

WELL-POSED PROBLEMS FOR THE FRACTIONAL LAPLACE EQUATION WITH INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

NIYAZ TOKMAGAMBETOV, BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

ABSTRACT. In this remark we study the boundary-value problems for a fractional analogue of the Laplace equation with integral boundary conditions in rectangular and half-strip domains. We prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions by using the spectral decomposition method.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [10], a fractional analogue of the classical Sturm-Liouville problem was found. Moreover, it stands for a symmetric fractional differential operator of order 2α , ($1/2 < \alpha < 1$). Using the extension theory, we described a class of self-adjoint boundary-value problems associated with the fractional Sturm-Liouville equation.

Here, we aim at studying fractional operators in two dimensional cases, that is, a fractional Laplace equation. The main difference of the fractional Laplace equation, that we are going to introduce, from an operator made of the Laplacian by taking it in a fractional power is that the last one is a pseudo-differential operator with the symbol $(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ nevertheless the first one is not.

The purpose of this paper is to study two boundary value problems for the fractional Laplace equation. Let $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x < 1, -\infty < a < y < b < \infty\}$ and $\Omega_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x < +\infty, -\infty < a < y < b < \infty\}$. Now, we consider the equation

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y) - \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u(x, y) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

in Ω , or in Ω_∞ , where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1/2 < \beta < 1$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{t,p+}^\delta u(t, z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_p^t (t-s)^{-\delta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s, z) ds, \quad -\infty \leq p < t < q \leq \infty$$

is the left Caputo derivative of order $\delta \in (0, 1]$ of u with respect to t , and

$$D_{z,d-}^\omega u(t, z) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\omega)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_z^d (\xi-z)^{-\omega} u(r, \xi) d\xi, \quad -\infty \leq c < z < d \leq \infty$$

is the right Riemann-Liouville derivative of order $\omega \in (0, 1]$ of u with respect to z , [4].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R30, 35K05, 35K20.

Key words and phrases. Caputo operator; Riemann-Liouville operator; fractional Laplace; Mittag-Leffler function; self-adjoint operator; boundary value problem.

©2018 Texas State University.

Submitted February 8, 2018. Published April 12, 2018.

We say that the function $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a regular solution of (1.1) if u satisfies (1.1) and

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u \in C(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u \in C(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u \in C(\Omega).$$

Since for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$ one has

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^1 \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^1 - \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^1 \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \Delta,$$

Equation (1.1) is a fractional generalization of the Laplace equation.

Problem 1.1. Find in the domain Ω a regular solution of Equation (1.1), satisfying the following boundary value conditions:

$$u(0, y) = \varphi(y), u(1, y) = \psi(y), a \leq y \leq b, \quad (1.2)$$

$$I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, a) = 0, \quad I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, b) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Here $\varphi(y)$ and $\psi(y)$ are given sufficiently smooth functions.

Problem 1.2. Find in the domain Ω_∞ a regular solution of (1.1), satisfying the following boundary value conditions:

$$u(0, y) = \phi(y), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |u(x, y)| \rightarrow 0, \quad a \leq y \leq b, \quad (1.4)$$

$$I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, a) = 0, \quad I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, b) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq +\infty. \quad (1.5)$$

where $\phi(y)$ is a sufficiently smooth function.

Note that Problems 1.1 and 1.2 for (1.1) when $\beta = 1$ were studied in [11, 5]. Some questions of solvability of boundary value problems with fractional analogues of the Laplace operator were studied in [6, 2].

The need to study boundary-value problems for (1.1) is determined by using the fractal Laplace equations to describe the production processes in mathematical modeling of socio-economic systems [8]. We also note that in [8] an attention was drawn to the fact that the problem of finding a generalized two-factor Cobb-Douglas function is reduced to the classical boundary value problems for a generalized Laplace equation of a fractional order.

2. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

In this section we start by recalling the definitions that we need later.

Definition 2.1. The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals I_{a+}^α and I_{b-}^α of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha > 0$) are defined as

$$I_{a+}^\alpha [f](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t \in (a, b],$$

$$I_{b-}^\alpha [f](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b),$$

respectively. Here Γ stands for the Euler gamma function.

Definition 2.2. The left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative D_{a+}^α of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) is given by

$$D_{a+}^\alpha [f](t) = \frac{d}{dt} I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [f](t), \quad \forall t \in (a, b).$$

Analogously, the right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative D_{b-}^{α} of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) is defined as

$$D_{b-}^{\alpha}[f](t) = -\frac{d}{dt}I_{b-}^{1-\alpha}[f](t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Definition 2.3. The left and right Caputo fractional derivatives of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{a+}^{\alpha}[f](t) &= D_{a+}^{\alpha}[f(t) - f(a)], \quad t \in (a, b], \\ \mathcal{D}_{b-}^{\alpha}[f](t) &= D_{b-}^{\alpha}[f(t) - f(b)], \quad t \in [a, b), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Let λ be a positive real number, $I = (0, 1)$, $\bar{I} = [0, 1]$. Consider the problem

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\nu(x) - \lambda\nu(x) = 0, \quad t \in I, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nu(0) = a_0, \nu(1) = a_1, \quad (2.2)$$

where a_0 and a_1 are real numbers.

We recall that the solution of problem (2.1)-(2.2) is a function $\nu \in C(\bar{I})$, such that $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\nu \in C(\bar{I})$, $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\alpha}\nu \in C(I)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). *The solution of problem (2.1)-(2.2) exists, and is unique. Moreover, it can be written in the form*

$$\nu(x) = a_0C(\lambda x) + a_1S(\lambda x), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$C(\lambda x) = \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda})E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda}x^{\alpha}) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda})E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda}x^{\alpha})}{2\sqrt{\lambda}E_{2\alpha,\alpha+1}(\lambda)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$S(\lambda x) = \frac{x^{\alpha}E_{2\alpha,\alpha+1}(\lambda x^{2\alpha})}{E_{2\alpha,\alpha+1}(\lambda)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here

$$E_{\alpha,\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \mu)}$$

is the Mittag - Leffler type function [4].

It is easy to see that the function $E_{\alpha,1}(\pm\sqrt{\lambda}x^{\alpha})$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ satisfies the equation

$$\nu''(x) \mp \lambda D_{0+}^{2-\alpha}\nu(x) = 0, x \in I. \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.5 ([9]). *If the function $\nu \in C(\bar{I}) \cap C^2(I)$, $\nu(x) \neq \text{Const}$ is a solution of Equation (2.6), then it can not attain its positive maximum (negative minimum) within the segment \bar{I} .*

Lemma 2.6 ([4]). *For $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ the following asymptotic estimation holds*

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha}z^{\frac{(1-\beta)}{\alpha}}e^{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} - \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{z^{-k}}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha k)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{p+1}}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

where $|\arg z| \leq \rho_1\pi$, $\rho_1 \in (\frac{\alpha}{2}, \min\{1, \alpha\})$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, and for $\arg z = \pi$

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + |z|}, |z| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

It is easy to show that functions C_k and S_k are solutions of (2.6) and

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(0) &= 1, & C_k(1) &= 0, \\ S_k(0) &= 0, & S_k(1) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.7. *For any $x \in [0, 1]$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$0 \leq S(\lambda x), \quad C(\lambda x) \leq 1.$$

An application of the Fourier method to Problem 1.1 leads to the eigenvalue problem

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta \tau(y) = \lambda \tau(y), \quad a < y < b, \tag{2.10}$$

with the conditions

$$I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \tau(a) = 0, \quad I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \tau(b) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

For the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (2.10)-(2.11) the following assertions are true [10].

Lemma 2.8. *The fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (2.10)-(2.11) is self-adjoint and positive in $L^2(a, b)$.*

Lemma 2.9. *The spectrum of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (2.10)-(2.11) is discrete and positive, and the system of eigenfunctions is a complete orthogonal basis in $L^2(a, b)$.*

It is not difficult to show that the eigenvalue problem (2.10)-(2.11) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \tau(y) := \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(y, \xi) \tau(\xi) d\xi = \lambda^{-1} \tau(y), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(y, \xi) = \int_{\max\{y, \xi\}}^b \frac{(\zeta - y)^{\beta-1} (\zeta - \xi)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma^2(\beta)} d\zeta$.

Now we state the following theorem proved by Delgado and Ruzhansky [3]

Theorem 2.10. *Let M be a closed manifold of dimension n . Let K belongs to the Sobolev space $H^\mu(M \times M)$ for some index $\mu > 0$. Then the integral operator T on $L^2(M)$, defined by*

$$(Tf) = \int_M K(x, s) f(s) ds,$$

is in the Schatten classes $S_p(L^2(M))$ for $p > \frac{2n}{n+2\mu}$.

Corollary 2.11. *The operator \mathcal{L}^{-1} , defined on $L^2(a, b)$ by (2.12) is in the Schatten classes $S_p(L^2(a, b))$ for $p > \frac{2}{1+4\beta}$.*

The above corollary provides a useful spectral property; that is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^p} < \infty \tag{2.13}$$

for any $p > \frac{2}{1+4\beta}$.

3. WELL-POSEDNESS OF PROBLEM 1.1

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 < \delta < 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta D_{y,b-}^\beta \varphi(y) \in C^{1+\delta}[a, b]$, $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta D_{y,b-}^\beta \psi(y) \in C^\delta[a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \varphi(a) &= I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \varphi(b) = 0, \\ I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \psi(a) &= I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \psi(b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the solution of Problem 1.1 exists and is unique. Moreover, it can be written in the form

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_k C(\lambda_k x) + \psi_k S(\lambda_k x)] \tau_k(y), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\varphi_k = (\varphi(y), \tau_k(y))$, $\psi_k = (\psi(y), \tau_k(y))$ and $\tau_k(y)$ are eigenfunctions of the problem (2.10)-(2.11) form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(a, b)$.

Proof. Existence of the solution. Since the system of eigenfunctions $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the fractional Sturm-Liouville problem (2.10)-(2.11) forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(a, b)$, the function u can be represented as follows

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k(x) \tau_k(y), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\nu_k(x)$ are unknown functions. It is well known that if $\varphi(y)$ and $\psi(y)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then they can be uniquely represented in uniformly and absolutely convergent Fourier series by $\{\tau_k(y)\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k \tau_k(y), \\ \psi(y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_k \tau_k(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_k = (\varphi, \tau_k)$, $\psi_k = (\psi, \tau_k)$.

Putting (3.2) into (1.1) and boundary conditions (1.2), for unknown functions $\nu_k(x)$, we obtain the problem

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \nu_k(x) - \lambda_k \nu_k(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\nu_k(0) = \varphi_k, \quad \nu_k(1) = \psi_k. \quad (3.4)$$

By Lemma 2.4 the solution of (3.3)-(3.4) exists, is unique and it can be written in the form

$$\nu_k(x) = \varphi_k C(\lambda_k x) + \psi_k S(\lambda_k x),$$

where $C(\lambda_k x)$ and $S(\lambda_k x)$ are defined by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively. Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.7 inequalities

$$0 \leq S(\lambda_k x), C(\lambda_k x) \leq 1, x \in [0, 1]$$

are true.

If for φ and ψ the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold then

$$|\varphi_k| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_k^{2+\delta}}, |\psi_k| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_k^{1+\delta}}, \quad C = \text{const.}$$

For such functions, we obtain

$$|\nu_k(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k^{2+\delta}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1+\delta}} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Then taking into account the property (2.13) the convergence of the series (3.2) is obvious in $u(x, y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Further, using estimates (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(\lambda_k x) &= O(e^{\lambda_k^{1/\alpha}(x-1)}), \\ C(\lambda_k x) &= O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta$ term by term of the series (3.2), one obtains

$$\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \nu_k(x) \tau_k(y).$$

Then for all $x \geq x_0 > 0$, $a \leq y \leq b$, by taking into account inequalities (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u(x, y)| &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| |\nu_k(x)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1-\delta} + \lambda^{-\delta} e^{-\lambda_k(1-x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can estimate the series

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \nu_k(x) \tau_k(y).$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u(x, y), \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y) \in C(\Omega)$.

Uniqueness of the solution. Suppose that there are two solutions $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$ of Problem 1.1. Denote

$$u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y).$$

Then the function $u(x, y)$ satisfies (1.1) and homogeneous conditions (1.2) and (1.3).

Let

$$u_k(x) = \langle u(x, y), \tau_k(y) \rangle, k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.7)$$

Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha$ to Equation (3.3), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha u_k(x) = \langle \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y), \tau_k(y) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}_{a+,y}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{b-,y}^\beta u(x, y), \tau_k(y) \rangle.$$

Integrating by parts and taking into account the homogeneous condition (1.2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha u_k(x) - \lambda_k u_k(x) = 0, \quad u_k(0) = 0, \quad u_k(1) = 0.$$

Consequently from Lemma 2.4 we get $u_k(x) \equiv 0$.

Further, by the completeness of the system $\{\tau_k(x)\}_{\mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(a, b)$ we conclude that

$$u(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad a \leq y \leq b.$$

Hence, the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1.1 is proved. \square

4. WELL-POSEDNESS OF PROBLEM 1.2

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0 < \delta < 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta \phi(y) \in C^{1+\delta}[a, b]$ and*

$$I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \phi(a) = I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta} \phi(b) = 0.$$

Then the solution of Problem 1.2 exists, is unique and can be represented as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha) \tau_k(y), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\phi_k = (\phi, \tau_k)$, and $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the system of eigenfunctions of the problem (2.10)-(2.11) forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(a, b)$.

Proof. By applying the Fourier method to solve Problem 1.2, we lead it to the spectral problem (2.10)–(2.11). The system $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in the space $L^2(a, b)$. Thus, a regular solution of Problem 1.2 for all $x > 0$ can be represented as the series

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) \tau_k(y), \tag{4.2}$$

where $u_k(x)$ is an unknown function. We expand the function $\phi(y)$ into the Fourier series by the system $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, that is,

$$\phi(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \tau_k(y), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\phi_k = (\phi, \tau_k)$.

Let us consider functions

$$u_k(x) = \int_a^b u(x, y) \tau_k(y) dy, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha$ to the functions (4.4) and by taking into account Equation (1.1), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{0+}^\alpha u_k(x) = \int_a^b \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y) \tau_k(y) dy = \int_a^b \mathcal{D}_{a+,y}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{b-,y}^\beta u(x, y) \tau_k(y) dy.$$

Twice integrating by parts the last integral and by using the conditions (1.4) and (1.5), we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u_k(x) - \lambda_k u_k(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < +\infty, \tag{4.5}$$

$$u_k(0) = \phi_k, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |u_k(x)| \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.6}$$

The general solution of Equation (4.5) has the form

$$u_k(x) = C_1 E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha) + C_2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha),$$

where C_1 and C_2 are unknown constants. Since $E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha)$ is completely monotonic [7], that is,

$$E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha) \rightarrow \infty, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

we need to choose $C_1 = 0$ to have the second condition in (4.6). Then

$$u_k(x) = C_2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha)$$

and by the first condition in (4.6) we have

$$u_k(x) = \phi_k E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^\alpha).$$

Furthermore, the identity (4.4) directly implies the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1.2: if $\phi(y) = 0$ on $[a, b]$ then $u_k(x) = 0$ on $[0, +\infty)$. Consequently, due to the completeness of the system $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we obtain $u(x, y) = 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_\infty$.

Therefore, the formal solution of Problem 1.2 can be represented as in (3.1). If the function $\phi(y)$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.1, then for the Fourier coefficients we get inequality:

$$|\phi_k| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_k^{1+\delta}}.$$

Then for all $y \in [a, b]$, for each $x \in [0, +\infty)$ we conclude

$$|u(x, y)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{\lambda_k^{1+\delta}} < \infty,$$

i.e., the series (3.1) converges uniformly in the domain $[a, b] \cap [0, \infty)$. Therefore, $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}_\infty)$. Similarly, we show that $\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u \in C(\Omega_\infty)$, $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u \in C(\Omega_\infty)$. The proof is complete. \square

5. NON-HOMOGENEOUS CASE

In this section we study a non-homogeneous fractional Laplace equation

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u(x, y) - \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u(x, y) = f(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad (5.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(0, y) = 0, \quad u(1, y) = 0, \quad a \leq y \leq b, \quad (5.2)$$

$$I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, a) = 0, \quad I_{b-,y}^{1-\beta} u(x, b) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (5.3)$$

for some sufficiently smooth function f .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Assume that $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there is a unique solution $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ of the problem (5.1)-(5.3) such that*

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u \in C(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^\alpha u \in C(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta u \in C(\Omega).$$

Moreover, we have the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_k(y) \int_0^x (x-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(x-s)) f_k(s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_k(y) S(\lambda_k x) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(1-s)) f_k(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Here, $f_k(x)$ is from

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) \tau_k(y),$$

where $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(a, b)$ and a system of eigenfunctions generated by the spectral problem (2.10)–(2.11); that is,

$$\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^\beta \mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^\beta \tau(y) = \lambda \tau(y), \quad a < y < b,$$

with the conditions

$$I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta}\tau(a) = 0, \quad I_{y,b-}^{1-\beta}\tau(b) = 0.$$

Proof. Existence of the solution. Since the system of eigenfunctions $\{\tau_k(y)\}_{k=\mathbb{N}}$ of the fractional problem (2.10)–(2.11) forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(a, b)$, then for u we obtain the representation

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_k(x)\tau_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\nu_k(x)$ are unknown functions.

By using the representation (5.5), from (5.1)–(5.2) for the unknown functions $\nu_k(x)$ we get the problem

$$\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^{\alpha}\nu_k(x) - \lambda_k\nu_k(x) = f_k(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\nu_k(0) = 0, \quad \nu_k(1) = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Applying the method in [1], it is not difficult to show that the general solution of Equation (5.6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_k(x) &= C_1 E_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{\lambda_k}x^{\alpha}) + C_2 E_{\alpha,1}(-\sqrt{\lambda_k}x^{\alpha}) \\ &+ \int_0^x (x-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(x-s)) f_k(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Using the boundary conditions (5.7), we obtain the unique solution of the problem (5.6)–(5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_k(x) &= \int_0^x (x-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(x-s)) f_k(s) ds \\ &- S(\lambda_k x) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(1-s)) f_k(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $S(\lambda_k x)$ is defined by (2.5). Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.7, the following inequality holds

$$0 \leq S(\lambda_k x), \quad C(\lambda_k x) \leq 1, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Now, By Lemma 2.7, ν_k satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nu_k(x)| \\ &\leq \int_0^x (x-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(x-s)) |f_k(s)| ds + \int_0^1 (1-s)^{2\alpha-1} C_k(\lambda_k(1-s)) |f_k(s)| ds \\ &\leq \max_x |f_k| (x^{2\alpha} C_k(\lambda_k x) + C_k(\lambda_k)) \\ &\leq C \frac{\max_x |f_k|}{1 + \lambda_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. Then the series (5.4) converges uniformly in the domain $\bar{\Omega}$ and therefore $u(x, y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Further, using the estimate

$$S_k(\lambda_k x) = O(e^{\lambda_k^{1/\alpha}(x-1)}),$$

we can prove that $\mathcal{D}_{y,a+}^{\beta}\mathcal{D}_{y,b-}^{\beta}u(x, y), \mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{x,0+}^{\alpha}u(x, y) \in C(\Omega)$.

Uniqueness of the solution of the problem (5.1)–(5.3) follows from the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgements. N. Tokmagambetov was supported by the MESRK Grant No. AP05130994 of the Committee of Science, Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan. B. T. Torebek was supported by the MESRK Grant No. AP05131756 of the Committee of Science, Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. Ashurov, A. Cabada, B. Kh. Turmetov; Operator method for construction of solutions of linear fractional differential equations with constant coefficients. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, **19**: 1, 229–251 (2016).
- [2] M. Dalla Riva, S. Yakubovich; On a Riemann-Liouville fractional analog of the Laplace operator with positive energy. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*. **23**: 4, 277–295 (2012).
- [3] J. Delgado, M. Ruzhansky; Schatten classes on compact manifolds: Kernel conditions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **267**, 772–798 (2014).
- [4] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo; *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier. North-Holland. Mathematics studies. 2006.
- [5] M. Kirane, B. Kh. Turmetov, B. T. Torebek; A nonlocal fractional Helmholtz equation. *Fractional Differential Calculus*. **7**: 2, 225–234 (2017).
- [6] O. Kh. Masaeva; Dirichlet Problem for the Generalized Laplace Equation with the Caputo Derivative. *Differential Equations*. **48**: 3, 449–454 (2012).
- [7] K. S. Miller, S. G. Samko; A note on the complete monotonicity of of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function. *Real Anal. Exchange*, **23**, 753–755 (1997).
- [8] A. M. Nakhushiev; On mathematical and information technologies for modeling and control of regional development. *Dokl. Adygsk. (Cherkessk.) Mezhdunar. Akad. Nauk*. **9**:1, 128–137 (2007).
- [9] A. M. Nakhushiev; *Fractional Calculus and Its Applications*. Fizmatlit, Moscow, (2003) (In Russian).
- [10] N. Tokmagambetov, T. B. Torebek; Fractional Analogue of Sturm-Liouville Operator. *Documenta Math.*, **21**, 1503–1514 (2016).
- [11] B. Kh. Turmetov, B. T. Torebek; On solvability of some boundary value problems for a fractional analogue of the Helmholtz equation. *New York Journal of Mathematics*. **20**: 2014, 1237–1251 (2014).

NIYAZ TOKMAGAMBETOV

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 050040, AL-FARABI AVE., 71, ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN.
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, 050010, PUSHKIN ST., 125, ALMATY,
KAZAKHSTAN

E-mail address: tokmagambetov@math.kz

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, 050010, PUSHKIN ST., 125, ALMATY,
KAZAKHSTAN.

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 050040, AL-FARABI AVE., 71, ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

E-mail address: torebek@math.kz