|^{p(x)-2} &= g(x), \quad x \in \Gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u \equiv \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u). \tag{1.2}$$

We require that the following assumptions hold:

- (i) $p(x) \in C^{(0)}(\bar{G})$ and $1 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ = p(0) < n$, $a_0(x) \geq a_0$, $a_0 = \text{const} > 0$ for all $x \in \bar{G}$, $\gamma = \text{const} > 0$;
- (ii) the function $b(u, \xi)$ satisfies in $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the inequality

$$|b(u, \xi)| \leq \mu|u|^{-1}|\xi|^{p(x)}, \quad 0 \leq \mu < 1, \quad \forall x \in \bar{G};$$

- (iii)

$$|f(x)| \leq f_0|x|^{\beta(x)}, \quad \beta(x) \geq \beta_0 - \frac{n}{s}, \quad s > \frac{n}{p_-}, \quad f_0 \geq 0, \quad \beta_0 > 0, \quad \forall x \in \bar{G};$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35J20, 35J25, 35J70.

Key words and phrases. $p(x)$ -Laplacian; angular and conical points.

©2018 Texas State University.

Submitted November 6, 2017. Published February 15, 2018.

$$|g(x)| \leq g_0|x|^{1-p(x)}, \quad g_0 \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma.$$

The L_∞ -regularity of weak solutions for quai-linear equations with $p(x)$ -Laplacian was studied as follows:

- in [1] for $b(u, \xi) \equiv 0$ (the Dirichlet problem),
- in [2, 3] for $b(u, \xi)$ not depending on ξ (the Dirichlet and the Robin problems),
- in [8] for

$$|b(u, \xi)| \leq c_1|\xi|^{\alpha(x)} + c_2|u|^{r(x)-1} + c_3,$$

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{r(x) - 1}{r(x)}p(x), \quad p(x) \leq r(x) < p^*(x),$$

where $p^*(x)$ is the Sobolev embedding exponent of $p(x)$ (the Dirichlet problem).

We define the functions class

$$\mathfrak{N}_{-1, \infty}^{1, p(x)}(G) = \left\{ u(x) \in L_\infty(G) : \int_G \langle |x|^{-p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} + |u|^{-1}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \rangle dx < \infty \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{N}_{-1, \infty}^{1, p(x)}(G) \subset W^{1, p(x)}(G)$.

Remark 1.1. If $p(x) > n$, by the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have $u \in C^{1-\frac{n}{p(x)}}(G)$ (see [7]). Therefore we investigate only $p(x) \in (1, n)$ (see assumption (i)).

Definition 1.2. A function u is called a weak bounded solution of problem (1.1) provided that $u(x) \in \mathfrak{N}_{-1, \infty}^{1, p(x)}(G)$ and u satisfies the integral identity

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u, \eta) &:= \int_G \langle |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} u_{x_i} \eta_{x_i} + a_0(x)u|u|^{p(x)-1} \eta(x) + b(u, \nabla u) \eta(x) \rangle dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_\Gamma r^{1-p(x)} u |u|^{p(x)-2} \eta(x) ds \\ &= \int_\Gamma g(x) \eta(x) ds + \int_G f(x) \eta(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for all $\eta(x) \in \mathfrak{N}_{-1, \infty}^{1, p(x)}(G)$.

Remark 1.3. It is easy to verify that the assumptions (i)–(iii) guarantee the existence of integrals over G and Γ . Therefore, $Q(u, \eta)$ well defined.

First we formulate well known lemmas.

Lemma 1.4 (see [6, Lemma 2.1] and [5, Lemma 1.60]). *Let us consider the function*

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\varkappa x} - 1, & x \geq 0, \\ -e^{-\varkappa x} + 1, & x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\varkappa > 0$. Let a, b be positive constants, $m > 1$. If $\varkappa > (2b/a) + m$, then we have

$$a\eta'(x) - b\eta(x) \geq \frac{a}{2}e^{\varkappa x}, \quad \forall x \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\eta(x) \geq \left[\eta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right]^m, \quad \forall x \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, there exist a $d \geq 0$ and an $M > 0$ such that

$$\eta(x) \leq M \left[\eta \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) \right]^m \quad \text{and} \quad \eta'(x) \leq M \left[\eta \left(\frac{x}{m} \right) \right]^m, \quad \forall x \geq d; \quad (1.6)$$

$$|\eta(x)| \geq x, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.7)$$

Next we have Stampacchia's Lemma, see [9, Lemma 3.11] and [10].

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\varphi : [k_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative and non-increasing function which satisfies*

$$\varphi(l) \leq \frac{C}{(l-k)^\alpha} [\varphi(k)]^\beta \quad \text{for } l > k > k_0, \quad (1.8)$$

where C, α, β are positive constants with $\beta > 1$. Then

$$\varphi(k_0 + \delta) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \delta^\alpha = C |\varphi(k_0)|^{\beta-1} 2^{\alpha\beta/(\beta-1)}.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $u(x)$ be a weak solution of (1.1). If assumptions (i)–(iii) hold, then there exists a constant $M_0 > 0$ depending only on $\text{meas } G, n, p_\pm, s, \mu, f_0, g_0, a_0, \beta_0, \gamma$ and such that $\|u\|_{L_\infty(G)} \leq M_0$.*

Proof. Let us define the set $A(k) = \{x \in \bar{G} : |u(x)| > k\}$ and let $\chi_{A(k)}$ be the characteristic function of the set $A(k)$. We observe that $A(k+d) \subseteq A(k)$ for all $d > 0$.

Putting $\eta(|u| - k)_+ \chi_{A(k)}$ sign u as the test function in (1.3), where η is defined by Lemma 1.4 and $k \geq k_0$ (without loss of generality we can assume $k_0 \geq 1$), we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(k)} \left\{ |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \eta'(|u| - k)_+ + \langle a_0(x) |u|^{p(x)} \right. \\ & \left. + b(u, \nabla u) \text{sign } u \rangle \eta(|u| - k)_+ \right\} dx + \gamma \int_{\Gamma \cap A(k)} \left(\frac{|u|}{r} \right)^{p(x)-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ ds \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx + \int_{\Gamma \cap A(k)} |g(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

By assumptions (i) and (iii), the inequality (1.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(k)} \left\{ |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u| - k)_+ - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + a_0 |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \right\} dx \\ & + \int_{\Gamma \cap A(k)} (\gamma |u|^{p(x)-1} - g_0) r^{1-p(x)} \eta(|u| - k)_+ ds \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

On the other hand, by assumption (i) and the definition of $A(k)$, we have

$$|u|^{p(x)} \geq k_0^{p-}. \quad (1.11)$$

Therefore, the inequality (1.10) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(k)} \left\{ |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u| - k)_+ \rangle - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \right\} \\ & + a_0 |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \Big\} dx \\ & + \int_{\Gamma \cap A(k)} (\gamma k_0^{p_- - 1} - g_0) r^{1-p(x)} \eta(|u| - k)_+ ds \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

We take

$$k_0 \geq \left(\frac{g_0}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_- - 1}} \quad (1.13)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(k)} \left\{ |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u| - k)_+ \rangle - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \right\} \\ & + a_0 |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \Big\} dx \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Additionally, let us define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_-(k) &= A(k) \cap \{|\nabla u| \leq 1\}, \\ A_+(k) &= A(k) \cap \{|\nabla u| \geq 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Then $A(k) = A_-(k) \cup A_+(k)$. Also we define the functions

$$v_k(x) := \eta\left(\frac{(|u| - k)_+}{p_-}\right), \quad w_k(x) := \eta\left(\frac{(|u| - k)_+}{p_+}\right). \quad (1.16)$$

We note that the inequalities

$$|\nabla u|^{p_+} \leq |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \leq |\nabla u|^{p_-} \quad \text{on } A_-(k); \quad (1.17)$$

$$|\nabla u|^{p_-} \leq |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \leq |\nabla u|^{p_+} \quad \text{on } A_+(k) \quad (1.18)$$

hold by (i).

Direct calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_k| &= \frac{1}{p_-} |\nabla u| \eta' \left(\frac{(|u| - k)_+}{p_-} \right) = \frac{\varkappa}{p_-} |\nabla u| \exp \left(\varkappa \frac{(|u| - k)_+}{p_-} \right), \quad \varkappa > 0 \\ \implies |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} &= \left(\frac{\varkappa}{p_-} \right)^{p_-} |\nabla u|^{p_-} e^{\varkappa (|u| - k)_+}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

where η is given in Lemma 1.4. Choosing $\varkappa > p_- + \frac{2\mu}{k_0}$ according to (1.4), we have

$$\eta'(|u| - k)_+ - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{\varkappa (|u| - k)_+}. \quad (1.20)$$

From (1.19) and (1.20) it follows that

$$|\nabla u|^{p_-} \langle \eta'(|u| - k)_+ \rangle - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u| - k)_+ \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-}$$

which by (1.18) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u-k)_+) - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u-k)_+) \rangle dx \\ & \geq \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla u|^{p_-} \langle \eta'(|u-k)_+) - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u-k)_+) \rangle dx \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Similarly, choosing $\varkappa > p_+ + \frac{2\mu}{k_0}$ and taking into account (1.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u-k)_+) - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u-k)_+) \rangle dx \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

Since $p_+ \geq p_-$, inequalities (1.21) and (1.22) hold for $\varkappa > p_+ + \frac{2\mu}{k_0}$. Therefore, adding inequalities (1.21) and (1.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \langle \eta'(|u-k)_+) - \mu k_0^{-1} \eta(|u-k)_+) \rangle dx \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

by (1.15). Finally, from (1.14) and (1.23) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx \\ & + a_0 \int_{A(k)} |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u-k)_+) dx \\ & \leq \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u-k)_+) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{A(k)} = \int_{A_+(k)} + \int_{A_-(k)}$, by (1.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx \\ & + a_0 \int_{A_+(k)} |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u-k)_+) dx + a_0 \int_{A_-(k)} |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u-k)_+) dx \\ & \leq \int_{A_+(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u-k)_+) dx + \int_{A_-(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u-k)_+) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

Now, by (1.5), (1.11) and (1.16), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 \int_{A_+(k)} |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u-k)_+) dx + a_0 \int_{A_-(k)} |u|^{p(x)} \eta(|u-k)_+) dx \\ & \geq a_0 k_0^{p_-} \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-} dx + \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+} dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

From (1.24) and (1.25) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\mathcal{Z}} \right)^{p_-} \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\mathcal{Z}} \right)^{p_+} \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx \\ & + a_0 k_0^{p_0^-} \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_0^-} dx + \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_0^+} dx \right) \\ & \leq \int_{A_+(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx + \int_{A_-(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A_{\pm}(k)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \\ & = \int_{A_{\pm}(k+d)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \\ & + \int_{A_{\pm}(k) \setminus A_{\pm}(k+d)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx, \quad \forall d > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

By (1.6), we obtain

$$\eta(|u| - k)_+ \Big|_{A_{\pm}(k+d)} \leq M \left[\eta \left(\frac{(|u| - k)_+}{p_{\mp}} \right) \right]^{p_{\mp}}.$$

Then (1.16) implies

$$\int_{A_+(k+d)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \leq M \int_{A_+(k+d)} |f(x)| v_k^{p_-} dx; \quad (1.28)$$

$$\int_{A_-(k+d)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \leq M \int_{A_-(k+d)} |f(x)| w_k^{p_+} dx. \quad (1.29)$$

Using the definition of η from Lemma 1.4, we arrive to

$$\eta(|u| - k)_+ \Big|_{A_{\pm}(k) \setminus A_{\pm}(k+d)} \leq e^{\mathcal{Z}d}, \quad \forall d > 0$$

which implies

$$\int_{A_{\pm}(k) \setminus A_{\pm}(k+d)} |f(x)| \eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \leq e^{\mathcal{Z}d} \int_{A_{\pm}(k) \setminus A_{\pm}(k+d)} |f(x)| dx, \quad (1.30)$$

for all $d > 0$. Now, we recall [4, formula (6.3.9) page 145]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A_+(k+d)} |f(x)| v_k^{p_-} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon (1 - \theta_-) \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_{\#}^-} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p_{\#}^-}} + \theta_- \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_- - 1}{\theta_-}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_-}} \int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-} dx, \\ & \int_{A_-(k+d)} |f(x)| w_k^{p_+} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon (1 - \theta_+) \left(\int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_{\#}^+} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{p_{\#}^+}} + \theta_+ \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_+ - 1}{\theta_+}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_+}} \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+} dx, \\ & \forall \varepsilon > 0, p_{\mp}^{\#} = \frac{np_{\mp}}{n - p_{\mp}}, \theta_{\mp} = 1 - \frac{n}{sp_{\mp}}, s > \max \left\{ \frac{n}{p_-}, \frac{n}{p_+} \right\} = \frac{n}{p_-} > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

Then applying (1.31) to (1.27)–(1.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{A_+(k)} |f(x)|\eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \\
 & \leq M\varepsilon(1 - \theta_-) \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-^\sharp} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p_-^\sharp}} + e^{\varkappa d} \int_{A_+(k)} |f(x)| dx \\
 & \quad + M\theta_- \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_- - 1}{\theta_-}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_-}} \int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-} dx \\
 & \int_{A_-(k)} |f(x)|\eta(|u| - k)_+ dx \\
 & \leq M\varepsilon(1 - \theta_+) \left(\int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+^\sharp} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{p_+^\sharp}} + e^{\varkappa d} \int_{A_-(k)} |f(x)| dx \\
 & \quad + M\theta_+ \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_+ - 1}{\theta_+}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_+}} \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+} dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

By well known the Sobolev embedding theorem and taking into account (1.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-^\sharp} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p_-^\sharp}} \leq c_- \int_{A_+(k)} (v_k^{p_-} + |\nabla v_k|^{p_-}) dx; \\
 & \left(\int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+^\sharp} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{p_+^\sharp}} \leq c_+ \int_{A_-(k)} (w_k^{p_+} + |\nabla w_k|^{p_+}) dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where c_\mp are positive constants. Finally, (1.26)–(1.33) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-} - Mc_-(1 - \theta_-)\varepsilon \right] \int_{A_+(k)} |\nabla v_k|^{p_-} dx \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} - Mc_+(1 - \theta_+)\varepsilon \right] \int_{A_-(k)} |\nabla w_k|^{p_+} dx \\
 & + [a_0 k_0^{p_-} - Mc_-(1 - \theta_-)\varepsilon - M\theta_- \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_- - 1}{\theta_-}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_-}}] \int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p_-} dx \\
 & + [a_0 k_0^{p_+} - Mc_+(1 - \theta_+)\varepsilon - M\theta_+ \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_+ - 1}{\theta_+}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_+}}] \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p_+} dx \\
 & \leq e^{\varkappa d} \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| dx, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

Further, at first, we choose

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4M} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{c_-(1 - \theta_-)} \left(\frac{p_-}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_-}, \frac{1}{c_+(1 - \theta_+)} \left(\frac{p_+}{\varkappa} \right)^{p_+} \right\} \tag{1.35}$$

and next

$$k_0 \geq \left(\frac{2MF}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \tag{1.36}$$

where

$$F = \max \left\{ c_-(1 - \theta_-)\varepsilon + \theta_- \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_- - 1}{\theta_-}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_-}}; c_+(1 - \theta_+)\varepsilon + \theta_+ \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta_+ - 1}{\theta_+}} \|f\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_+}} \right\}.$$

Thus, by the above arguments, we derive

$$\int_{A_+(k)} (|\nabla v_k|^{p^-} + v_k^{p^-}) dx + \int_{A_-(k)} (|\nabla w_k|^{p^+} + w_k^{p^+}) dx \leq C \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| dx, \tag{1.37}$$

where $C = \text{const}(n, p_-, p_+, a_0, k_0, \mu, s, \|f\|_{L_s(G)}) > 0$. The inequalities (1.33) and (1.37) give

$$\left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p^\#} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p^\#}} + \left(\int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p^\#} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{p^\#}} \leq \max\{c_-, c_+\} C \int_{A(k)} |f(x)| dx, \tag{1.38}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. At last, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{A(k)} |f(x)| dx \leq \|f(x)\|_{L_s(G)} \text{meas}^{1-\frac{1}{s}} A(k); \quad s > \frac{n}{p_-} > 1.$$

Then from (1.38) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p^\#} dx \right)^{\frac{p_-}{p^\#}} + \left(\int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p^\#} dx \right)^{\frac{p_+}{p^\#}} \\ & \leq \max\{c_-, c_+\} C \|f(x)\|_{L_s(G)} \text{meas}^{1-\frac{1}{s}} A(k), \quad s > \frac{n}{p_-} > 1, \quad \forall k \geq k_0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.39}$$

Now, let $l > k > k_0$. By (1.7) and the definition of the functions $v_k(x), w_k(x)$, we have $v_k \geq \frac{1}{p_-}(|u| - k)_+$, $w_k \geq \frac{1}{p_+}(|u| - k)_+$. Therefore,

$$\int_{A_+(l)} v_k^{p^\#} dx \geq \left(\frac{l-k}{p_-}\right)^{p^\#} \text{meas } A_+(l), \quad \int_{A_-(l)} w_k^{p^\#} dx \geq \left(\frac{l-k}{p_+}\right)^{p^\#} \text{meas } A_-(l).$$

Hence, (1.39) together with $A_\pm(l) \subseteq A_\pm(k)$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas } A(l) &= \text{meas } (A_+(l) \cup A_-(l)) \leq \text{meas } A_+(l) + \text{meas } A_-(l) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p_-}{l-k}\right)^{p^\#} \int_{A_+(k)} v_k^{p^\#} dx + \left(\frac{p_+}{l-k}\right)^{p^\#} \int_{A_-(k)} w_k^{p^\#} dx \\ &\leq C_- \left(\frac{p_-}{l-k}\right)^{p^\#} \|f(x)\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_-}} \text{meas}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_-}(1-\frac{1}{s})} A(k) \\ &\quad + C_+ \left(\frac{p_+}{l-k}\right)^{p^\#} \|f(x)\|_{L_s(G)}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_+}} \text{meas}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_+}(1-\frac{1}{s})} A(k) \end{aligned} \tag{1.40}$$

for all $l > k \geq k_0$, where $C_\mp = (C \max\{c_-, c_+\})^{p^\# / p_\mp}$. Since $\frac{p_-}{p_-} \leq \frac{p_+}{p_+}$ (see (1.31)), we have

$$\text{meas}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_-}(1-\frac{1}{s})} A(k) \geq \text{meas}^{\frac{p^\#}{p_+}(1-\frac{1}{s})} A(k), \quad \text{if } \text{meas } A(k) \leq 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{p_+}{p_+} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right) \geq \frac{p_-}{p_-} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right) > 1 \quad \text{for } s > \frac{n}{p_-} > 1.$$

Let us introduce $\psi(k) = \text{meas } A(k)$. Then from (1.40) it follows that

$$\psi(l) \leq 2\tilde{C}\psi^\zeta(k) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(l-k)^{p^\#}} & \text{if } l - k \geq 1; \\ \frac{1}{(l-k)^{p^\#}} & \text{if } 0 < l - k < 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $l > k \geq k_0$, where $\zeta = (1 - \frac{1}{s})\frac{n}{n-p_-} > 1$,

$$\tilde{C} = \text{const}(n, p_-, p_+, a_0, k_0, \mu, s, \|f\|_{L^s(G)}) > 0.$$

By the Stampacchia Lemma, we have that $\psi(k_0 + \delta) = 0$ with δ depending only on the quantities given in Theorem 1.6. This fact means that $|u(x)| \leq k_0 + \delta$ for almost all $x \in G$. Thus, we derive $M_0 = k_0 + \delta$, where k_0 is defined by (1.13), (1.36) with (1.31) and (1.35). Then Theorem 1.6 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu. Alkhutov, M. Borsuk; *The behavior of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic equations with variable non-linearity exponent in a neighborhood of a conical boundary point*, Journal of Math. Sci., **210**, no. 4,(2015), 341-370.
- [2] S. Antontsev, L. Consiglieri; *Elliptic boundary value problems with nonstandard growth conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, **71**, (2009), 891-902.
- [3] S. Antontsev, S. Shmarrev; *Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions*, Nonlinear Analysis, **65**, (2006), 728 - 761.
- [4] M. Borsuk; *Transmission Problems for Elliptic second-Order Equations in Non-Smooth Domains*, Birkhäuser, Frontiers in Mathematics, (2010), 218 p.
- [5] M. Borsuk, V. Kondratiev; *Elliptic Boundary Value Problems of Second Order in Piecewise Smooth Domains*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, **69**, Elsevier (2006), 531 p.
- [6] G. R. Cirmi, M. M. Porzio; *L^∞ - solutions for some nonlinear degenerate elliptic and parabolic equations*, Ann. mat. pura ed appl. (IV), **169** (1995), 67-86.
- [7] D. E. Edmunds, J. Rákosnik; *Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent*, Studia Matematica, **143** (3) (2000), 267-293.
- [8] X. Fan, D. Zhao; *A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity*, Nonlinear analysis, **36** (1999), 295-318.
- [9] M. K. V. Murthy, G. Stampacchia; *Boundary value problem for some degenerate elliptic operators*, Ann. mat. pura ed appl. (IV), **80** (1968), 1-122.
- [10] G. Stampacchia; *Some limit cases of L^p -estimates for solutions of second order elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **16** (1963), 505-510.

MIKHAIL BORSUK

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WARMIA AND MAZURY IN OLSZTYN, 10-957 OLSZTYN-KORTOWO, POLAND

E-mail address: borsuk@uwm.edu.pl