

HÉNON EQUATION WITH NONLINEARITIES INVOLVING SOBOLEV CRITICAL GROWTH IN $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$

EUDES M. BARBOZA, OLIMPIO H. MIYAGAKI,
FÁBIO R. PEREIRA, CLÁUDIA R. SANTANA

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the Hénon equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda|x|^\mu u + |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u \quad \text{in } B_1, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{aligned}$$

where B_1 is the ball centered at the origin of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$) and $\mu \geq \alpha \geq 0$. Under appropriate hypotheses on the constant λ , we prove existence of at least one radial solution using variational methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we search for a non-trivial radially symmetric solution to the Hénon-type Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda|x|^\mu u + |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u \quad \text{in } B_1, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $\mu \geq \alpha \geq 0$, B_1 is a unity ball centered at the origin of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$), and $2_\alpha^* = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$.

When $\alpha = \mu = 0$, the pioneering work is due to Brézis and Nirenberg in [9], where they obtained a λ_1 and positive solutions when $\lambda < \lambda_1$. We refer the reader to the book [39] for a survey about this subject. Devillanova and Solimini [24] proved multiplicity results for $N \geq 7$, for all $\lambda > 0$. Then in [25], they complemented the former result for $N \geq 4$, but for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$. Clapp and Weth [20] extended the above results for $N \geq 4$, for all $\lambda > 0$, getting lower estimates for the number of solutions. Chen, Shioji and Zou [18] obtained a ground state solution and multiplicity results, and improved results in [20]. The existence is proved in [15], for all $\lambda > 0$ and $N \geq 5$, and when $N = 4$ only for $\lambda \neq \lambda_k$, where λ_k is eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)$. In [17] some multiplicity results were obtained for λ near λ_k . These existence results were improved in [26]. For a version of these results in the quasilinear see [21, 1].

When $\alpha, \mu > 0$, these problems are called Hénon type problems. Actually, Hénon [28] introduced problem (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$, as a model of clusters of stars for the case $N = 1$. Since then, many authors have worked with this type of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35J20, 35J25, 35B33, 35B34.

Key words and phrases. Hénon type equation; critical Sobolev growth; resonance; noncompact variational problem.

©2021 Texas State University.

Submitted October 2, 2019. Published March 29, 2021.

the equations from several points of view. The pioneering paper is due to Ni [32]; he established the compact embedding $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) \subset L^p(B_1, |x|^\alpha)$ for all $p \in [1, 2_\alpha^*)$, where $2_\alpha^* = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$. This was used for obtaining radial solutions. Here $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) = \{u \in H_0^1(B_1) : u \text{ is radial, that is, } u(x) = u(|x|), \forall x \in B_1\}$. This result was extended to more general quasilinear operators in [21]. In the case $\lambda = 0$, Badiale and Serra [2] obtained multiplicity results for non-radial solutions (see [16] for some extensions). For ground state profile (when the solutions that concentrate at a boundary point of B_1 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$) and when the growth approaches to the usual Sobolev critical exponent, see [10, 11, 13, 14, 30, 34, 38], and references therein. For Hénon problems involving the usual Sobolev exponents we cite [31, 29, 35, 36] and their references. Up to our knowledge, there are only a few works treating problem (1.1) with $\lambda \neq 0$ involving the Sobolev critical exponent given by Ni, 2_α^* . Nonhomogeneous perturbations are studied in [3], when $\lambda > 0$ and smaller than the first eigenvalue. While some concentration phenomena for linear perturbation is studied in [27] when λ is small enough. Long and Yang [31] established the existence of nontrivial solutions for (1.1) with $\mu = 0$, when $\lambda \neq \lambda_k$, for all k , and $N \geq 7$. Also, they proved that $(\lambda_k, 0)$ is a bifurcation point of problem (1.1), for all k . The aim of this article is to extend above results, for instance, treating all λ positive.

To establish our results, we need to know the spectrum of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda|x|^\mu u \quad \text{in } B_1; \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Note that $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ is a Hilbert space, which is compactly embedded in $L^p(B_1, |x|^\mu)$, for all $p \in (1, 2_\mu^*)$ (see [32]). Arguing as in [22, 4], we can show that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues for (1.2), with

$$\lambda_1^* \leq \lambda_2^* \leq \lambda_3^* \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k^* \leq \dots, \quad \lambda_k^* \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The eigenvalues are characterized by

$$\lambda_1^* = \min_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{B_1} |x|^\mu |u|^2 dx}, \quad \lambda_{k+1}^* = \min_{u \in \mathbb{P}_{k+1} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{B_1} |x|^\mu |u|^2 dx}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{k+1} = \{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) : \langle u, e_j \rangle = \int_{B_1} \nabla u \nabla e_j dx = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}, \tag{1.4}$$

and e_k denotes the eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue λ_k^* . Also from [22], we know that $e_1 > 0$, and that e_j for $j \neq 1$ changes sign.

The results below follow from the linear theory, which are obtained by adapting the ideas in [7] or [37, Appendix A]):

- (1) each λ_k^* has finite multiplicity,
- (2) $e_k \in C^{0,\sigma}(\overline{B_1})$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$;
- (3) the sequence $\{e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(B_1, |x|^\mu)$ and orthogonal in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$.

For a fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can assume $\lambda_k^* < \lambda_{k+1}^*$, otherwise we can assume that λ_k^* has multiplicity $p \in \mathbb{N}$; that is,

$$\lambda_{k-1}^* < \lambda_k^* = \lambda_{k+1}^* = \dots = \lambda_{k+p-1}^* < \lambda_{k+p}^*,$$

and we denote $\lambda_{k+p}^* = \lambda_{k+1}^*$.

The proofs of our results are based on variational methods. To ensure that the considered minimax levels lie in a suitable range, we use approximating functions that are constructed from Talenti functions (Hénon version). When we work with nonlinearities involving Sobolov critical growth, it is common to follow the Brézis-Nirenberg approach to estimate the minimax levels with the help of the Talenti functions,

$$U_\epsilon(x) = \left[\frac{N(N-2)\epsilon}{\epsilon + |x|^2} \right]^{(N-2)/4} \quad (1.5)$$

which are solutions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u(x) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that they yield the best Sobolev embedding constant for $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, given by

$$S = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(B_1), u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_{2^*}^2}.$$

Using U_ϵ one can prove that the minimax level of the functional associated with problems with critical growth belongs to the interval where the Palais-Smale compactness condition holds.

When searching for solutions to Hénon type equations in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, we note that the weight $|x|^\alpha$ modifies the critical exponent, it becomes $2_\alpha^* \geq 2^*$ for $\alpha \geq 0$. Consequently, we need to invoke a different family of functions adapted for the radial context. More precisely, since we are searching for radial solutions for (1.1) with critical growth, we let S_α be the best constant for the Sobolev-Hardy embedding

$$H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^\alpha).$$

The constant is

$$S_\alpha = \inf_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \right)^{2/2_\alpha^*}} \quad (1.6)$$

which is achieved by the family of functions

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{[(N+\alpha)(N-2)\epsilon]^{(N-2)/2(2+\alpha)}}{(\epsilon + |x|^{2+\alpha})^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}} \quad (1.7)$$

defined for $\epsilon > 0$. Indeed, these functions are minimizers of S_α in the set of radial functions in the case $\alpha > -2$. Furthermore, the u_ϵ s are the positive radial solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u(x) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

For details and more general results, see [3, 12, 19, 21, 32].

1.1. Statement of main results. We present our results in three theorems. The first theorem deals with the non-trivial solution of problem (1.1) when $\lambda > 0$ and $N > 4 + \mu$. The possibility of resonance is also considered in this case. The second theorem also concerns the non-trivial solution, when the working dimension is $4 + \mu$; in this case we need to consider $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$ for $j \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. In the third theorem considers non-trivial solutions when $N < 4 + \mu$. To recover the

compactness of the functional associated with problem (1.1), we need λ large, with $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$.

Theorem 1.1. *For $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^*$ or $\lambda_k^* \leq \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}^*$, problem (1.1) possesses a non-trivial radial solution when*

$$N > \frac{\mu - \alpha}{2} + 2 + (2 + \mu)\sqrt{2}. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 1.2. *For $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^*$ or $\lambda_k^* < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}^*$, problem (1.1) possesses a non-trivial radial solution when $N = 4 + \mu$.*

Theorem 1.3. *For $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large and $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, problem (1.1) possesses a non-trivial radial solution when $N < 4 + \mu$.*

Remark 1.4. Observe that (1.9) implies $N > 4 + \mu$. In this sense, Theorem 1.1 provides a partial answer to the question about existence of nontrivial radial solutions when $N > 4 + \mu$.

In [3], it was proved that the non-trivial solution of (1.1) is positive when $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^*$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the variational framework, prove the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences of the functional associated with problem (1.1). Since we search for a radial solutions for a problem with critical Sobolev growth nonlinearity, we show the minimax levels are bounded by constants depending on N , α and S_α . In Section 3, we obtain the geometric conditions on the functional for proving the existence of solutions to (1.1). In Section 4, following [15], we obtain estimates for recovering the compactness of the functional associated with problem (1.1). In Section 5, we prove our main results.

2. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION

Given a real Banach space E and a functional Φ of class C^1 on E , by definition Φ satisfies Palais-Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (denoted $(PS)_c$) if every sequence (u_j) in E such that

$$\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } E^* \tag{2.1}$$

has a convergent subsequence. Such a sequence is called a (PS) sequence (at level c). We shall use the following version of a well-known critical-point theorem (see [5]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ be a functional satisfying the following assumptions:*

- (1) $f(u) = f(-u)$, $f(0) = 0$ for any $u \in H$;
- (2) there exists $\beta > 0$ such that f satisfies $(PS)_c$ for $c \in (0, \beta)$;
- (3) there exist two closed subspaces $V, W \subset H$ and positive constants ρ, δ with $\delta < \beta$ such that
 - (i) $f(u) < \beta$ for any $u \in W$;
 - (ii) $f(u) \geq \delta$ for any $u \in V$, $\|u\| = \rho$;
 - (iii) $\text{codim } V < \infty$.

Then there exist at least m pairs of critical points, where

$$m = \dim(V \cap W) - \text{codim}(V + W).$$

We consider $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

The subspace of functions in B_1 with weight $|x|^\mu$ and $\mu \geq 0$ is denoted by $L^z(B_1, |x|^\mu)$, and it is endowed the norm

$$\|u\|_{z,|x|^\mu} = \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\mu |u|^z \, dx \right)^{1/z}.$$

For finding (weak) solutions of (1.1) we look for critical points of the functional $J_\lambda : H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$J_\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} (|\nabla v|^2 - \lambda |x|^\mu v^2) \, dx - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx.$$

We do not apply the standard variational arguments because the embedding of $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ in $L^{2_\alpha^*}(B_1, |x|^\alpha)$ is not compact, and that the functional J_λ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. We need to adapt an idea introduced by Brézis and Nirenberg [9] and Secchi [35]. This idea was used for the Talenti functions (1.5) for proving that a functional associated with a problem with critical Sobolev growth nonlinearity satisfies the PS-condition in the interval $(0, S^{N/2}/N)$.

Here, in the radial context for a Hénon type equation, we construct minimax levels for the functional J_λ which lie in the interval

$$\left(0, \frac{2 + \alpha}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} \right).$$

For this purpose, we use that positive solutions (1.7) of (1.8) yield the constant S_α in the embedding of $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^\alpha)$.

2.1. Palais-Smale sequences. Recall that the proof of the Palais-Smale condition for the functional associated with Problem (1.1) follows traditional methods. So we present a brief proof for this condition.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(u_m) \subset H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence of J_λ . Then (u_m) is bounded in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$.*

Proof. Let $(u_m) \subset H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence, that is

$$J_\lambda(u_m) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_m\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u_m\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx = c + o(1) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u_m), v \rangle &= \int_{B_1} \nabla u_m \nabla v \, dx - \lambda \int_{B_1} |x|^\mu u_m v \, dx - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u_m v \, dx \\ &= o(1) \|v\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $v \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$. From (2.2) and (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_m) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_\lambda(u_m), u_m \rangle &= \frac{2_\alpha^* - 2}{2 \cdot 2_\alpha^*} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx \\ &= c + o(1) + o(1) \|u_m\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Considering $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^*$, by the variational characterization of λ_1^* , we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_m), u_m \rangle \geq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1^*}\right) \|u_m\|^2 - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx.$$

Hence by (2.4), we obtain

$$\|u_m\|^2 \leq C_1 + C_2 \|u_m\|$$

and consequently (u_m) is a bounded sequence in $H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1)$.

Now we consider $\lambda_k^* < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}^*$. It is convenient to decompose $H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1)$ into the following subspaces,

$$H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1) = H_k \oplus H_k^\perp, \tag{2.5}$$

where H_k is finite dimensional defined by

$$H_k = [e_1, \dots, e_k]. \tag{2.6}$$

For u in $H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1)$, let $u = u^k + u^\perp$, where $u^k \in H_k$ and $u^\perp \in (H_k)^\perp$. We note that

$$\int_{B_1} \nabla u \nabla u^k dx - \lambda \int_{B_1} |x|^\mu u u^k dx = \|u^k\|^2 - \lambda \|u^k\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\int_{B_1} \nabla u \nabla u^\perp dx - \lambda \int_{B_1} |x|^\mu u u^\perp dx = \|u^\perp\|^2 - \lambda \|u^\perp\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2. \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.3) and (2.8), we can see that

$$\langle J_\lambda(u_m), u_m^\perp \rangle = \|u_m^\perp\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m^\perp\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha - 2} u_m u_m^\perp dx = o(1) \|u_m^\perp\|.$$

Then, from the variational characterization of λ_{k+1}^* , the Holder and Young inequalities, and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}^*}\right) \|u_m^\perp\|^2 \\ & \leq \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha - 2} u_m u_m^\perp dx + o(1) \|u_m^\perp\| \\ & \leq \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{2^*_\alpha - 1}{2^*_\alpha}} \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m^\perp|^{2^*_\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_\alpha}} \\ & \leq \epsilon \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m^\perp|^{2^*_\alpha} dx\right)^{2/2^*_\alpha} + c_\epsilon \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{2(2^*_\alpha - 1)}{2^*_\alpha}} + o(1) \|u_m^\perp\| \\ & \leq \epsilon \|u_m^\perp\|^2 + c_\epsilon \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{2(2^*_\alpha - 1)}{2^*_\alpha}} + c \|u_m^\perp\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and [32, Compactness Lemma] which guarantees the compact embedding of $H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1)$ in $L^z(B_1, |x|^\alpha)$ for $2 \leq z < 2^*_\alpha$, we have

$$\|u_m^\perp\|^2 \leq (c + c \|u_m\|)^{\frac{2(2^*_\alpha - 1)}{2^*_\alpha}} + c \|u_m^\perp\|. \tag{2.9}$$

For $u_m^k \in H_k$, using the variational characterization of λ_k^* , similar to (2.9), we obtain

$$\|u_m^k\|^2 \leq (c + c \|u_m\|)^{\frac{2(2^*_\alpha - 1)}{2^*_\alpha}} + c \|u_m^k\|. \tag{2.10}$$

By summing the inequalities in (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\|u_m\|^2 \leq (C + C\|u_m\|)^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} + C\|u_m\|,$$

which proves the boundedness of the sequence (u_m) in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ as desired.

Lastly, we consider $\lambda = \lambda_k^*$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We use the decomposition

$$H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) = H_{k-1} \oplus H_k^\perp \oplus E_{\lambda_k^*}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $E_{\lambda_k^*}$ is the eigenspace associated with eigenvalue λ_k^* . For the sequence (u_m) in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, we have

$$u_m = u_m^{k-1} + u_m^\perp + w_m = v_m + w_m,$$

where $u_m^{k-1} \in H_{k-1}$, $u_m^\perp \in (H_k)^\perp$, $v_m = u_m^{k-1} + u_m^\perp$ and $w_m = \sum_{i=1}^l y_{i,m} e_{i,\lambda_k^*} \in E_{\lambda_k^*}$, where e_{i,λ_k^*} is an eigenfunction associated with λ_k^* for $1 \leq i \leq l$, l is the multiplicity of λ_k^* , and w_m can be consider different from 0 for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\|w_m\| \leq y_m$, where $y_m = l \max\{|y_{i,m}|; 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. Using arguments similar to those used in (2.9) and (2.10), we conclude that

$$\|v_m\|^2 \leq C(1 + \|u_m\|)^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} + C\|v_m\|. \tag{2.12}$$

We can assume $\|u_m\| \geq 1$ (if $\|u_m\| \leq 1$, the sequence (u_m) is bounded in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$) and, since $\|u_m\| \leq \|v_m\| + y_m$, by (2.12), we obtain

$$\|v_m\|^2 \leq C(\|v_m\| + y_m)^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} + C\|v_m\|. \tag{2.13}$$

If y_m is bounded, from (2.13), we have that (v_m) is bounded in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ and, consequently, (u_m) is bounded in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$. Now let us assume $y_m \rightarrow +\infty$. Using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\|^2 &\leq C \left[\frac{(\|v_m\| + y_m)^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}}}{y_m} \right]^2 + \frac{C}{y_m} \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\| \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\|^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} \right]^2 + \frac{C}{y_m} \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\|^2 \leq C \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\|^{\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*}} + C \left\| \frac{v_m}{y_m} \right\| + C,$$

which implies the sequence $\{\frac{v_m}{y_m}\}$ being bounded because $\frac{2(2_\alpha^* - 1)}{2_\alpha^*} < 1$, and, by (2.14), $\|\frac{v_m}{y_m}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, possibly up to a subsequence, $v_m/y_m \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in B_1 and strongly in $L^q(B_1, |x|^\alpha)$, $1 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u_m), \frac{w_m}{y_m} \rangle &= \frac{1}{y_m^2} \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla w_m|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{B_1} |x|^\mu w_m^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^* - 1} \frac{w_m}{y_m} dx = o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and since $w_m \in E_{\lambda_k^*}$, we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_m), \frac{w_m}{y_m} \rangle = - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^* - 1} \frac{w_m}{y_m} dx = o(1). \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha \frac{u_m}{y_m} |2_\alpha^* - 2 \frac{u_m}{y_m} w_m dx = \frac{1}{y_m^{2_\alpha^* - 1}} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^* - 2} u_m \frac{w_m}{y_m} dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.17)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note, since $u_m = v_m + w_m$, we have that $\frac{u_m}{y_m} \rightarrow w_0$ in $L^q(B_1, |x|^\alpha)$ for all $1 \leq q < 2_\alpha^*$ and a.e. in B_1 with $w_0 \in E_{\lambda_k^*} \setminus \{0\}$. So, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem and using (2.17), it follows that

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha \frac{u_m}{y_m} |2_\alpha^* - 2 \frac{u_m}{y_m} \frac{w_m}{y_m} dx \rightarrow \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |w_0|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

which is a contradiction. So y_m is bounded and, consequently, (u_m) is also bounded in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$. \square

We need to show that the minimax levels are below a suitable constant. For this purpose, we need an estimate that allows us to simplify some calculations needed ahead. Initially, we consider a Palais-Smale sequence (u_m) ; thus, by Lemma 2.2, we may assume that (eventually passing to a subsequence)

$$\begin{aligned} u_m &\rightharpoonup u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1), \\ u_m &\rightarrow u \in L^p(B_1, |x|^\alpha) \quad \text{for any } p \in [1, 2_\alpha^*[, \\ u_m &\rightarrow u \in L^p(B_1, |x|^\mu) \quad \text{for any } p \in [1, 2_\alpha^*[, \text{ if } \mu \geq \alpha, \\ u_m &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } B_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

To check that u is a solution for (1.1), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (u_m) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, with*

$$c < \frac{2 + \alpha}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)},$$

and let $v_m = u_m - u$. Then $v_m \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $\|u_m\|$ is bounded, so from (2.19), u is a weak solution of (1.1). Then, by (2.3) we have

$$\|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

By the Brézis-Lieb Lemma [8], it follows that

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v_m|^{2_\alpha^*} dx + \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx + o(1). \quad (2.21)$$

On the other hand, since $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ is a Hilbert Space, we obtain

$$\|u_m\|^2 = \|v_m\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1). \quad (2.22)$$

By (2.2), (2.21), and (2.22), as $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(B_1, |x|^\mu)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c + o(1) &= J_\lambda(u_m) \\ &= J_\lambda(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_m\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|v_m\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v_m|^{2_\alpha^*} dx + o(1) \\ &= J_\lambda(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_m\|^2 - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v_m|^{2_\alpha^*} dx + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Since $J'_\lambda(u) = 0$ and $\|v_m\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 = o(1)$, we conclude that

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_m), v_m \rangle = \|v_m\|^2 - \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx + o(1).$$

Then

$$\|v_m\|^2 = \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |v_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx + o(1). \tag{2.24}$$

Now, by (2.3) and taking u_m as test function, we note that

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx = \|u_m\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m\|_{2,\mu}^2 + o(1).$$

So, as $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(B_1, |x|^\mu)$ and using (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_m) &= \frac{1}{2}(\|u_m\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m\|_{2,|\mu|}^2) - \frac{1}{2^*_\alpha} \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_m|^{2^*_\alpha} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|u_m\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m\|_{2,|\mu|}^2) - \frac{1}{2^*_\alpha} (\|u_m\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m\|_{2,\mu}^2 + o(1)) \\ &= \frac{2 + \alpha}{2(N + \alpha)} (\|u_m\|^2 - \lambda \|u_m\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{2 + \alpha}{2(N + \alpha)} (\|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + \|v_m\|^2) + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.20), we conclude that

$$\|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \geq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

Thus, by (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$\|v_m\|^2 \leq \frac{2(N + \alpha)}{2 + \alpha} J_\lambda(u_m) + o(1).$$

By (2.2), since $c < \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}$, for m sufficiently large we obtain

$$\|v_m\|^2 \leq c + o(1) < S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{2.27}$$

From (1.6) and (2.24), we obtain

$$\|v_m\|^2 \leq S_\alpha^{-2^*_\alpha/2} \|v_m\|^{2^*_\alpha} + o(1),$$

which implies

$$\|v_m\|^2 (S_\alpha^{2^*_\alpha/2} - \|v_m\|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}) \leq o(1).$$

This and (2.27) imply that $v_m \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1)$. □

3. GEOMETRIC CONDITIONS

Here we prove that J_λ satisfies the geometric condition of Theorem 2.1. Firstly, given $\lambda > 0$, we define $\lambda^+ = \min\{\lambda_j^* : \lambda < \lambda_j^*\}$ and set

$$H_1 = \overline{\oplus [e_j]_{\lambda_j^* \geq \lambda^+}} H^1_{0,\text{rad}}(B_1) \quad H_2 = [e_1, \dots, e_j]_{\lambda_j^* < \lambda^+}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exist $\delta, \rho > 0$ such that, for $u \in H_1$,*

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \delta \quad \text{if } \|u\| = \rho.$$

Proof. Let us take $u \in H_1$, by the variational characterization of λ^+ we obtain that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^+}\right) \|u\|^2 - C \|u\|^{2_\alpha^*} \geq \delta > 0$$

when $\|u\| = \rho$ with $\rho > 0$ small enough. □

4. ESTIMATES OF MINIMAX LEVELS

In this section, we obtain some estimates to show that the minimax levels are below an appropriate constant in order to recover a similar compactness property for the functional J_λ .

First, let $r \in (0, 1)$ and $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq r\}$. We take $\xi_r \in C_0^\infty(B_r, [0, 1])$, a radial cut-off function such that $\xi_r = 1$ in $B_{r/2}$ and $|\nabla \xi_r| \leq 4/r$, and set $u_\epsilon^r(x) = \xi_r(x)u_\epsilon(x)$. In [3, Proof of Theorem 3.3] were obtained the following estimates of Brézis-Nirenberg type [9, Lemma 1.2], which also can be found in [3, 21].

Lemma 4.1. *Let K_1, K_2 and K_3 be positive constants. For fixed $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\mu, \alpha \geq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have*

- (a) $\|u_\epsilon^r\|^2 = S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} + O(\epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)})$;
- (b) $\|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} = S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} + O(\epsilon^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)})$;
- (c)
$$\|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\mu}^2 = \begin{cases} K_1 \epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)} & \text{if } N > 4 + \mu; \\ K_1 \epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)} |\log \epsilon| + O(\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)}) & \text{if } N = 4 + \mu; \\ K_1 \epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)} & \text{if } N < 4 + \mu; \end{cases}$$
- (d) $\|u_\epsilon^r\|_{1, |x|^\mu} \leq K_2 \epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}$;
- (e) $\|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} \leq K_3 \epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}$.

Now we shall prove some main technical lemmas. First of all, we define

$$W(\epsilon, r) = \{u \in H_{0, \text{rad}}^1(B_1); u = u^- + tu_\epsilon^r, u^- \in H_2, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Remark 4.2. Since u_ϵ is solution for (1.8), $u_\epsilon^r \notin [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k]$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $W(\epsilon, r) \neq H_2$.

Lemma 4.3. *If $u \in W(\epsilon, r)$, then for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small*

$$\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} \geq \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} - Ct^{2_\alpha^*} \epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]} \tag{4.1}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Note that from

$$\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} = 2_\alpha^* \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha dx \int_0^u |s|^{2_\alpha^*-2} s ds, \tag{4.2}$$

and the Mean Value Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} - \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} - \|u^-\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} \\ &= 2_\alpha^* \int_0^1 ds \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha [|tu_\epsilon^r + su^-|^{2_\alpha^*-2} (tu_\epsilon^r + su^-) - |su^-|^{2_\alpha^*-2} su^-] u^- dx \\ &= 2_\alpha^* (2_\alpha^* - 1) \int_0^1 ds \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |tu_\epsilon^r + \tau su^-|^{2_\alpha^*-2} tu_\epsilon^r \cdot u^- dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a measurable function such that $0 < \tau(x) < 1$.

Using (4.3) and since $u^- \in H_2$, which is a finite-dimension subspace, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \|u\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} \right| \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 ds \int_{B_1} |x|^{\alpha} (|tu_{\epsilon}^r|^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} |u^-| + |u^-|^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} |tu_{\epsilon}^r|) dx \\ & \leq C \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha-1,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \|u^-\|_{\infty} + \|u^-\|_{\infty,|x|^{\alpha}}^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_1 \\ & \leq C \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha-1,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \|u^-\|_2 + \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where C is positive constant. From (4.4), the Young inequality and the items (d) and (e) of Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \|u\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} \right| \\ & \leq Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \epsilon^{(N-2)/(2(2+\alpha))} \|u^-\|_2 + \frac{N+2+2\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, again by the Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \|u\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|tu_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} - \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} \right| \\ & \leq Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*-1} \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2)}{2(2+\alpha)}} \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + \frac{N+2+2\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N+\alpha)}{(2+\alpha)}} \\ & \leq Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(N+\alpha)}{[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}} + \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*} \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + \frac{N+2+2\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N+\alpha)}{(2+\alpha)}} \\ & = Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(N+\alpha)}{[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}} + \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*} + Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N+\alpha)}{(2+\alpha)}} \\ & \leq Ct^{2_{\alpha}^*} \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2)(N+\alpha)}{[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}} + \|u^-\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.4. *For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|u_{\epsilon}^r\|^2 - \lambda \|u_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2,|x|^{\mu}}^2}{\|u_{\epsilon}^r\|_{2_{\alpha,|x|}^*}^2} \\ & = \begin{cases} S_{\alpha} - C\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)} & \text{if } N > 4 + \mu; \\ S_{\alpha} - C\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)}) & \text{if } N = 4 + \mu; \\ S_{\alpha} + \epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)} (O(1) - \lambda C) & \text{if } N < 4 + \mu. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The statement of the lemma above is obtained from (a)–(c) in Lemma 4.1.

Now we separate our study into three cases: non-resonant case assuming (1.9), and consequently, $N > 4 + \mu$, or $N = 4 + \mu$; resonant case when (1.9) holds; and non-resonant case with $N < 4 + \mu$. This separation occurs because to prove the $(PS)_c$ condition for c below an appropriate constant when $\lambda = \lambda_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we need to have $N > 4 + \mu$. When $N < 4 + \mu$, it is crucial to assume in addition that λ is sufficiently large to prove the $(PS)_c$ condition.

4.1. Non-resonant case with $N \geq 4 + \mu$. Initially, we consider the non-resonant case and we obtain the following results.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume (1.9), for ϵ sufficiently small and positive. If $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\sup_{W(\epsilon,r)} J_\lambda(u) < \frac{(2 + \alpha)}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Note that for fixed $u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ with $u \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\sup_t J_\lambda(tu) = \frac{(2 + \alpha)}{2(N + \alpha)} \left(\frac{\|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2}{\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2} \right)^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.7}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{J_\lambda(u) : u \in W(\epsilon) \setminus \{0\}\} \\ &= \sup\left\{J_\lambda\left(\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha} \frac{u}{\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}}\right) : u \in W(\epsilon, r) \setminus \{0\}\right\} \\ &\leq \sup\{J_\lambda(tu) : u \in W(\epsilon, r) \setminus \{0\} \text{ with } \|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha} = 1 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \end{aligned}$$

to show that (4.6) is true, we need to estimate

$$\sup_{u \in W(\epsilon,r), \|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha} = 1} \{ \|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \}. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $u = u^- + tu_\epsilon^r \in W(\epsilon, r)$ with $\|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha} = 1$. By (4.1) and item (b) of Lemma 4.1, for ϵ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \|u\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} \\ &\geq \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} - Ct^{2_\alpha^*} \epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)} \\ &= t^{2_\alpha^*} \left(S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} + O(\epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}) \right) - Ct^{2_\alpha^*} \epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)} \\ &= t^{2_\alpha^*} \left(S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} + O(\epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can conclude that t is bounded for small positive ϵ . From item (e) in Lemma 4.1, the variational characterization of λ_j^* and Green’s Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq \|tu_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + \|u^-\|^2 - \lambda \|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{B_1} \{ |tu_\epsilon^r| |\Delta u^-| + \lambda |x|^\mu |u^-| |tu_\epsilon^r| \} dx \\ &\leq \|tu_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + \|u^-\|^2 - \lambda \|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + C \{ \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_1 \|\Delta u^-\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \lambda \|u^-\|_\infty \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{1,|x|^\mu} \} \\ &\leq \|tu_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + \|u^-\|^2 - \lambda \|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + C \|u^-\|_2 \epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]} \\ &\leq \frac{\|tu_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2}{\|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2} \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2 + (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda) \|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu} \epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = \max\{\lambda_j^* : \lambda_j^* < \lambda\}$.

Now we define $A(u^-, \epsilon, c) = (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)\|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 + C\|u^-\|_{2,|x|^\mu} \epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}$. Notice that

$$A(u^-, \epsilon, c) \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad A(u^-, \epsilon, c) \leq \frac{c^2}{\lambda - \bar{\lambda}} \epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand by (4.1) and the boundedness of t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|tu_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2 &\leq \left(1 + C\epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}\right)^{2/2_\alpha^*} \\ &\leq 1 + C\epsilon^{(N-2)(N+\alpha)/[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From (1.9), we obtain $N > 4 + \mu$, then using (4.5), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|^2 - \lambda\|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq \left(S_\alpha - C\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(1 + C\epsilon^{[(N-2)(N+\alpha)/[(N+2\alpha+2)(2+\alpha)]}\right) + A(u^-, \epsilon, c). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (1.9), we also conclude that

$$\frac{(N - 2)(N + \alpha)}{(N + 2\alpha + 2)(2 + \alpha)} > \frac{2 + \mu}{2 + \alpha}.$$

Thus, $\|u\|^2 - \lambda\|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 < S_\alpha$ for ϵ positive and small enough. □

Lemma 4.6. *For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $N = 4 + \mu$, if $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\sup_{W(\epsilon,r)} J_\lambda(u) < \frac{(2 + \alpha)}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. When $N = 4 + \mu$, as for (4.12), from (4.5), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 - \lambda\|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 &\leq \left(S_\alpha - C\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)}|\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)})\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + C\epsilon^{[(2+\mu+\alpha)(4+\mu+\alpha)/[(6+\mu+2\alpha)(2+\alpha)]}\right) + A(u^-, \epsilon, c). \end{aligned}$$

Because of the behavior of $|\log(\epsilon)|$ near zero, for ϵ small enough we conclude the result. □

4.2. Resonant case with $N > 4 + \mu$. Now we consider, $\lambda = \lambda_j^*$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We will find estimates which will help us in obtaining a result similar to Lemma 4.5 for the resonant case when (1.9) is satisfied.

First, we denote by P_j the projector on the eigenspace corresponding to λ_j^* and set

$$\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r = u_\epsilon^r - P_j u_\epsilon^r. \tag{4.14}$$

Thus, by item (d) in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\|P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 = \sum_k \left(\int_{B_1} |x|^\mu e_k u_\epsilon^r dx \right)^2 \leq C\|u_\epsilon^r\|_{1,|x|^\mu}^2 \leq C\epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Consequently, as $P_j u_\epsilon^r$ is in a finite dimensional space, we obtain

$$\|P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{\infty,|x|^\mu} \leq C\epsilon^{(N-2)/2[(2+\alpha)]}. \tag{4.16}$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2 - \|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*,|x|^\alpha}^2 \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2_\alpha^* \left| \int_0^1 ds \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha |u_\epsilon^r - sP_j u_\epsilon^r|^{2_\alpha^*-2} (u_\epsilon^r - sP_j u_\epsilon^r) P_j u_\epsilon^r dx \right| \\
 &\leq 2_\alpha^* \cdot 2^{2_\alpha^*-1} \int_0^1 ds \int_{B_1} |x|^\alpha \{ |u_\epsilon^r|^{2_\alpha^*-1} + s^{2_\alpha^*-1} |P_j u_\epsilon^r|^{2_\alpha^*-1} \} |P_j u_\epsilon^r| dx \\
 &\leq C \{ \|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} \|P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{\infty, |x|^\mu} + \|P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then from item (e) in Lemma 4.1, (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\left| \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} - \|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*} \right| \leq C\epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.17}$$

By item (e) in Lemma 4.1 and (4.16), we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} &= \|u_\epsilon^r - P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} \\
 &\leq C \{ \|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} + \|P_j u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*-1, |x|^\alpha}^{2_\alpha^*-1} \} \\
 &\leq C\epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

As for (4.18), using item (d) in Lemma 4.1 and (4.16), we obtain

$$\|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{1, |x|^\mu} \leq C\epsilon^{(N-2)/[2(2+\alpha)]}. \tag{4.19}$$

Based on these estimates, we can conclude the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *For ϵ sufficiently small and positive, we have*

$$\frac{\|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\mu}^2}{\|\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\alpha}^2} = S_\alpha - C\epsilon^{(2+\mu)/(2+\alpha)} \quad \text{if } N > 4 + \mu. \tag{4.20}$$

The proof of the above lemma follows from (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), and arguments similar to those in Lemma 4.4. Now, we define

$$\widetilde{W}(\epsilon) = \{u \in H_{0, \text{rad}}^1(B_1) : u = u^- + t\tilde{u}_\epsilon^r, u^- \in H_2, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Arguments analogous to those used in the Lemma 4.5, guarantee the following result.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose (1.9) and $\lambda = \lambda_j^*$, for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for ϵ positive and sufficiently small,*

$$\sup_{\widetilde{W}(\epsilon)} J_\lambda(u) < \frac{(2 + \alpha)}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.21}$$

4.3. Non resonant case with $N < 4 + \mu$. In this case, to conclude a similar result to Lemma 4.5, we need another condition on λ . More precisely, we should have λ sufficiently large to guarantee that the minimax levels are below a suitable constant.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $N < 4 + \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$, for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and λ large enough,*

$$\sup_{W(\epsilon, r)} J_\lambda(u) < \frac{(2 + \alpha)}{2(N + \alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}. \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. As in Lemma 4.5, we need to show that

$$\|u_\epsilon^r\|^2 - \lambda \|u_\epsilon^r\|_{2_\alpha^*, |x|^\mu}^2 < S_\alpha, \tag{4.23}$$

when $\lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, following the same steps as in Lemma 4.5, and using (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2,|x|^\mu}^2 &\leq \left(S_\alpha + \epsilon^{(N-2)/(2+\alpha)}(O(1) - \lambda C) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + C\epsilon^{[(N-2)(N+\alpha)]/[(2+\alpha)(N+2\alpha+2)]} \right) + A(u^-, \epsilon, C). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for ϵ positive and small enough, and λ sufficiently large, we obtain (4.23). □

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

It is clear that $J_\lambda \in C^1(H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1), \mathbb{R})$ and complies with condition (f_1) of Theorem 2.1. Then Lemma 2.3 ensures that (2) in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied with $\beta = \frac{(2+\alpha)}{2(N+\alpha)} S_\alpha^{(N+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}$.

If $0 < \lambda \neq \lambda_j^*$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $V = H_1$ and $W = W(\epsilon, r)$ with ϵ small enough to satisfy Lemma 4.5 for $N > 4 + \mu$, when (1.9) is satisfied, or Lemma 4.6 for $N = 4 + \mu$. Then (3)(iii) in Theorem 2.1 holds in both cases. Thus, (3)(i) and (3)(ii) are satisfied by Lemmas 3.1, 4.5 and 4.6, respectively. Since $\dim(V \cap W) = 1$ and $V + W = H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, from Theorem 2.1, it follows that (1.1) has at least one non trivial solution.

If $0 < \lambda = \lambda_j^*$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N > 4 + \mu$, when (1.9) is true, we conclude this result repeating the above arguments using $W = \widetilde{W}(\epsilon)$ and the Lemma 4.8 and 3.1.

For $N < 4 + \mu$, following the same steps as in the two previous cases, Lemmas 4.9 and 3.1 with $H_1 = H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$, we obtain the conclusion by applying Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz Mountain Pass Theorem [39]. Recall that there is a function $e \in H_1$ such that $J_\lambda(e) \leq 0$. By standard arguments and the maximum principle, we can show the solution is positive. This completes the proof.

Remark 5.1. We know that

$$J'_\lambda(v)w = 0, \quad \forall w \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1), \tag{5.1}$$

and v is a critical point of the functional J_λ restricted to the space $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$. Now, we follow the ideas of [6, 23, 33]. Since $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ is a closed subspace of $H_0^1(B_1)$, we can write

$$H_0^1(B_1) = H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1) \oplus H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)^\perp,$$

where $^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of the space. Therefore, for each $w \in H_0^1(B_1)$, there exist $\vartheta \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ and $\vartheta^\perp \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)^\perp$ such that

$$w = \vartheta + \vartheta^\perp. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ is a Hilbert space and $J'_\lambda(v) \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)^*$, from the Riesz Representation Theorem there exists $z \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ such that

$$J'_\lambda(v)w = \int_{B_1} \nabla z \cdot \nabla w \, dx, \quad \text{for all } w \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1).$$

Thus, $J'_\lambda(v) \approx z$, as $z \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)$ and $\vartheta^\perp \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_1)^\perp$, we have

$$J'_\lambda(v)\vartheta^\perp = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

From (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), for each $w \in H_0^1(B_1)$, we obtain

$$J'_\lambda(v)w = J'_\lambda(v)\vartheta + J'_\lambda(v)\vartheta^\perp = 0.$$

This implies that v is a critical point of the functional J_λ in $H_0^1(B_1)$ and consequently v is a weak solution for problem (1.1).

Acknowledgments. O.H. Miyagaki was supported by grant 2019/24901-3 from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), and by grant 307061/2018-3 from the CNPq/Brazil This article was written while C. R. Santana was on Postdoctoral stage in the Department of Mathematics of the Federal University of Juiz de Fora, whose hospitality she gratefully acknowledges. She would also like to express her gratitude to Professor Olimpio H. Miyagaki. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions, which improved this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. O. Alves, P. C. Carrião, O. H. Miyagaki; *Nontrivial solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic problems involving critical exponents*, Progress Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, **54**(2003), 225–238.
- [2] M. Badiale, E. Serra; *Multiplicity results for the supercritical Hénon equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Studies. **4** (2004), 453–467.
- [3] S. Bae, H. O. Choi, D. H. Park; *Existence of nodal solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. **137A** (2007), 1135–1155.
- [4] E. Barboza, J. do Ó, B. Ribeiro; *Hénon type equations with one-sided exponential growth*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, **49** (2017), 783–816.
- [5] P. Bartolo, V. Benci, D. Fortunato; *Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with strong resonance at infinity*, Nonlinear Analysis, **7** (1983), 981–1012.
- [6] G. Bianchi, J. Chabrowski, A. Szulkin; *On symmetric solutions of an elliptic equation with a nonlinearity involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Analysis, **25**, no. **1** (1995), 41–59.
- [7] H. Brézis; *Analyse Fonctionnelle: Théorie et applications*, Dunod 88, 1999.
- [8] H. Brézis, E. Lieb; *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88** (1983), 486–490.
- [9] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg; *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure App. Math., **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [10] J. Byeon, Z. Wang; *On the Hénon equation: asymptotic profile of ground states I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré- Ann. non linéaire, **23** (2006), 803–828.
- [11] J. Byeon, Z. Wang; *On the Hénon equation: asymptotic profile of ground states II*, J. Differential Equations, **216** (2005), 78–108.
- [12] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg; *First-order interpolation inequality with weights*. Compositio Math., **53** (1984), 259–275.
- [13] D. M. Cao, S. G. Peng; *The asymptotic behaviour of the ground state solutions for Hénon equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **278**(1) (2003), 1–17.
- [14] D. M. Cao, S. G. Peng, S. Yan; *Asymptotic behaviour of ground state solutions for the Hénon equation*. IMA J. Appl. Math., **74**(3) (2009), 468–480.
- [15] A. Capozzi, D. Fortunato, G. Palmieri; *An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Ann. non linéaire, **2** (1985), 463–470.
- [16] P. Carrião, D. Figueiredo, O. Miyagaki; *Quasilinear elliptic equations of the Hénon-type: existence of non-radial solution*, Comm. Contemp. Math., **11** (2009), 783–798.
- [17] G. Cerami, D. Fortunato, M. Struwe; *Bifurcation and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré - Ann., non linéaire, **1** (1984), 341–350.
- [18] Z. Chen, N. Shioji, W. Zou; *Ground state and multiple solutions for a critical exponent problem*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **19** (2012)(3), 253–277.
- [19] K. S. Chou, C. W. Chu; *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev–Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc., **48** (1993), 137–151.

- [20] M. Clapp, T. Weth; *Multiple solutions for the Brézis-Nirenberg problem*, Adv. Differential Equations, **10** (4)(2005), 463–480.
- [21] P. Clément, D. G. de Figueiredo, E. Mitidieri; *Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents*, Top. Meth. Nonlinear Analysis, **7** (1966), 133–170.
- [22] D. de Figueiredo; *Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 957–Differential Equations, Edited by D. G. de Figueiredo and C. S. Honig. Proc. Latin American School of Differential Equations held in USP- São Paulo (1981), Springer, New York, 1982.
- [23] Y. B. Deng, H. S. Zhong, X. P. Zhu; *On the existence and $L^p(R^N)$ bifurcation for the semilinear elliptic equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **154** (1991), 116–133.
- [24] G. Devillanova, S. Solimini; *Concentration estimates and multiple solutions to elliptic problems at critical growth*, Adv. Differential Equations, **7** (2002), 1257–1280.
- [25] G. Devillanova, S. Solimini; *A multiplicity result for elliptic equations at critical growth in low dimension*, Comm. Contemp. Math., **5**(2) (2003) 5, No. 2 (2003) 171–177.
- [26] F. Gazzola, B. Ruf; *Lower order perturbations of critical growth nonlinearities in semilinear elliptic equations*, Adv. Differential Equations, **4** (1997), 555–572.
- [27] F. Gladiali, M. Grossi; *Linear perturbations for the critical Hénon problem*, Diff. Int. Eq., **28(7-8)** (2015), 733–752.
- [28] M. Hénon; *Numerical experiments on the stability of spherical stellar systems*, Astronomy and Astrophysics, **24** (1973), 229–238.
- [29] N. Hirano; *Existence of positive solutions for the Hénon equation involving critical Sobolev terms*, J. Differential Equations, **247** (2009), 1311–1333.
- [30] S. Li, S. Peng; *Asymptotic behavior on the Hénon equation with supercritical exponent*, Sci. China Ser. A., **52** (2009), 2185–2194.
- [31] W. Long, J. Yang; *Existence for critical Hénon-type equations*, Diff. Int. Eq. **25** (2012), 567–578.
- [32] W. Ni; *A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications*, Ind. Univ. Math. J., **31** (1982), 801–807.
- [33] R. S. Palais; *The Principle of Symmetric Criticality*, Commun. Math. Phys., **69** (1979), 19–30.
- [34] S. Peng; *Multiple boundary concentrating solutions to Dirichlet problem of Hénon equation*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., **22** (2006), 137–162.
- [35] S. Secchi; *The Brézis-Nirenberg problem for the Hénon equation: ground state solutions*, Adv. Non. Studies, **12** (2012), 1–15.
- [36] E. Serra; *Non radial positive solutions for the Hénon equation with critical growth*, Calc. Var. and PDEs., **23** (2005), 301–326.
- [37] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci; *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst., **33** (2013), 2015–2137.
- [38] D. Smets, J. Su, M. Willem; *Non radial ground states for the Hénon equation*, Comm. Contemp. Math., **4** (2002), 467–480.
- [39] M. Willem; *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24, Birkhäuser 1996.

EUDES M. BARBOZA

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RURAL DE PERNAMBUCO, 50740-560, RECIFE - PE, BRASIL

Email address: eudes.barboza@ufrpe.br

OLIMPIO H. MIYAGAKI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905, SÃO CARLOS - SP, BRAZIL

Email address: olimpio@ufscar.br, ohmiyagaki@gmail.com

FÁBIO R. PEREIRA

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE JUIZ DE FORA, 36036-330 - JUIZ DE FORA - MG, BRAZIL

Email address: fabio.pereira@ufjf.edu.br

CLÁUDIA R. SANTANA

DEPARTAMENTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E TECNOLÓGICAS, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE SANTA CRUZ,
45662-900 ILHÉUS - BA, BRAZIL

Email address: santana@uesc.br