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Abstract. This article deals with half-linear dynamic equations that have
two types of derivatives, and obtains sufficient conditions for all solutions to
be non-oscillatory. The obtained results extend a previous Hille-Nehari type
theorems for problems of dynamic equations. To prove our main result, we
use a generalized Riccati inequality. As an application, we apply the main
result to self-adjoint Euler type linear differential and difference equations
with a changing sign coefficient. The equation selected for this application is
of Mathieu type.

1. Introduction

Equations of continuous type are often used for modeling natural science phe-
nomena in physics and chemistry. While discrete models are used for approximating
the continuous models. However, since the beginning of this century, with the de-
velopment of basic theories of difference equations, many phenomena have been
modeled directly with discrete type models and excellent reports have been ob-
tained. On the other hand, the idea of a theory that can unify continuous type
models (differential equations) and discrete type model (difference equations) was
initiated by Stefan Hilger [12], and it is known as the theory of time scales [6, 7].
Here, a time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) is defined as a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers.
In time scales, operators such as \( \sigma, \rho, \mu, \) and \( \nu \) are often used, and are defined in
Section 5.

This article concerns the non-oscillation of solutions to the half-linear dynamic
equation with mixed derivatives

\[
(r(t)\Phi_p(x^\Delta))^{\nabla} + c(t)\Phi_p(x) = 0, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}},
\]

where \( t_0 \in \mathbb{T}; \) the function \( r: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and \( r(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{T}; \) the
function \( c: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) is real and left-dense continuous; \( p \) is a parameter greater than
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1: \( \Phi_p \) is the so-called one dimensional \( p \)-Laplacian defined for \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
\Phi_p(s) = \begin{cases} 
|s|^{p-2}s & s \neq 0 \\
0 & s = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

For simplicity, let \( q \) be the conjugate number of \( p \); namely, \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \). Then, \( \Phi_q \) is the inverse function of \( \Phi_p \). Here, the term mixed derivatives indicates the use of \( \Delta \)-derivative and \( \nabla \)-derivative (see Section 5 for details). Note that if \( T = \mathbb{R} \), then (1.1) becomes a half-linear differential equation (see [9]). If \( T = \mathbb{Z} \), then (1.1) becomes a half-linear difference equation. Some results from half-linear differential equations have been generalized to elliptic partial differential equations, see for example [11].

When \( p = 2 \), equation (1.1) becomes the Sturm-Liouville linear dynamic equation

\[
(r(t)x^\Delta)^\nabla + c(t)x = 0.
\]

(1.2)

Many papers have been devoted to finding conditions which guarantee that all non-trivial solutions of (1.2) (and more general nonlinear equations) are oscillatory, and non-oscillatory. See for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 10] and the references cited therein. In particular, the definitions of oscillatory and non-oscillatory for dynamic equations with mixed derivatives are given by Messer [16].

**Definition 1.1.** A non-trivial solution \( x \) of (1.1) is said to have a generalized zero at \( t \) if \( x(t) = 0 \), or if \( t \) is left-scattered and \( x(\rho(t))x(t) < 0 \). Here \( \rho(t) = \sup \{ s \in T : s < t \} \) which is the backward jump operator.

**Definition 1.2.** Let \( t^* = \sup T \) and \( a \in T \). When \( t^* < \infty \), assume \( \rho(t^*) = t^* \). A non-trivial solution \( x \) of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory on \([a, t^*) \) if every non-trivial solution has infinitely many generalized zeros in \([a, t^*) \). Otherwise, it is said to be non-oscillatory on \([a, t^*) \).

Looking back on the history, the use of mix derivatives as in (1.2) was considered by Messer [16] for oscillation problems (see also [7, Chap. IV]). In extension, Došlý and Marek [8] studied the half-linear dynamic equation (1.1) and its oscillatory properties (for example, Sturm’s comparison theorem and Hille-Nehari type oscillation). In this article, we prove the following the Hille-Nehari type non-oscillation theorem of the type studied by Došlý and Marek [8, Theorem 4.5].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let

\[
A_p(\rho(t)) = \left( \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^{\infty} c(s) \nabla s \right).
\]

Assume that \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(\rho(t)))^{1-q} \nabla t = \infty \), \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(t) \nabla t < \infty \), and

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\nu(t)(r(\rho(t)))^{1-q}}{\int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \nabla s} = 0.
\]

(1.3)

If there exists \( A_p(\rho) \) such that

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -2p - 1 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^{p-1}
\]

and

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) < \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^{p-1},
\]

then all non-trivial solutions of (1.1) are non-oscillatory.
In Theorem 1.3, Došlý and Marek [8, Theorem 4.2] established the Hille-Nehari type nonoscillation criterion by considering the lower limit condition
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -\frac{2p - 1}{p} \left( \frac{p - 1}{p} \right)^{p-1}
\]
and some other conditions. For the case \(p = 2\), the lower limit condition is
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} r(\rho(s)) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} c(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} > -\frac{3}{4}.
\]

The purpose of this article is to extended result of Theorem 1.3, by finding conditions on the lower limit value. The new Hille-Nehari type nonoscillation criterion for (1.1) is as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that
\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \mu t = \infty, \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(t) \mu t < \infty, \text{ and (1.3) holds. Let } h : T \to \mathbb{R} \text{ be a positive function such that } h(\rho) \text{ such that}
\]
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -(h(\rho(t)))^{1/q} - h(\rho(t)), \quad \text{ and (1.4)}
\]
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) < (h(\rho(t)))^{1/q} - h(\rho(t)), \quad \text{ (1.5)}
\]
then all non-trivial solutions of (1.1) are non-oscillatory, where \(A_p(\rho)\) is the function given by Theorem 1.3.

In Section 2 we shall show that Theorem 1.4 includes Theorem 1.3. Note that both Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 assume the integral condition
\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \mu t = \infty.
\]
Therefore, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 cannot be applied when
\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \mu t < \infty. \quad (1.6)
\]

Under this condition, the Hille-Nehari type non-oscillation theorem of (1.1) is not given. Under assumption (1.6), this article shows a non-oscillation condition corresponding to Theorem 1.4. A new Hille-Nehari type non-oscillation criterion for (1.1) reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let
\[
B_p(\rho(t)) = \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^{\infty} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^{\infty} c(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]
Assume (1.6) holds, and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\nu(t)(r(\rho(t)))^{1-q}}{\int_{\rho(t)}^{\infty} (r(\rho(s)))^{1-q} \mu s} = 0. \quad (1.7)
\]
Let \(h : T \to \mathbb{R} \) be a positive function such that \(h(\rho(t)) \geq 0 \) for large \(t\). If there exists \(h(\rho)\) such that
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} B_p(\rho(t)) > -(h(\rho(t)))^{1/q} - h(\rho(t)), \quad \text{ and (1.8)}
\]
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} B_p(\rho(t)) < (h(\rho(t)))^{1/q} - h(\rho(t)), \quad \text{ (1.9)}
\]
then all non-trivial solutions of \((1.1)\) are non-oscillatory.

In Theorem 1.5 we investigated the same bound with distinct conditions. Under these conditions, all non-trivial solutions of \((1.1)\) are also non-oscillatory. However, since it is not the same conditions as in Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 can be considered as new results. Moreover, the main results extend Moore’s results \([17]\) (see Section 2). In Section 4, as an application of Theorem 1.5, we give a non-oscillation theorem for the linear differential and difference equation with a changing sign coefficient. For these equations we cannot use Theorem 1.4 directly. By using Theorem 1.5, we show that the linear differential and the difference equations have similar non-oscillation results.

2. Remarks about Theorems 1.4 and 1.5

Let us compare Theorem 1.4 with Theorem 1.3. In the case that 
\[
(h(\rho))(t) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1},
\]
and the lower limit value of 
\[
-(h(\rho(t))^{1/q} - h(\rho(t)) = -\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{p}\right) = -\frac{2p-1}{p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{p-1}.
\]
Hence, the condition of Theorem 1.4 becomes the one of Theorem 1.3. For the case 
\[p = 2\], from Theorem 1.3, we have
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -\frac{3}{4} = -0.75 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) < \frac{1}{4} = 0.25.
\]
In the case \[p = 2\], from Theorem 1.4 (1.4) and (1.3), we assume that there exists 
\[h(\rho) \equiv k\] (positive constant) such that 
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -\sqrt{k} - k \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) < \sqrt{k} - k \leq \frac{1}{4}.
\]
Notice that the parameter \(k\) gives us opportunity to obtain the desired values. If 
\[k = 1/4\], then we have the same result as the one from Došlý and Marek. If we set 
\[k = 1/3\], then 
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) > -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{3} \approx -0.91068 \ldots,
\]
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} A_p(\rho(t)) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{3} \approx 0.24401 \ldots.
\]
Thus we decreased the the lower limit from \(-0.75\) to \(-0.91068 \ldots\). Therefore, we can conclude that by setting the parameter \(k\), Theorem 1.4 can extend the lower limit. Under these conditions, all non-trivial solutions of \((1.1)\) are also non-oscillatory. However, since it is not the same conditions with Theorem 1.3 Theorem 1.4 can be considered as a new result.

Let \(T = \mathbb{R}\) and \(p = 2\). Then \((1.1)\) becomes the linear differential equation
\[
(r(t)x')' + c(t)x = 0.
\] (2.1)
Decreasing the lower limit \(-3/4\) for the Hille-Nehari type non-oscillation result has been actively studied by Moore \([17]\), Wray \([22]\) and Wu and Sugie \([23]\). In fact, Moore \([17]\) gave the following two theorems.
Lemma 3.1. The readers can find more preliminaries that support the proof in [8].

Equation (1.1) for large differentiable function. Then we have
\[ w(t) = c(t) + (p - 1) \frac{|w(t)|q}{q} \frac{r(t)}{r(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{r(t)}{r(t)} \right) \]
where \( w(t) \) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exists a \( w(t) \) satisfying (3.1) such that \( \mathcal{R}[w] \leq 0 \), where
\[ \mathcal{R}[w] := \begin{cases} w(t) + c(t) + (p - 1) \frac{|w(t)|q}{q} \frac{r(t)}{r(t)} & \text{if } \rho(t) = t, \\ w(t) + c(t) + \frac{w(t)}{r(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{r(t)}{r(t)} \right) & \text{if } \rho(t) < t \end{cases} \]
for large \( t \).

Remark 3.3. Let \( x \) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Then, in \( \mathcal{R}[w] \), we see that
\[ \Phi_q(r(t)) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(w(t)) > 0 \] (3.1) for large \( t \). In other words, we need only one function \( w \) and establish \( \mathcal{R}[w] \leq 0 \) for each case (left scattered case, and left dense case) to prove our main theorems.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(t))^{-1} dt = \infty \) and \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(t) dt < \infty \). If there exists a constant \( k > 0 \) such that
\[ \left( 1 + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} ds \right) \left( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(s) ds \right) \leq \sqrt{k} - k, \]
then all nontrivial solutions of (2.1) are non-oscillatory.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (r(t))^{-1} dt < \infty \). If there exists a constant \( k > 0 \) such that
\[ \left( 1 + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} ds \right) \left( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} c(s) ds \right) \leq \sqrt{k} - k, \]
then all nontrivial solutions of (2.1) are non-oscillatory.

3. Proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5

First we show some preliminary results that are used for proving the main results. The readers can find more preliminaries that support the proof in [8].

Lemma 3.1. Let \( f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a differentiable function and let \( g: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) be \( \nabla \)-differentiable function. Then we have
\[ [f(g(t))]^\nabla = f'(\xi) g^\nabla(t), \]
where \( g(\rho(t)) \leq \xi(t) \leq g(t) \).

Lemma 3.2. Equation (1.1) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exists a \( \nabla \)-differentiable function \( w \) and establish (3.1).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. For simplicity, let
\[ \hat{r}(t) := r(\rho(t)), \quad \hat{w}(t) := w(\rho(t)), \]
\[ A_p(t) := \left( \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_t^\infty c(s) \nabla s \right). \]
Also, put
\[ w(t) = h(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} + \int_t^\infty c(s) \nabla s. \]
Using Lemma 3.1, we can calculate
\[ \left[ \left( \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} \right] = (1-p)(\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} (\theta(t))^{-p}, \]
where
\[ \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \leq \theta(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s. \]
Also, using the Lagrange mean value, we have
\[ \frac{\hat{w}(t)}{\nu(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{r}(t)}{\nu(t)} (\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t))) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{\hat{w}(t)}{\nu(t)} \left( \Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t))) - \Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) \right) \]
\[ = (p-1) \frac{|\eta(t)|^{p-2} |\hat{w}(t)|^q}{\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t))}, \]
where
\[ \Phi_q(\hat{r}(t)) \leq \eta(t) \leq \Phi_q(\hat{r}(t)) + \nu \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t)). \]
From (1.4) and (1.5), there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[ |A_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q (1 + \varepsilon) < h(\rho(t)). \]
We also need to calculate
\[ |\hat{w}(t)|^q = \left( \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-p} |A_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q. \]
Next we consider two cases: \( t > \rho(t) \) and \( t = \rho(t) \).

**Case (i):** \( t > \rho(t) \). Since \( h(t) \leq 0 \) for large \( t \), we have
\[ R[w] = w^\nabla(t) + c(t) + \frac{\hat{w}(t)}{\nu(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{r}(t)}{\nu(t)} (\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t))) \right) \]
\[ = -(p-1)h(\rho(t))^{(\theta(t))^{-p}}(\hat{r}(t))^{1-q} + h(\nabla) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} - c(t) \]
\[ + c(t) + (p-1) |\eta(t)|^{p-2} |\hat{w}(t)|^q \frac{|\eta(t)|^{p-2} |\hat{w}(t)|^q}{\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\hat{r}(t))) + \nu(t) \Phi_q(\hat{w}(t))} \]
\[ \leq (p-1)(\hat{r}(t))^{1-q} \left[ - h(\rho(t)) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-p} \right. \]
\[ + \left. \left( \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} (\hat{r}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-p} |\eta(t)|^{p-2} (\hat{r}(t))^{q-1} \right] A_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t)) \]

**Case (ii):** \( t = \rho(t) \). Since \( h(t) \leq 0 \) for large \( t \), we have...
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Case (ii): $t = \rho(t)$. In this case $\hat{r} = r$ and $\hat{w} = w$. Hence, the Riccati-type expression is

$$R[w] = w \nabla(t) + c(t) + (p - 1) \frac{|w(t)|^q}{\Phi_q(r(t))}$$

$$= -(p - 1)h(\rho(t)) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t}(r(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (r(t))^{1-q}$$

$$+ h(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t}(r(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - c(t) + c(t)$$

$$+ (p - 1) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t}(r(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} |A_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q$$

$$= (p - 1) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t}(r(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (r(t))^{1-q} [-h(\rho(t)) + |A_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q] < 0$$

for large $t$. From Lemma 3.2, we can complete the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. From (1.6), we define
\[
w(t) = -h(t) \left( \int_t^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} - \int_{t_0}^t c(s) \nabla s
\]
\[
= - \left( \int_t^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} [B_p(t) + h(t)],
\]
where \( \dot{s}(t) = s(t) \).

By using Lemma 3.1, we need to calculate
\[
\int w = \left( \int_t^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_{t_0}^t c(s) \nabla s \right).
\]
By using Lemma 3.1, we need to calculate
\[
\left[ \left( \int_t^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} \right] = \left[ \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s - \int_{\rho(t)}^t (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} \right] = (p-1)(\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \theta(t)^{-p},
\]
where
\[
\int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \leq \theta(t) \leq \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s
\]
for sufficiently large \( T \). Then, by using the product rule, we obtain
\[
w^\nabla(t) = -h(\rho(t))(p-1)(\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \theta(t)^{-p} - k^\nabla(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} - c(t).
\]
While
\[
|\dot{w}(t)|^q = \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{-p} \nabla s \right)^{-p} [B_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))]^q,
\]
where \( \dot{w}(t) = w(\rho(t)) \). Here, we consider two cases: \( t > \rho(t) \) and \( t = \rho(t) \).

Case (i): \( t > \rho(t) \). Since \( h^\nabla(t) \geq 0 \) for large \( t \), we have
\[
\mathcal{R}[w] = w^\nabla(t) + c(t) + \frac{\dot{w}(t)}{\nu(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{s}(s)}{\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\dot{s}(s)) + \nu(t))} \right)
\]
\[
= -(p-1)h(\rho(t))(\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \theta(t)^{-p} - h^\nabla(t) \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{1-p} - c(t)
\]
\[
+ c(t) + (p-1) \frac{|\eta(t)|^{p-2} |\dot{w}(t)|^q}{\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\dot{s}(s)) + \nu(t))}
\]
\[
\leq (p-1)(\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \left[ -h(\rho(t)) \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-p} + \left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^{-p} Z_2(t) |B_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{(p-1)(\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \left[ -h(\rho(t)) + Z_2(t) |B_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q \right]}{\left( \int_{\rho(t)}^\infty (\dot{s}(s))^{1-q} \nabla s \right)^p},
\]
where
\[
Z_2(t) := \frac{|\eta(t)|^{p-2}(\dot{s}(s))^{q-1}}{\Phi_p(\Phi_q(\dot{s}(s)) + \nu(t))}.
\]
In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.4 by using (1.7) one can show that $Z_2(t) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, from (1.8) and (1.9), we have

$$\mathcal{R}[w] \leq \frac{(p-1)(\dot{r}(t))^{1-q}}{(\int_\rho(t) \dot{r}(s))^{1-q} s^{p}} \left[ -h(\rho(t)) + |B_p(\rho(t)) + h(\rho(t))|^q \right] < 0$$

for large $t$. Thus, the proof of Case (i) is complete.

**Case (ii):** $t = \rho(t)$. We follow the proof of Theorem 1.4 Case (ii) to have

$$\mathcal{R}[w] = w^{\nabla}(t) + c(t) + (p-1) \frac{|w(t)|^q}{\Phi^q(r(t))} < 0$$

for large $t$.

4. **Linear differential and difference equations with a changing sign coefficient**

As a special case of (1.1), we consider the linear dynamic equation with a changing sign coefficient

$$(\sigma(t)\sigma(t)x^{\Delta})^{\nabla} + \left( -\alpha + \beta \cos \left( \log t - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) x = 0, \quad t \in [1, \infty)_T,$$

(4.1)

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real numbers. Since the coefficient is $-\alpha + \beta \cos(\log t - \pi/4)$, equation (4.1) is a non-periodic Mathieu type dynamic equation; see [13, 14, 15, 19, 21] for the Mathieu type differential equations. In the case $T = \mathbb{R}$, equation (4.1) becomes the new self-adjoint Euler type linear differential equation with a changing sign coefficient

$$(t^2 x')' + \left( -\alpha + \beta \cos \left( \log t - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) x = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 = 1.$$  

(4.2)

See [18, 20] for the oscillation of the usual self-adjoint Euler type differential equations.

On the other hand, in the case $T = \mathbb{N}$, equation (4.1) becomes the linear difference equation

$$\Delta(t(t+1)\Delta x(t-1)) + \left( -\alpha + \beta \cos \left( \log t - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) x(t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 = 1.$$  

(4.3)

Note that equation (4.3) for $T = \mathbb{N}$ and $\nabla(r(t)\Delta x(t)) = \Delta(r(t-1)\Delta x(t-1))$, we see that $\nabla((t+1)(t+2)\Delta x(t)) = \Delta(t(t+1)\Delta x(t-1))$.

Note that Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 cannot be applied to equations (4.2) and (4.3).

In this section, we present an example of which all non-trivial solutions of (4.2) and (4.3) are non-oscillatory.

In Theorem 1.5, we assume that $T = \mathbb{R}$ (or $T = \mathbb{N}$), $p = 2$ and $h(\rho(t)) \equiv k$, a positive constant. Then, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.1.** Assume that $\int_{t_0}^\infty \left( r(t) \right)^{-1} \, dt < \infty$. If there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\lim \inf_{t \to \infty} B_2(t) > -\sqrt{k} - k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim \sup_{t \to \infty} B_2(t) < \sqrt{k} - k \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

(4.4)  

(4.5)
then all non-trivial solutions of second-order linear differential equation (2.1) are non-oscillatory, where

$$B_2(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} \, ds \int_{t_0}^t c(s) \, ds.$$ 

**Corollary 4.2.** Assume that

$$\sum_{t=1}^\infty \frac{1}{r(t-1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{r(j-1)} = 0.$$ 

If there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} B_2(t-1) > -\sqrt{k} - k, \quad \text{and} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} B_2(t-1) < \sqrt{k} - k \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (4.7)$$

then all non-trivial solutions of second-order difference equation

$$\Delta (r(t-1)\Delta x(t-1)) + c(t)x(t) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

are non-oscillatory, where

$$B_2(t-1) = \sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{r(j-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c(j).$$

We expand Corollary [4.1](or Corollary 4.2) in order to apply it to the equation (4.2) (or equation (4.3)). This result is obtained as follows.

**Theorem 4.3.** If there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$|\beta| < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} + k - \alpha)}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$|\beta| < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} - k + \alpha)}, \quad (4.10)$$

then all non-trivial solutions of (4.2) and (4.3) are non-oscillatory.

All non-trivial solutions of (4.2) and (4.3) are non-oscillatory if a pair of coordinates $(\alpha, \beta)$ is contained in the grey part and the dark part of Figure 1. The grey part is the region

$$R_1 := \{(\alpha, \beta) : k - \sqrt{k} < \alpha \leq k, \ |\beta| < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} - k + \alpha)}\}.$$ 

On the other hand, the dark part is the region

$$R_2 := \{(\alpha, \beta) : k \leq \alpha < \sqrt{k} + k, \ |\beta| < \sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} + k - \alpha)}\}.$$ 

Thus, the union of areas $R_1$ and $R_2$ represent the when conditions (4.9) or (4.10) are satisfied. As an example, let $k = 1.5$. Then, from numerical calculations

$$k - \sqrt{k} = 1.5 - \sqrt{1.5} \approx 0.275255 \cdots,$$

$$\sqrt{k} + k = \sqrt{1.5} + 1.5 \approx 2.72474 \cdots,$$

$$\sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} - k + \alpha)} = \sqrt{2(\sqrt{1.5} - 1.5)} + \sqrt{2\alpha} \approx -0.38927 \cdots + \sqrt{2\alpha},$$

$$\sqrt{2(\sqrt{k} + k - \alpha)} = \sqrt{2(\sqrt{1.5} + 1.5)} - \sqrt{2\alpha} \approx 3.85337 \cdots + \sqrt{2\alpha},$$

we see that the nonoscillation regions

$$\tilde{R}_1 := \{(\alpha, \beta) : 0.275255 \cdots < \alpha \leq 1.5, \ |\beta| < -0.38927 \cdots + \sqrt{2\alpha}\},$$
\[ \tilde{R}_2 := \{ (\alpha, \beta) : 1.5 \leq \alpha < 2.72474 \cdots, |\beta| < 3.85337 \cdots - \sqrt{2}\alpha \} \]

For example, if \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( \beta = 1 \), then a point \((\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)\) \in \tilde{R}_1 \cup \tilde{R}_2\). In fact, from numerical simulation, we draw a solution curve of (4.2) and (4.3) for \((\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)\) (see, Figures 2 and 3). In Figures 2 and 3, the non-oscillation curve of (4.2) and (4.3) starting at the point \((0, 1)\). The solution curves for (4.2) and (4.3) are very similar. Hence, from numerical simulations, we see that the linear dynamic equation (4.1) unifies linear differential equation (4.2) and linear difference equation (4.3).

\[ x \]

**Figure 2.** A non-oscillatory solution of (4.2) when \((\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)\)

\[ x \]

**Figure 3.** A non-oscillatory solution of (4.3) when \((\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)\)
Finally, by using Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2, we prove Theorem 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. We split the proof into two cases: Linear differential equation (4.2), and Linear difference equation (4.3).

Case (i): Equation (4.2). Let $t_0 = 1$. To show that (4.2) is non-oscillatory, we utilize Corollary 4.1. Comparing equation (4.2) with equation (2.1), we see that
\[ r(t) = t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad c(t) = -\alpha + \beta \cos \left( \log t - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = -\alpha + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} \left( \cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t) \right). \]

Since
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_1^t \frac{1}{r(s)} \, ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_1^t \frac{1}{s^2} \, ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ -\frac{1}{s} \right]_1^t = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( -\frac{1}{t} + 1 \right) = 1, \]
we see that $\int_1^\infty (r(t))^{-1} \, dt < \infty$ is satisfied. In addition, we obtain
\[
B_2(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} \, ds \int_{t_0}^t c(s) \, ds \\
= \left[ \frac{-1}{s} \right]_t^\infty \left[ -\alpha + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} s \sin (\log s) \right]_1^t \\
= \frac{1}{t} \left( -\alpha t + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sin (\log t) + \alpha \right) \\
= -\alpha + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sin (\log t) + \alpha. \]

Hence, from conditions (4.4) and (4.5), we see that conditions (4.9) and (4.10) hold.

Case (ii): Equation (4.3). To show that (4.3) is non-oscillatory, we utilize Corollary 4.2. Comparing equation (4.3) with equation (4.8), we see that
\[ r(t-1) = t(t+1) \quad \text{and} \quad c(t) = -\alpha + \beta \cos \left( \log t - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = -\alpha + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} \left( \cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t) \right). \]

From $r(t-1)$, it is easy to check that
\[
\sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{r(j-1)} = \sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = \sum_{j=t}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+1} \right) = 1 < \infty, \\
\sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{r(t(j-1))} = \sum_{j=t}^\infty \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = \sum_{j=t}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+1} \right) = \frac{1}{t}.
\]

Hence, conditions (4.2) are satisfied. By a straightforward calculation, it follows that
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c(j) = -\alpha (t-1) + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \left[ \cos \left( \log \frac{j}{t} \right) + \sin \left( \log \frac{j}{t} \right) \right].
\]
\[- \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} (\cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t)) \]
\[= - \alpha (t - 1) + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) + \log t \right) + \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) + \log t \right) \right] \]
\[- \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} (\cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t)). \]

By using addition theorem of trigonometric functions, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c(j) = - \alpha (t - 1) + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \cos (\log t) \left\{ \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) + \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right\} \right] \\
+ \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} t \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \sin (\log t) \left\{ \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) - \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right\} \right] \\
- \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} (\cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t)).
\]

Hence, we see that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} B_2(t - 1) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{j=t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(j - 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c(j) \\
= - \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\alpha (t - 1)}{t} \\
+ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} \cos (\log t) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) + \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right] \\
+ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2} \sin (\log t) \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) - \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right] \\
- \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\beta \sqrt{2}}{2t} (\cos (\log t) + \sin (\log t)).
\]

Taking into account that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) + \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right] \\
= \int_{0}^{1} (\sin (\log \delta) + \cos (\log \delta)) d\delta \\
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left[ \delta \sin (\log \delta) \right]_{\varepsilon}^{1} = 0
\]
and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \cos \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) - \sin \left( \log \left( \frac{j}{t} \right) \right) \right] \\
= \int_{0}^{1} (\cos (\log \delta) - \sin (\log \delta)) d\delta \\
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left[ \delta \cos (\log \delta) \right]_{\varepsilon}^{1} = 1,
\]
Hence, from Corollary 4.2, conditions (4.6) and (4.7) hold. □

we can check that
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} B_2(t - 1) = -\alpha - \frac{|\beta|\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} B_2(t - 1) = -\alpha + \frac{|\beta|\sqrt{2}}{2}.
\]
Hence, from Corollary 4.2, conditions (4.6) and (4.7) hold.

5. Basic definitions on a time scales

The $\Delta$-derivative is defined as
\[
x^\Delta(t) := \lim_{s \to t} \frac{x(\sigma(t)) - x(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}
\]
which was introduced by Bohner and Peterson [6]. This is one of the mixed derivatives of (1.1). The $\nabla$-derivative, is defined as
\[
x^\nabla(t) := \lim_{s \to t} \frac{x(\rho(t)) - x(s)}{\rho(t) - s}
\]
which was introduced by Atici and Guseinov [5]. This another mixed derivative of (1.1). Here, $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ is the forward jump operator, $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$ is the backward jump operator. The functions $\mu, \nu : \mathbb{T} \to [0, \infty)$ are called forward graininess and backward graininess respectively, and are defined by
\[
\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(t) = t - \rho(t).
\]
A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be right-dense if $\mu(t) = 0$, and it is said to be right-scattered if $\mu(t) > 0$. Similarly, a point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be left-dense if $\nu(t) = 0$, and it is said to be left-scattered if $\nu(t) > 0$. We will use abbreviations rd, rs, ld and ls respectively. When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have
\[
x^\Delta(t) = x'(t) = x^\nabla(t).
\]
When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have
\[
x^\Delta(t) = \Delta x(t) = x(t + 1) - x(t) \quad \text{and} \quad x^\nabla(t) = \nabla x(t) = x(t) - x(t - 1).
\]
A function $u : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd-continuous if it is right continuous at all rd points and the left limit at ld points exists. If $u$ is rd-continuous, then there exists a $\Delta$-differentiable function $U$ such that $U^\Delta(t) = u(t)$. While a function $v : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be ld-continuous if it is left continuous at all ld points and the right limit at rd points exists. If $v$ is ld-continuous, then there exists a $\nabla$-differentiable function $V$ such that $V^\nabla(t) = v(t)$. The $\Delta$-integral and the $\nabla$-integral are defined by
\[
\int_a^b u(t) \Delta t = U(b) - U(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b v(t) \nabla t = V(b) - V(a)
\]
for $a < b$. In particular, if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then
\[
\int_a^b u(t) \Delta t = \int_a^b u(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b v(t) \nabla t = \int_a^b v(t) dt,
\]
while if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have
\[
\int_a^b u(t) \Delta t = \sum_{t=a}^{b-1} u(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b v(t) \nabla t = \sum_{t=a+1}^{b} v(t).
\]
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