

EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO 1-D FRACTIONAL ORDER DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

LUELING JIA, HUANZHEN CHEN, VINCENT J. ERVIN

ABSTRACT. In this article we investigate the existence and regularity of 1-D steady state fractional order diffusion equations. Two models are investigated: the Riemann-Liouville fractional diffusion equation, and the Riemann-Liouville-Caputo fractional diffusion equation. For these models we explicitly show how the regularity of the solution depends upon the right hand side function. We also establish for which Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions the models are well posed.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years nonlocal models have been proposed for a number of phenomena whose behavior differ significantly from that predicted by usual local models, i.e., integer order differential equations. Several areas where nonlocal models have been used include contaminant transport in ground water flow [5], viscoelasticity [14], image processing [6, 10], turbulent flow [14, 20], and chaotic dynamics [24].

Two nonlocal approaches that are currently being investigated as models for anomalous diffusion are fractional differential equations [17, 13] and equations involving the fractional Laplacian [18]. (For recent results on the regularity of the solution to equations involving the fractional Laplacian see [2, 3].) The focus of this article is on the regularity of the solution to fractional diffusion equations. Two such models that have appeared in the literature, which we denote by ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha$ [8, 9], and ${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha$ [19], are defined by

$${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) := -D\left(rD^{-(2-\alpha)} + (1-r)D^{-(2-\alpha)*}\right)Du(x) = f(x), \quad (1.1)$$

$${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) := -D^2\left(rD^{-(2-\alpha)} + (1-r)D^{-(2-\alpha)*}\right)u(x) = f(x), \quad (1.2)$$

for $0 < x < 1$, where D denotes the usual differential operator, and $D^{-\beta}$ and $D^{-\beta*}$ denote the left and right fractional integral operators, respectively (defined in Section 2). We refer to ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha$ as the Riemann-Liouville-Caputo fractional differential operator, and ${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha$ as the Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator

A variational solution, $u \in H_0^{\alpha/2}(0, 1)$, to (1.1) and (1.2), subject to $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, and $f \in H^{-\alpha/2}(0, 1)$ was established in [9]. A detailed analysis of the existence and regularity of solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) for $r = 1$ (i.e., one sided fractional diffusion equations) was given by Jin, Lazarov, et al. in [12]. Recently

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 35R25, 65N35.

Key words and phrases. Fractional diffusion equation; existence; regularity; spectral method.
©2019 Texas State University.

Submitted September 24, 2018. Published July 26, 2019.

Wang and Yang [22] investigated the well posedness of solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) for $r = 1$ subject to three different Neumann boundary conditions. They showed that for at least one of the boundary conditions that the modeling equations were ill posed. A physical interpretation of absorbing and reflecting boundary conditions for (1.2) for $r = 1$ was recently presented by Baeumer, Kovács, et al. in [4]. Also, for $r = 1$ the existence and regularity of solutions to (1.1) having a variable diffusion coefficient was analyzed by Yang, Chen and Wang in [23].

In this article we investigate the existence and regularity of the solutions to (1.1) and (1.2), subject to various boundary conditions. A goal of this investigations is to provide engineers and scientists insight into determining which equation may more appropriately model their problem of interest.

For clarity in our discussion we say that $g(x)$, $x \in (0, 1)$ is *algebraically regular* if $g(x) \sim Cx^a$, as $x \rightarrow 0$ for $0 < a < 1$ or $g(x) \sim C(1-x)^b$, as $x \rightarrow 1$ for $0 < b < 1$, and *algebraically singular* if $g(x) \sim Cx^{-a}$, as $x \rightarrow 0$ for $0 < a < 1$ or $g(x) \sim C(1-x)^{-b}$, as $x \rightarrow 1$ for $0 < b < 1$.

From a course in differential equations we have that the general solution to the linear differential equation $\mathcal{L}u = f$ can be expressed as $u = u_{\text{homog}} + u_{ps}$, where u_{homog} satisfies the associated homogeneous differential equation (i.e., $u_{\text{homog}} \in \ker(\mathcal{L})$), and u_{ps} is a particular solution. The regularity of the solution u depends on two factors: (i) the operator \mathcal{L} , and (ii) the RHS function f . The regularity of u_{homog} is solely determined by the operator \mathcal{L} . The regularity of u_{ps} depends on f , and also on the operator \mathcal{L} . For (1.1) we have that $\ker({}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha)$ is algebraically regular (Section 3), whereas for (1.2) we have that $\ker({}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha)$ is algebraically singular (Section 4).

Equation (1.2) represents the steady-state fractional diffusion equation derived in [19], assuming a heavy tail random walk process. Equation (1.1) and (1.2) only differ in the location of one of the derivative operators: either before the fractional integral terms or after them. A physical interpretation of the difference between the two equations can be obtained by considering the 1-D heat equation, modeling the cross sectional temperature along a bar that is insulated along its lateral surface [7].

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x, t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}q(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Here $u(x, t)$, $q(x, t)$, and $f(x, t)$ represent the temperature (synonymous with energy), energy flux, and an energy source density, respectively, at cross section x at time t . Corresponding to (1.1),

$$q(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left(r \int_0^x \frac{1}{(x-s)^{\alpha-1}} \left(-\frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial x} \right) ds \right. \\ \left. - (1-r) \int_x^1 \frac{1}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial x} ds \right).$$

The first term in the parenthesis on the right hand side implies that if the local temperature around s is not constant (i.e., $\frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial x} \neq 0$) then energy flows from this point. The contribution of this flow of energy to a point a distant $(x-s)$ units away is given by

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{1}{(x-s)^{\alpha-1}} \left(-\frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial x} \right) \Delta s.$$

A similar interpretation applies to the second term in the parenthesis. Hence, in (1.1) the flux at a point is the weighted sum of local energy variations along the bar, which may be interpreted as a nonlocal version of Fick's Law.

For equation (1.2), we let

$$E(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \left(r \int_0^x \frac{1}{(x - s)^{\alpha-1}} u(s, t) ds + (1 - r) \int_x^1 \frac{1}{(s - x)^{\alpha-1}} u(s, t) ds \right).$$

This expression can be interpreted as the weighted sum of local energy distributed throughout the bar, with the energy (temperature) at s contributing an amount

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \frac{1}{(x - s)^{\alpha-1}} u(s, t) \Delta s.$$

Then, as $q(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E(x, t)$, the flux at x is due to the variation in the weighted energy at x . Note that each point s contributes to the weighted energy at x , $E(x, t)$, corresponding to a random walk process as derived in [19]. So, in (1.2) there is an underlying energy flow occurring throughout the bar, however there is only a resulting flux at x if there is a local imbalance in this weighted energy at x .

In the next section we introduce notation and several key lemmas we use in the analysis of the solutions to (1.1) and (1.2). In Section 3 we present the existence and regularity results for the solution of (1.1), subject to various boundary conditions. A shift theorem for (1.1) is investigated in Section 3.2. The analysis of the solution to (1.2), subject to various boundary conditions, is presented in Section 4. A summary of the difference in the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) is given in the Conclusions. Proofs of a number of the results used in Sections 3 and 4 are given in the appendix.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let u a function defined on (a, b) and $\sigma > 0$. We define the *Left Fractional Integral Operator* as

$${}_a D_x^{-\sigma} u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_a^x (x - s)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds,$$

and the *Right Fractional Integral Operator* as

$${}_x D_b^{-\sigma} u(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_x^b (s - x)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds.$$

For $\mu > 0$, n is the smallest integer greater than μ (i.e. $n - 1 \leq \mu < n$), $\sigma = n - \mu$, and D the derivative operator, we define the *Left Riemann-Liouville Fractional Differential Operator of order μ* as

$${}^{RL} D_x^\mu u(x) := D^n {}_a D_x^{-\sigma} u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_a^x (x - s)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds$$

and the *Right Riemann-Liouville Fractional Differential Operator of order μ* as

$${}^{RL} D_b^\mu u(x) := (-D)^n {}_x D_b^{-\sigma} u(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_x^b (s - x)^{\sigma-1} u(s) ds.$$

Note that the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional differential operators differ in the location of the derivative operator.

The *Left Caputo Fractional Differential Operator of order μ* is

$${}^C D_x^\mu u(x) := {}_a D_x^{-\sigma} D^n u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_a^x (x-s)^{\sigma-1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} u(s) ds.$$

The *Right Caputo Fractional Differential Operator of order μ* is

$${}^C D_b^\mu u(x) := (-1)^n {}_x D_b^{-\sigma} D^n u(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_x^b (s-x)^{\sigma-1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} u(s) ds.$$

As our interest is in the solution of fractional diffusion equations on a bounded, connected subinterval of \mathbb{R} , without loss of generality we restrict our attention to the unit interval $I := (0, 1)$.

For ease of notation, we use $D^{-\sigma} := {}_0 D_x^{-\sigma}$ and $D^{-\sigma*} := {}_x D_1^{-\sigma}$. Let

$$\mathbf{I}_r^s u(x) := r D^{-s} u(x) + (1-r) D^{-s*} u(x). \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$${}_{RLC} \mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) = -D \mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} u(x), \quad {}_{RL} \mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) = -D^2 \mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} u(x).$$

For the RLC fractional diffusion equation, the *flux* is ${}_{RLC} \mathcal{F} u(x) = -\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} D u(x)$, and for the RL fractional diffusion equation, the *flux* is ${}_{RL} \mathcal{F} u(x) = -D \mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} u(x)$.

Jacobi polynomials play an important role in the analysis. We briefly review their definition and some of their properties [1, 21]. Jacobi Polynomials are defined as

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) := \sum_{m=0}^n p_{n,m} (x-1)^{(n-m)} (x+1)^m,$$

for $-1 < x < 1$, where

$$p_{n,m} := \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n+\alpha}{m} \binom{n+\beta}{n-m}. \quad (2.2)$$

Orthogonality property:

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq j \\ \|\| P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)} \|\|^2, & k = j \end{cases}$$

where

$$\|\| P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)} \|\| = \left(\frac{2^{(\alpha+\beta+1)}}{(2j+\alpha+\beta+1)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\alpha+1)\Gamma(j+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(j+\alpha+\beta+1)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

To transform the domain of the family of Jacobi polynomials to $[0, 1]$, let $x \rightarrow 2t-1$ and introduce $G_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) = P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x(t))$. From (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{t=0}^1 2^\alpha (1-t)^\alpha 2^\beta t^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2t-1) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2t-1) 2 dt \\ &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \int_{t=0}^1 (1-t)^\alpha t^\beta G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq j, \\ 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \|\| G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)} \|\|^2, & k = j. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\|\| G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)} \|\| = \left(\frac{1}{(2j+\alpha+\beta+1)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\alpha+1)\Gamma(j+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(j+\alpha+\beta+1)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$\|G_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}\| = \|G_j^{(\beta,\alpha)}\|, \tag{2.5}$$

and that from [1, 21],

$$G_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0) = (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(j + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

From [15, equation (2.19)] we have

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{2^k \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(x). \tag{2.7}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} G_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(n + k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)} G_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(t). \tag{2.8}$$

Also, from [15, equation (2.15)],

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \{ (1-x)^{\alpha+k} (1+x)^{\beta+k} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(x) \} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k 2^k n!}{(n-k)!} (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \quad n \geq k \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \{ (1-t)^{\alpha+k} t^{\beta+k} G_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(t) \} = \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-k)!} (1-t)^\alpha t^\beta G_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t). \tag{2.10}$$

For compactness of notation we introduce

$$\rho^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \rho^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) := (1-x)^\alpha x^\beta. \tag{2.11}$$

We use $y_n \sim n^p$ to denote that there exists constants c and $C > 0$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $cn^p \leq |y_n| \leq Cn^p$. Also, from Stirling's formula we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \sigma)}{\Gamma(n)n^\sigma} = 1, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.12}$$

Function spaces $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{I})$ and $H_{\rho^{(a,b),A}}^l(\mathbb{I})$. The weighted $L^2(\mathbb{I})$ spaces are appropriate for studying the existence and regularity of solutions. For $\omega(x) > 0$, $x \in (0, 1)$, let

$$L_\omega^2(0, 1) := \{ f(x) : \int_0^1 \omega(x) f(x)^2 dx < \infty \}.$$

Associated with $L_\omega^2(0, 1)$ is the inner product, and norm

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\omega := \int_0^1 \omega(x) f(x) g(x) dx, \quad \|f\|_\omega := (\langle f, f \rangle_\omega)^{1/2}.$$

Following [11], we introduce the weighted Sobolev spaces

$$H_{\rho^{(a,b),A}}^l(\mathbb{I}) := \{ v | v \text{ is measurable and } \|v\|_{l,\rho^{(a,b),A}} < \infty \}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.13}$$

with associated norm and semi-norm

$$\|v\|_{l,\rho^{(a,b),A}} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^l \|D^j v\|_{\rho^{(a+j,b+j)}}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad |v|_{l,\rho^{(a,b),A}} := \|D^l v\|_{\rho^{(a+l,b+l)}}.$$

Throughout this article we assume that α , β , and r satisfy a fixed relationship. Additionally a constant defined by α and β occurs sufficiently often that we denote it by c_*^* . We refer to these relationships as follows

Condition (A1). The parameters α , β , and r and constant c_* satisfy: $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\alpha - 1 \leq \beta$, $\alpha - \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$c_*^* = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)}, \quad (2.14)$$

where β is determined by

$$r = \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)}. \quad (2.15)$$

The following three lemmas are useful in determining the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2).

Lemma 2.1. *Under Condition (A1),*

$$\ker(D\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}) = \text{span}\{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Additionally,

$$D\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}(x\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)) = -c_*^*\Gamma(\alpha) = \mu_{-1}G_0^{(\delta, \gamma)}(x), \quad (2.17)$$

$$D\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}((1-x)\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)) = -\mu_{-1}G_0^{(\delta, \gamma)}(x), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\mu_{-1} := -c_*^*\Gamma(\alpha)$.

Proof. The proof of (2.16) is given in [8]. Properties (2.17) and (2.18) follow from Lemma 5.1 (in the appendix), and that $G_0^{(\delta, \gamma)}(x) = 1$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Under Condition (A1), for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

$$\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) = \sigma_n G_n^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\sigma_n := -c_*^*\Gamma(n + \alpha - 1)/\Gamma(n + 1). \quad (2.20)$$

The proof of this lemma is given in the appendix.

Lemma 2.3. [16] *Under Condition (A1), for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

$$D\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta G_n^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) = \mu_n G_{n+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x), \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\mu_n = c_*^* \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1)}. \quad (2.22)$$

3. EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF THE RLC FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION MODEL

In this section we investigate the existence and regularity of the solution of the steady state RLC fractional diffusion model subject to various boundary conditions.

3.1. **Dirichlet boundary conditions.** From [8] we have

$$\ker({}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha) = \text{span} \left\{ 1, \int_0^x (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^{\beta-1} ds \right\} = \text{span} \{k_0(x), k_1(x)\} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$k_0(x) := \int_x^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^{\beta-1} ds, \quad k_1(x) := \int_0^x (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^{\beta-1} ds.$$

The singular endpoint behavior of the kernel at both endpoints, i.e., $(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta}$ and x^β , is more apparent using the basis $k_0(x)$ and $k_1(x)$.

With C_1 and C_2 appropriately chosen, the change of variable $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) + C_1 k_0(x) + C_2 k_1(x)$ transform the problem

$${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad \text{subject to } \tilde{u}(0) = A, \tilde{u}(1) = B, \quad (3.2)$$

to the problem

$${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad \text{subject to } u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$ may be expressed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x),$$

where

$$f_i := \int_0^1 \rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) f(x) G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Let

$$f_N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x), \quad (3.5)$$

$$u_N(x) = \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^N c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x),$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -c_*^* \frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+1)}, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i \|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} f_i. \quad (3.6)$$

Theorem 3.1 ([8]). *Let $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$ and $u_N(x)$ be as defined in (3.5). Then*

$$u(x) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N(x) = \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbb{I}).$$

In addition, $u(x)$ satisfies (3.3).

The regularity of Du is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$ and $u(x)$ satisfying (3.3) we have that $Du \in L^2_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta)+1, -\beta+1)}}(\mathbb{I})$.*

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Du_M - Du_N\|_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta)+1, -\beta+1)}}^2 \\ &= \left(\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) \sum_{i=N+2}^{M+1} c_{i-1} i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), \sum_{i=N+2}^{M+1} c_{i-1} i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=N+2}^{M+1} c_{i-1}^2 i^2 \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)\|^2.$$

From (5.9),

$$\frac{1}{2} \|G_i^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x)\|^2 \leq \|G_{i-1}^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)\|^2,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Du_M - Du_N\|_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta-1), -(\beta-1))}}^2 \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i=N+2}^{M+1} i^2 \frac{f_{i-1}^2}{\lambda_{i-1}^2 \|G_{i-1}^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^4} \|G_{i-1}^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2 \\ & = 2 \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{(i+1)^2}{\lambda_i^2} \frac{f_i^2}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2} \\ & = 2 \left(\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{(i+1)^2}{\lambda_i^2} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula (2.12), $\frac{(i+1)^2}{\lambda_i^2}$ is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Du_M - Du_N\|_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta)+1, -\beta+1)}}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x)\|^2} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) \right) \\ & = C \|f_M(x) - f_N(x)\|_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

As $f \in L^2(\mathbf{I})_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}$, then $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$. Thus we can conclude that $Du \in L^2_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta)+1, -\beta+1)}}(\mathbf{I})$. \square

3.2. Regularity of $u(x)$. Next we investigate if $f(x)$ is “nicer”, i.e., a more regular function, does that increased regularity transfer over to the solution $u(x)$. The following lemma is useful in helping to provide an answer to that question.

Lemma 3.3. *For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, if $D^{j-1}f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}(\mathbf{I})$, then $D^j \frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} u(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}(\mathbf{I})$.*

Proof. From (2.8), and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} D^j \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} u_N(x) \right) &= D^j \sum_{i=0}^N c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-j} c_{i+j} \frac{\Gamma(i+2j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$D^{j-1} f_N(x) = \sum_{i=-1}^{N-j} \frac{f_{i+j}}{\|G_{i+j}^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} \frac{\Gamma(i+2j+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} G_{i+1}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}(x).$$

Then, for $M > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D^j \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} (u_M - u_N) \right)\|_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=N-j+1}^{M-j} c_{i+j}^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(i+2j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=N-j+1}^{M-j} \frac{f_{i+j}^2}{\lambda_{i+j}^2 \|G_{i+j}^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^4} \left(\frac{\Gamma(i+2j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}\|^2 \quad (3.9) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=N-j+1}^{M-j} \frac{f_{i+j}^2}{\|G_{i+j}^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^4} \left(\frac{\Gamma(i+2j+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \|G_{i+1}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}\|^2 \\ &= C \|D^{j-1} f_M - D^{j-1} f_N\|_{\rho^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.6), (5.11), and (3.8). Assuming $D^{j-1} f \in L_{\rho^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$, then $\{D^{j-1} f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\rho^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$. Thus we conclude that $D^j \frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} u(x) \in L_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$. \square

Combining Lemma 3.3 with the definition of $H_{\rho^{(a,b)}, A}^l(\mathbb{I})$, (2.13), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, if $f(x) \in H_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}, A}^{j-1}(\mathbb{I})$, then

$$\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} u(x) \in H_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}, A}^j(\mathbb{I}).$$

In the theory of linear differential equations a *shift theorem* typically establishes that if the regularity of the right hand side is improved by one order then the regularity of the solution also increases by one order.

As $\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \in C^\infty(a, b)$, for $0 < a < b < 1$, then $\hat{u}(x) := \frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)} u(x)$ will have the same regularity as $u(x)$ on (a, b) . Theorem 3.4 shows that away from the endpoints if the regularity of f is improved by one order than the regularity of the solution also improves by one order.

It is worth to note that, even though ${}_{RLC}D_r^\alpha$ is a nonlocal operator, Theorem 3.4 shows that f may be singular at the endpoints without affecting the regularity of the solution away from the endpoints.

Summary of solution to (3.2). For $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $f \in H_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha - \beta)}, A}^{j-1}(\mathbf{I})$ the RLC fractional diffusion equation is well posed for all Dirichlet boundary conditions. The solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ is decomposable into three pieces. Two pieces are explicitly determined by the values of the boundary conditions, whereas the third piece $u(x)$ is determined by $f(x)$ and satisfies $\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha - \beta, \beta)}(x)} u(x) \in H_{\rho^{(\alpha - \beta, \beta)}, A}^j(\mathbf{I})$.

3.3. Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. Of interest in this section is the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) &= f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ \text{subject to } {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) &= A, \quad \tilde{u}(1) = B. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

For $0 \leq r < 1$, we consider $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) + C_1 k_0(x) + B$, with $u(x)$ given by Theorem 3.1, and $k_0(x)$ by (3.1). Then ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) = f(x)$, $0 < x < 1$, and $\tilde{u}(1) = B$.

Noting that, as $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}u(x) = {}_{RL}\mathcal{F}u(x)$, and using (2.21) and (2.19) we obtain

$${}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(x) = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) + C_1 \sigma_0. \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) = A$ implies

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left(A + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) \right), \quad (3.12)$$

where convergence of the series is established in Lemma 5.5 for $0 \leq r < 1$.

For $r = 1$ (for which $\beta = \alpha - 1$), and for $f \in L_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}^2(\mathbf{I})$ we have that a necessary and sufficient condition for $\tilde{u}(x)$ satisfying ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) = f(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{I}$, $\tilde{u}(1) = B$ to satisfy ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) = A$ is that the series in (3.12), with $\beta = \alpha - 1$, converges. However, we consider

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\alpha-1, 1)}\|^2} G_i^{(\alpha-1, 1)}(x), \quad \text{where } f_i = (-1)^i \frac{1}{\log(i)}.$$

Using (2.4),

$$\|G_i^{(\alpha-1, 1)}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2i + \alpha + 1} \frac{\Gamma(i + \alpha)\Gamma(i + 2)}{\Gamma(i + 1)\Gamma(i + \alpha + 1)} = \frac{1}{2i + \alpha + 1} \frac{i + 1}{i + \alpha} \sim \frac{1}{2i}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}^2}^2 &= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{f_i^2}{\|G_i^{(\alpha-1, 1)}\|^2} \sim 2 \int_2^{\infty} x \frac{1}{x^2 (\log(x))^2} dx \\ &= 2(-1) (\log(x))^{-1} \Big|_{x=2}^{\infty} = \frac{2}{\log(2)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

However, corresponding to the series in (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) &= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i f_i}{(i + \alpha) \|G_i^{(\alpha-1, 1)}\|^2} \\ &\sim \int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{x \log(x)} dx = \log(\log(x)) \Big|_{x=2}^{\infty} \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that for $r = 1$ and arbitrary $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha - \beta)}}(\mathbb{I}) = L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha - 1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (3.10) is not well posed.

Summary of solution to (3.10). For $0 \leq r < 1$ and $f \in H^{j-1}_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha - \beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$ the RLC fractional diffusion equation is well posed for mixed Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. The solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ is decomposable into three pieces. Two pieces are explicitly determined by the values of the boundary conditions, whereas the third piece $u(x)$ is determined by $f(x)$ and satisfies $\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha - \beta, \beta)}(x)}u(x) \in H^j_{\rho^{(\alpha - \beta, \beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$. For $f \in H^{j-1}_{\rho^{(\alpha - 1, 1)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$ and $r = 1$ problem (3.10) is not well posed.

3.4. Neumann boundary conditions. Of interest in this section is the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) &= f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ \text{subject to } {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) &= A, \quad {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(1) = B. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Integrating the differential equation we have

$$\int_0^1 {}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(s) ds = {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(1) - {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) = B - A = \int_0^1 f(s) ds, \tag{3.14}$$

which gives the usual compatibility condition between the flux and the right hand side function for a diffusion problem subject to Neumann boundary conditions.

For $0 < r < 1$, assuming the compatibility condition is satisfied, from Section 3.3 we have that, for C_1 given by (3.12), solutions to (3.13) are given by

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) + C_1 k_0(x) + C_3,$$

for any $C_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

From (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(1) - {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) &= B - A \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^\infty \mu_i c_i \left(G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(1) - G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|_2} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|_2} \frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha)} G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 f(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

confirming the compatibility condition.

For $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, analogous to the discussion for the mixed boundary condition problem discussed in Section 3.3, for $r = 0$: (for which $\beta = 1$) for $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(1, \alpha - 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (3.13) is not well posed.

For $r = 1$ (for which $\beta = \alpha - 1$) for $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha - 1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$, problem (3.13) is not well posed.

Summary of solution to (3.13). For $0 < r < 1$ and $f \in H_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}, A}^{j-1}(\mathbb{I})$ the RLC fractional diffusion equation is well posed for Neumann boundary conditions, subject to the boundary conditions satisfying the usual compatibility condition (3.14). The solution is only determined up to an additive solution. Additionally, the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ is decomposable into three pieces, an undetermined constant, a piece explicitly determined by the values of the boundary conditions, and a third piece $u(x)$ determined by $f(x)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)}u(x) \in H_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}, A}^j(\mathbb{I})$. For $r = 0$ and $f \in L_{\rho^{(1, \alpha-1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$, or $r = 1$ and $f \in L_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (3.13) is not well posed.

4. EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF THE RL FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION MODEL

In this section we investigate the existence and regularity of the steady state RL fractional diffusion model subject to various boundary conditions. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker({}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha) &= \text{span} \{ (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}, (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta \} \\ &= \text{span} \{ (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta, (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta}x^{\beta-1} \} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The singular endpoint behavior of the kernel at both endpoints is more apparent in representation (4.1). From [8] we have, as $\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(0) = \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(1) = 0$,

$${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) = {}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) = \lambda_n G_n^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x).$$

For ${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \cdot$ we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Under Condition (A1), for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,*

$${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) = \kappa_n G_{n-2}^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}(x), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\kappa_n = c_*^* \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \quad G_j^{(\cdot, \cdot)}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } j < 0.$$

Proof. From (2.8) we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} G_n^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha - 1)} G_{n-2}^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}(x). \tag{4.3}$$

Combining (4.3) and Lemma 5.2 we obtain (4.2). □

Note that for $n = 0$ and 1 , $\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) \in \ker({}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha)$.

For $f(x) \in L_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$, $u(x) \in L_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$ satisfying ${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha u(x) = f(x)$ can be expressed as given in Theorem 3.1. Using Theorem 4.1, in a similar fashion as was done in [8], $f(x) \in L_{\rho^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$, $w(x) \in L_{\rho^{(-(\alpha-\beta-1), -(\beta-1))}}^2(\mathbb{I})$ satisfying ${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha w(x) = f(x)$ can be expressed as

$$w(x) = \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \frac{1}{\| \| G_i^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)} \| \| ^2} \int_0^1 \rho^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}(s) G_i^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}(s) f(s) ds.$$

Note that $L_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2(\mathbb{I}) \subset L_{\rho^{(\beta+1, \alpha-\beta+1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$.

To contrast the solutions of the *RLC* and *RC* diffusion equations, in this section we will assume that $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$.

4.1. Dirichlet boundary conditions. For $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$, we consider the RL diffusion equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$${}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) = f(x) \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad \text{subject to } \tilde{u}(0) = A, \tilde{u}(1) = B. \quad (4.5)$$

Using (4.1) and Theorem 3.1 the general solution of (4.5) can be expressed as

$$\tilde{u}(x) = C_1(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta + C_2(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta}x^{\beta-1} + \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x).$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(0) = A &\implies A = C_2 \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{\beta-1}, \\ \tilde{u}(1) = B &\implies B = C_1 \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

For $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, in order that (4.6) defines a finite value for C_1 and C_2 we must have $A = B = 0 \implies C_1 = C_2 = 0$. Recall that in the case of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions problems (1.1) and (1.2) coincide.

In place of (4.5), if we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) &= f(x) \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ \text{subject to } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{u}(x) &= Ax^{\beta-1}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \tilde{u}(x) = B(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

then the solution is well defined, satisfying

$$\tilde{u}(x) = A(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta}x^{\beta-1} + B(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta + \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x). \quad (4.8)$$

Summary of solution to (4.5). For $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $f \in H^{j-1}_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$ in order for the the RL fractional diffusion equation to be well posed the solution must have a specific, prescribed singular behavior at the endpoints of the interval. In that case, the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ is decomposable into three pieces, two singular pieces that are determined by the boundary conditions and a regular piece determined by $f(x)$. This regular piece is the same as discussed in Theorem 3.4.

4.2. Dirichlet and Neumann boundary condition. In this section we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) &= f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ \text{subject to } {}_{RL}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) &= A, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \tilde{u}(x) = B(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For $0 \leq r < 1$, it is convenient to express the solution as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x) &= C_1(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta + C_3(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1} \\ &+ \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$${}_{RL}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(x) = -C_1\mu_{-1} + 0 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_i^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x).$$

Therefore ${}_{RL}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) = A$ implies

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{\mu_{-1}} \left(A + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_i^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) \right), \tag{4.11}$$

where convergence of the series is established in Lemma 5.5 for $0 \leq r < 1$.

The boundary condition at $x = 1$ implies

$$(C_1 + C_3) \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1} = B \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1},$$

which gives $C_3 = B - C_1$. The solution is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x) &= C_1(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^\beta + (B - C_1)(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1} \\ &\quad + \rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

with C_1 given by (4.11).

For $r = 1$ (for which $\beta = \alpha - 1$), analogous to the discussion in Section 3.3, (4.9) is not well posed for $r = 1$ and arbitrary $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$.

Summary of solution to (4.9). For $0 \leq r < 1$, $f \in H^{j-1}_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$, a flux boundary condition imposed at $x = 0$, and a prescribed boundary condition behavior of the form $(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}$ at $x = 1$, the RL fractional diffusion equation (4.9) is well posed. For the case $r = 1$, and arbitrary $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$, (4.9) is not well posed.

4.3. Neumann Boundary Conditions. Of interest in this section is the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(x) &= f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ \text{subject to } {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) &= A, \quad {}_{RLC}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(1) = B. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Again we have the usual compatibility condition between the flux and the right hand side function

$$\int_0^1 {}_{RL}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha \tilde{u}(s) ds = {}_{RL}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(1) - {}_{RL}\mathcal{F}\tilde{u}(0) = B - A = \int_0^1 f(s) ds, \tag{4.13}$$

which we assume is satisfied.

For $0 < r < 1$, from Section 4.2 we have that the solution to (4.12) is given by (4.10), with C_1 determined by (4.11) and $C_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ an arbitrary constant.

For $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, analogous to the discussion for the ${}_{RLC}\mathcal{D}_r^\alpha$ operator in discussed in Section 3.4, for $r = 0$ (for which $\beta = 1$) for $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(1, \alpha-1)}}(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (4.12) is not well posed. For $r = 1$: (for which $\beta = \alpha - 1$) For $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (4.12) is not well posed.

Summary of solution to (4.12). For $0 < r < 1$ and $f \in H^{j-1}_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$ the RL fractional diffusion equation is well posed for Neumann boundary conditions, subject to the boundary conditions satisfying the usual compatibility condition (4.13). The solution is only determined up to an additive solution. Additionally, the solution $\tilde{u}(x)$ is decomposable into three pieces, an undetermined constant, a piece explicitly determined by the values of the boundary conditions, and a third piece $u(x)$ determined by $f(x)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)}u(x) \in H^j_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}, A}(\mathbb{I})$. For $r = 0$ and $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(1, \alpha-1)}}(\mathbb{I})$, or $r = 1$ and $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\alpha-1, 1)}}(\mathbb{I})$ the problem (4.12) is not well posed.

CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have investigated the well posedness and regularity of the solution to fractional diffusion equations (1.1) and (1.2). In the case of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions or Neumann boundary conditions the solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) agree. However, for nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions that is not the case. Specifically, for nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions the solution to (1.1) is bounded on $(0, 1)$, whereas for the problem (1.2) to be well posed specific singular behavior at the endpoints must be specified. Regarding the regularity of the solution, we have shown that the solution, away from the endpoints, satisfies a shift theorem with respect to the regularity of the right hand side function.

5. APPENDIX: ANCILLARY PROPERTIES AND PROOFS

In this section we presents some ancillary results used in establishing the existence and regularity properties given above.

Lemma 5.1. *Under Condition (A1), for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k}x^k, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$a_{n,k} = (-1)^{n+1}c_*^*\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)\frac{(-1)^k\Gamma(\alpha-1+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta-n+k)\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(k+1)}.$$

Proof. With $u(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha-\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}x^n$, using Maple we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & D^{-(2-\alpha)}u(x) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta+n)}x^{n+1-\alpha+\beta}{}_2F_1(n+\beta, \beta-\alpha+1; 2-\alpha+\beta+n, x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D^{-(2-\alpha)*}u(x) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta-n-1)}{\Gamma(1-\beta-n)}x^{n+1-\alpha+\beta}{}_2F_1(n+\beta, \beta-\alpha+1; 2-\alpha+\beta+n, x) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1}\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k \csc(\pi(\alpha-\beta)+k\pi) \sin(\pi\alpha+k\pi)\Gamma(\alpha-1+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta-n+k)\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(k+1)}x^k, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_2F_1(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot, x)$ denotes the Gaussian three parameter hypergeometric function. Using the identity

$$\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)},$$

it follows that

$$\Gamma(1-\beta-n) = \frac{(-1)^n\pi}{\sin(\pi\beta)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+n)}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta+n) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\pi}{\sin(\pi(\alpha-\beta))} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta-n-1)}. \quad (5.3)$$

From the two equalities above we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta + n)} = -\frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta))}{\sin(\pi\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta - n - 1)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - n)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Using (5.4), the coefficient of $x^{n+1-\alpha+\beta} {}_2F_1(\cdot)$ in the linear combination $(rD^{-(2-\alpha)} + (1-r)D^{-(2-\alpha)^*})u(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & r \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta + n)} + (1-r) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta - n - 1)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - n)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta - n - 1)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - n)} \left(-r \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta))}{\sin(\pi\beta)} + 1 - r \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta - n - 1)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta - n)} \left(-\frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)} \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta))}{\sin(\pi\beta)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta))}{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, it is straightforward to show that

$$\csc(\pi(\alpha - \beta) + k\pi) \sin(\pi\alpha + k\pi) = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta))},$$

Then, as $(1-r) \sin(\pi\alpha) / \sin(\pi(\alpha - \beta)) = c_*^*$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} u(x) = (-1)^{n+1} c_*^* \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha - 1 + k)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta - n + k) \Gamma(n + 1 - k) \Gamma(k + 1)} x^k.$$

□

Note that in an analogous manner as in Lemma 5.1, we have that

$$\mathbf{I}_{1-r}^{2-\alpha} (1-x)^{\beta-1} x^{\alpha-\beta-1} x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k} x^k, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$b_{n,k} = (-1)^{n+1} c_*^* \Gamma(\beta) \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\alpha - 1 + k)}{\Gamma(\beta - n + k) \Gamma(n + 1 - k) \Gamma(k + 1)}.$$

Lemma 5.2. Under Condition (A1), for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) = \sigma_n G_n^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x), \tag{5.6}$$

where σ_n is given by (2.20).

Proof. Using the orthogonality of $\{G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with respect to the weight function $\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)$, we have that for any $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x)$,

$$\left(G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), p(x) \right)_{\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}} = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Up to a constant, (5.7), defines the n^{th} order polynomial $G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)$.

For $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x)$, from (5.5), there exist $\hat{p}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x)$ such that

$$\mathbf{I}_{1-r}^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) p(x) = \hat{p}(x). \tag{5.8}$$

Then

$$\left(\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), p(x) \right)_{\rho^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), \rho^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} p(x) \right) \\
&= \left(\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), \mathbf{I}_{1-r}^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} p(x) \right) \\
&= \left(\rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x), \hat{p}(x) \right) \quad (\text{using (5.8)}) \\
&= 0, \quad (\text{using (5.7)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbf{I}_r^{2-\alpha} \rho^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x) = C G_n^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x)$ for $C \in \mathbb{R}$. As the coefficients of x^n in $G_n^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta-1)}(x)$ and $G_n^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x)$ are the same, then from Lemma 5.1,

$$C = -c_*^* \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} = \sigma_n.$$

□

The bound obtained in the following lemma is used in establishing the regularity of Du in Corollary 3.2 in Section 3.1.

Lemma 5.3. For $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\|G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\|^2}{\|G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\|^2} = \frac{j+1}{j+\alpha} \leq 1. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. From (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\|^2}{\|G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\|^2} &= \frac{1}{2j+\alpha+1} \frac{\Gamma(j+\alpha-\beta+1)\Gamma(j+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(j+\alpha+1)} \frac{2j+\alpha+1}{1} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(j+\beta+1)\Gamma(j+\alpha-\beta+1)} \\
&= \frac{j+1}{j+\alpha} \leq 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

□

The following lemma is used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 5.4. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(i+2j+\alpha)^2}{\lambda_{i+j}^2} \frac{\|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}\|^2}{\|G_{i+1}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}\|^2} \leq C. \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. From (2.5) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}\|^2}{\|G_{i+1}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}\|^2} \\
&= \frac{\|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta-j, \beta-j)}\|^2}{\|G_{i+1}^{(\alpha-\beta+j-1, \beta+j-1)}\|^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2i+\alpha+2j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha-\beta+j+1)\Gamma(i+\beta+j+1)}{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(i+\alpha+2j+1)} \\
&\quad \times (2i+\alpha+2j+1) \frac{\Gamma(i+2)\Gamma(i+\alpha+2j)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha-\beta+j+1)\Gamma(i+\beta+j+1)} \\
&= \frac{(i+1)}{(i+\alpha+2j)}. \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula,

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_{i+j}|} = C \frac{\Gamma(i+j+1)}{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)} \sim (i+j+1)^{-\alpha} \sim i^{-\alpha}. \tag{5.13}$$

Combining (5.12) and (5.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(i+2j+\alpha)^2}{\lambda_{i+j}^2} \frac{\|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}\|^2}{\|G_{i+1}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}\|^2} &\sim i^{-2\alpha} (i+2j+\alpha)^2 \frac{(i+1)}{(i+\alpha+2j)} \\
&\sim i^{-2(\alpha-1)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

from which (5.11) follows. \square

The following result is used in the discussion of a Neumann boundary condition in Section 3.3.

Lemma 5.5. For $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(I)$ and μ_i and c_i given by (2.22) and (3.6), respectively,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) < \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. Note that for $f \in L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(I)$,

$$\infty > \|f\|_{L^2_{\rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}}^2 = \int_0^1 \rho^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}(x) f(x)^2 dx = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_i^2}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2}. \tag{5.15}$$

From (2.22) and (3.6) we have

$$\mu_i c_i = - \frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha)} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2}. \tag{5.16}$$

Combining (2.6), (5.15) and (5.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \mu_i c_i G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(0) \right| \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{-\Gamma(i+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha)} \frac{f_i}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(i+2)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \right| \quad (5.17) \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_i^2}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha)} \frac{1}{\|G_i^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}\|^2} \frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(i+2)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.15), the first term on the right hand side of is bounded. Let us denote by S the second term on the right hand side of (5.17). Using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2 &= \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha-\beta))^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i+\alpha)^2} \frac{(2i+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\beta+1)} \frac{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha-\beta+1)} \\
& \quad \times \left(\frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(i+2)} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(i+1)}{\Gamma(i+\beta+1)} &\sim (i+1)^{-\beta}, \\
\frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha-\beta+1)} &\sim (i+\alpha-\beta+1)^\beta, \\
\frac{\Gamma(i+1+\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(i+2)} &\sim (i+2)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$S^2 \sim \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha-\beta))^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i^{-1+2(\alpha-\beta-1)} < \infty, \quad (\text{as } \alpha-\beta-1 < 0)$$

from which the stated result then follows. \square

Acknowledgments. L. Jia was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSAF U1530401). Part of this work was undertaken while V. J. Ervin was a visitor at the School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, China.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun; *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55 of National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] G. Acosta, J. P. Borthagaray; A fractional Laplace equation: Regularity of solutions and finite element approximations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 55(2): 472–495, 2017.

- [3] G. Acosta, J. P. Borthagaray, O. Bruno, M. Maas; Regularity theory and high order numerical methods for the (1-d)-fractional Laplacian. *Math. Comp.*, 87:1821–1857, 2018.
- [4] B. Baeumer, M. Kovács, M. M. Meerschaert, H. Sankaranarayanan; Reprint of: Boundary conditions for fractional diffusion [MR3759717]. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 339: 414–430, 2018.
- [5] D. A. Benson, S. W. Wheatcraft, M. M. Meerschaert; The fractional-order governing equation of Lévy motion. *Water Resour. Res.*, 36(6): 1413–1424, 2000.
- [6] A. Buades, B. Coll, J. M. Morel; Image denoising methods. A new nonlocal principle. *SIAM Rev.*, 52(1):113–147, 2010. Reprint of “A review of image denoising algorithms, with a new one” [MR2162865].
- [7] V. J. Ervin, N. Heuer, J. P. Roop; Regularity of the solution to 1-D fractional order diffusion equations. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00128>, 2016.
- [8] V. J. Ervin, N. Heuer, J. P. Roop. Regularity of the solution to 1-D fractional order diffusion equations. *Math. Comp.*, 87: 2273–2294, 2018.
- [9] V. J. Ervin, J. P. Roop; Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations*, 22(3):558–576, 2006.
- [10] P. Gatto, J. S. Hesthaven; Numerical approximation of the fractional Laplacian via *hp*-finite elements, with an application to image denoising. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 65(1): 249–270, 2015.
- [11] B.-y. Guo, L.-l. Wang; Jacobi approximations in non-uniformly Jacobi-weighted Sobolev spaces. *J. Approx. Theory*, 128(1): 1–41, 2004.
- [12] B. Jin, R. Lazarov, J. Pasciak, W. Rundell; Variational formulation of problems involving fractional order differential operators. *Math. Comp.*, 84(296): 2665–2700, 2015.
- [13] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo; *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [14] F. Mainardi; Fractional calculus: Some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics. In *Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics (Udine, 1996)*, volume 378 of *CISM Courses and Lectures*, pages 291–348. Springer, Vienna, 1997.
- [15] Z. Mao, S. Chen, J. Shen; Efficient and accurate spectral method using generalized Jacobi functions for solving Riesz fractional differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 106:165–181, 2016.
- [16] Z. Mao, G. Em Karniadakis; A spectral method (of exponential convergence) for singular solutions of the diffusion equation with general two-sided fractional derivative. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 56(1): 24–49, 2018.
- [17] I. Podlubny; *Fractional differential equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [18] C. Pozrikidis; *The fractional Laplacian*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [19] R. Schumer, D. A. Benson, M. M. Meerschaert, S.W. Wheatcraft; Eulerian derivation of the fractional advection-dispersion equation. *J. Contam. Hyd.*, 48:69–88, 2001.
- [20] M. F. Shlesinger, B. J. West, J. Klafter; Lévy dynamics of enhanced diffusion: Application to turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 58(11):1100–1103, 1987.
- [21] G. Szegő; *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, fourth edition, 1975. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII.
- [22] H. Wang, D. Yang; Wellposedness of Neumann boundary-value problems of space-fractional differential equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 20(6): 1356–1381, 2017.
- [23] S. Yang, H. Chen, H. Wang; Least-squared mixed variational formulation based on space decomposition for a kind of variable-coefficient fractional diffusion problems. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 2018. published online, DOI: 10.1007/s10915-018-0782-y.
- [24] G. M. Zaslavsky, D. Stevens, H. Weitzner; Self-similar transport in incomplete chaos. *Phys. Rev. E (3)*, 48(3): 1683–1694, 1993.

LUELING JIA

APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS DIVISION, BEIJING COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: lljia@csrc.ac.cn

HUANZHEN CHEN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHANDONG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINAN, CHINA

Email address: chzh@sdnu.edu.cn

VINCENT J. ERVIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SC 29634-0975,
USA

Email address: vjervin@clemsun.edu