Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2022 (2022), No. 27, pp. 1–15. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu

SECOND ORDER SOBOLEV REGULARITY FOR *p*-HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN SU(3)

CHENGWEI YU

ABSTRACT. Let \boldsymbol{u} be a weak solution to the degenerate subelliptic p-Laplacian equation

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H},p}u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i(|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u|^{p-2}X_iu) = 0$$

where \mathcal{H} is the orthogonal complement of a Cartan subalgebra in SU(3) and its orthonormal basis is composed of the vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_6 . We prove that when 1 , the solution <math>u has the second order horizontal Sobolev $W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}$ -regularity.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the group SU(3), that is, the special unitary group of 3×3 complex matrices endowed with a horizontal vector field $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_6\}$. Let Ω be a domain in SU(3) and 1 . We call a function <math>u as a p-harmonic function in Ω if $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to the degenerate subelliptic p-Laplacian equation

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H},p}u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i(|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u|^{p-2}X_iu) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
(1.1)

that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{p-2} X_i u X_i \phi dx = 0, \quad \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega),$$

where $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u = (X_1 u, X_2 u, \ldots, X_6 u)$ is the horizontal gradient of a function $u \in C^1(\Omega), W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ is the first order *p*-th integrable horizontal local Sobolev space, that is, all functions $u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with its distributional horizontal gradient $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, see Section 2 for more details.

When p = 2, the *p*-harmonic functions in SU(3) are usually called as harmonic functions, and are always smooth as proved by Hörmander [8]. When $p \neq 2$, for *p*-harmonic functions *u* in SU(3) satisfying

$$0 < M^{-1} \le |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|(x) \le M \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35H20, 35B65.

Key words and phrases. p-Laplacian equation; SU(3); $W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},loc}$ -regularity; Hessian matrix;

p-harmonic function.

^{©2022.} This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Submitted December 2, 2021. Published April 6, 2022.

Domokos-Manfredi [4] also proved that $u \in C^{\infty}$. However without assumption (1.2), one can not expect that $u \in C^{\infty}$. Recently, for general *p*-harmonic function in SU(3), Domokos-Manfredi [3] built the $C^{0,1}$ -regularity and, when $2 \leq p < \infty$, the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity.

C. YU

This article aims to establish the following second order Sobolev regularity for *p*-harmonic functions u in SU(3) as below, that is, $u \in W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Here for any function v we say $v \in W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ if $v \in W^{1,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and its second order distributional horizontal derivative $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v = (X_i X_j v)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 6} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. For convenience, for $\phi \in C^{\infty}_0(\Omega)$ we write

$$K_{\phi} = 1 + \|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\phi\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$
(1.3)

Theorem 1.1. Let 1 . If <math>u is a p-harmonic function in a domain $\Omega \subset SU(3)$, then $u \in W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},loc}(\Omega)$. Moreover, when $1 , for any <math>\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^2 dx \le c \int_{spt(\phi)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{2-p} dx + cK_{\phi}^2 \int_{spt(\phi)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{p+2} dx; \quad (1.4)$$

when $2 , for any <math>\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{2} dx \leq c K_{\phi}^{3} \int_{spt(\phi)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{p+2} dx + c K_{\phi} \int_{\Omega} \phi^{4} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{p-2} dx + c \int_{\Omega} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u|^{4-p} dx,$$

$$(1.5)$$

where c = c(p) is a positive constant.

Recall that, for *p*-harmonic functions in Euclidean spaces, their $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity has been established by [18, 17, 7, 9, 16]. Their Sobolev $W^{2,2}$ -regularity with 1 was proved in [12] (see also [6]). In particular, for*p*-harmonic functions $in <math>\mathbb{R}^6$, the range of *p* to get their Sobolev $W^{2,2}_{\text{loc}}$ -regularity is also 1 , but $when <math>\frac{7}{2} \le p < \infty$, it remains open to get their $W^{2,2}_{\text{loc}}$ -regularity; see [6] for more details. Moreover, for *p*-harmonic functions in Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , their $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity has been established in [2, 5, 11, 13, 15, 19, 14]. If 1 when<math>n = 1 and $1 when <math>n \ge 2$, their horizontal Sobolev $HW^{2,2}_{\text{loc}}$ -regularity was established in [5, 10].

To prove Theorem 1.1, it is standard to consider the regularized equation of subelliptic *p*-Laplacian equation as did in [3]. To be precise, let u be a *p*-harmonic function in Ω . Given any smooth domain $U \Subset \Omega$ and $\delta \in (0, 1]$, denote by $u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H}}(U)$ the weak solution to the regularized equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i [(\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} X_i v] = 0 \quad \text{in } U, \ v - u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H},0}(U).$$
(1.6)

As for the existence, uniqueness and C^{∞} -regularity of u^{δ} , we refer the reader to [4, 3] and references therein. It was proved by Domokos-Manfredi [3] (see Theorem 2.3 below) that $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta} \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(U)$ uniformly in $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and also that $u^{\delta} \to u$ in $C^{0}(U)$ as $\delta \to 0$.

To show Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove that $\{u^{\delta}\}_{\delta \in (0,1]}$ have the following $W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ -regularity uniformly in $\delta \in (0,1]$. Indeed, sending $\delta \to 0$, from which one can conclude Theorem 1.1 in a standard way.

Theorem 1.2. Let $1 . If <math>u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(U)$ is the weak solution to (1.6), then $u^{\delta} \in W^{2,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(U)$ uniformly in $\delta \in (0,1]$. Moreover, when 1 , for any $<math>\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx \leq c \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx + cK_{\phi}^{2} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx;$$

$$(1.7)$$

when $2 , for any <math>\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c K_{\phi}^{3} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx + c K_{\phi} \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \qquad (1.8)$$

$$+ c \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{4-p}{2}} dx,$$

where K_{ϕ} is as in (1.3) and the constant c = c(p) > 0.

Below, we outline the idea for proving Theorem 1.2. Our proof is based on several a priori estimates for u^{δ} established in [3]; see Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. We consider two cases: 1 and <math>2 .

When 1 , we conclude (1.7) from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 in a direct way.

In the case $2 , to obtain (1.8) we use some ideas from [6, 10] to decompose the horizontal Hessian matrix and then combine a priori estimates in [3]. We proceed as below. For simplicity we write the subelliptic 2-Laplacian as <math>\Delta_0 v = \Delta_{0,2} v$, and write the symmetrization of horizontal hessian $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v = (X_i X_j v)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 6}$ as

$$D_0^2 v := \left(\frac{X_i X_j v + X_j X_i v}{2}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le 6}.$$

First, the following lemma gives a pointwise estimate of $|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2$, which is inferred from a fundamental inequality in [6, Lemma 2.1]. See Section 4 for details.

Lemma 1.3. Let $1 . If <math>u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}(U)$ is the weak solution to (1.6). Then

$$|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 \le c[|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 - (\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2] \quad in \ U, \tag{1.9}$$

where the constant c = c(p) > 0.

Next, we bound the integral of the right-hand side of (1.9); see Section 3 for details. We denote by $\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v := (X_7 v, X_8 v)$ the vertical derivative of v.

Lemma 1.4. For any $v \in C^{\infty}(U)$ and any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{U} [|D_{0}^{2}v|^{2} - (\Delta_{0}v)^{2}]\phi^{6}dx \right| \\ & \leq c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v|^{2}\phi^{6}dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v||\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}v|\phi^{6}dx \\ & + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v||\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v||\phi|^{5}[|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}\phi| + |\phi|]dx, \end{split}$$
(1.10)

where c is a positive constant.

In regards to the term

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}| dx$$

C. YU

appearing in the right hand side of (1.10), applying some Caccoippoli type inequalities established in [3] (see Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2), we have the following upper bound.

Lemma 1.5. Let $2 . If <math>u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(U)$ is the weak solution to (1.6), then for any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}| dx$$

$$\leq cK_{\phi}^{3} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx + cK_{\phi} \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \qquad (1.11)$$

$$+ \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{4-p}{2}} dx,$$

where K_{ϕ} is as in (1.3) and the constant c = c(p) > 0.

On the other hand, we are going to bound $|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2$ via $|D_0^2 v|^2$ from above. Denote by Mv the difference between $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v$ and $D_0^2 v$, that is

$$Mv := \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v - D_0^2 v = \left(\frac{X_i X_j v - X_j X_i v}{2}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le 6} = \left(\frac{[X_i, X_j] v}{2}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le 6}.$$

Since M is an anti-symmetric matrix $(m_{i,j} = -m_{j,i})$, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 = |D_0^2 v|^2 + |Mv|^2.$$

We bound the integration of $|Mv|^2$ as follows.

Lemma 1.6. For any $v \in C^{\infty}(U)$ and any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$, we have

$$\int_{U} |Mv|^{2} \phi^{6} dx \leq 6 \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v| \phi^{6} dx + 36 \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v| |\phi^{5} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi| dx + \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^{2} \phi^{6} dx.$$
(1.12)

Finally, combining Lemmas 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6, we conclude (1.8) for 2 .

2. Preliminaries

We recall the special unitary group of 3×3 complex matrices

$$\{g \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{C}) : g \cdot g^* = I, \, \det g = 1\}$$

as the group SU(3) and define its Lie algebra by

$$su(3) := \{ X \in gl(3, \mathcal{C}) : X + X^* = 0, tr X = 0 \}.$$

From this, we give the inner product on SU(3) by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(XY).$$

On the other hand, we note that the two-dimensional maximal torus on SU(3) is given by the set

$$\mathbb{T} := \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{ia_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ia_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{ia_3} \end{pmatrix} : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0 \Big\}.$$

Then we choose its Lie algebra as the Cartan subalgebra, that is,

$$\mathcal{T} := \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} ia_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ia_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ia_3 \end{pmatrix} : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0 \Big\}.$$

According to the definition of SU(3), we can obtain its orthonormal basis composed of the following Gell Mann matrices \mathcal{G} :

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ T_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -i/\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that T_1 and T_2 can be generated by the following two vector fields:

$$X_7 = -[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} -2i & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_8 = -[X_3, X_4] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -2i \end{pmatrix},$$

which form an orthonormal basis of the Cartan subalgebra $\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} = \{X_7, X_8\}$. Table 1 provides all the commutators of the vector fields X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_8 .

	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7	X_8
X_1	0	$-X_7$	X_5	$-X_6$	$-X_3$	X_4	$4X_2$	$2X_2$
X_2	X_7	0	X_6	X_5	$-X_4$	$-X_3$	$-4X_1$	$-2X_{1}$
X_3	$-X_5$	$-X_6$	0	$-X_8$	X_1	X_2	$2X_4$	$4X_4$
X_4	X_6	$-X_5$	X_8	0	X_2	$-X_1$	$-2X_{3}$	$-4X_{3}$
X_5	X_3	X_4	$-X_1$	$-X_2$	0	$X_8 - X_7$	$2X_6$	$-2X_{6}$
X_6	$-X_4$	X_3	$-X_2$	X_1	$X_7 - X_8$	0	$-2X_{5}$	$2X_5$
X_7	$-4X_2$	$4X_1$	$-2X_{4}$	$2X_3$	$-2X_{6}$	$2X_5$	0	0
X_8	$-2X_2$	$2X_1$	$-4X_{4}$	$4X_3$	$2X_6$	$-2X_{5}$	0	0

TABLE 1. Commutators in SU(3)

Consider the orthonormal basis of the horizontal subspace \mathcal{H} in SU(3); that is,

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_6\}.$$

Note that the matrices \mathcal{G} are left-invariant vector fields. According to Table 1, the basis $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ satisfies the Hörmander condition at every point of SU(3) and produces the horizontal distribution of a sub-Riemannian manifold.

We say that the curve $\gamma : [0,T] \to \mathrm{SU}(3)$ is subunitary associated to $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ if the following two conditions are met: the curve γ is an absolutely continuous function; there are measurable functions $\{\alpha_i \in L^{\infty}[0,T]\}_{1 \le i \le 6}$ such that

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_i(t) X_i(\gamma(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_i^2(t) \le 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0,T].$$

Since at every point of SU(3) the basis $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ satisfies the Hörmander condition, by [1], for any two given points $x, y \in SU(3)$ there exist subunitary curves γ connecting them. As a result, we define the Carnot-Carathéodory distance in regard to $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$d(x,y) = \inf \{T \ge 0 : \text{there exists a subunitary curve } \gamma : [0,T] \to \mathrm{SU}(3)$$

connecting x and y}.

With respect to this distance d, we define the Carnot-Carathéodory balls centered at $x \in SU(3)$ with radius r > 0 by

$$B_r(x) = \{ y \in SU(3) : d(x, y) < r \}.$$

We denote by dx the bi-invariant Harr-measure, by |E| the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset SU(3)$ and by

$$\int_E f \, dx = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f \, dx$$

the average of an integrable function f over set E.

In the rest of this section, we recall several a priori uniform estimates for regularized equation by Domokos-Manfredi [3]; see [3, Corollary 4.1]. Let u be a p-harmonic function in a domain $\Omega \subset SU(3)$, where 1 . Given any smooth $domain <math>U \Subset \Omega$ and $\delta \in (0, 1]$, denote by $u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H}}(U)$ the weak solution to the regularized equation (1.6). We have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. For any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ with $0 \le \phi \le 1$, the followings hold:

(i) If $\beta \geq 0$, then

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2\beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi|^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2\beta+2} dx$$

$$+ c(\beta+1)^{2} \int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2\beta} dx.$$
(2.1)

(ii) If $\beta \geq 0$, then

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2} + \beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c(\beta + 1)^{4} \int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2} + \beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$+ c(\beta + 1)^{2} K_{\phi} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2} + \beta} dx,$$
(2.2)

(iii) If
$$\beta \geq 1$$
, then

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2\beta+2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2\beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c^{\beta} (\beta+1)^{4\beta} \|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi\|_{L^{\infty}(U)}^{2\beta} \int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx.$$
(2.3)

(iv) If $\beta \geq 1$, then

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}+\beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c(\beta+1)^{12} K_{\phi} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}+\beta} dx.$$
(2.4)

Above K_{ϕ} is as in (1.3) and constants c = c(p) > 0.

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.2. For any $\beta \geq 1$ and any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2\beta+2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2\beta} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c^{\beta} (\beta+1)^{12+4\beta} K_{\phi}^{\beta+1} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}+\beta} dx,$$
(2.5)

where K_{ϕ} is as in (1.3) and the constant c = c(p) > 0.

Moreover, Domokos-Manfredi [3] further established the following uniform gradient estimate and also convergence. We also write $u^0 = u$.

Theorem 2.3. We have $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(U; \mathbb{R}^6)$ uniformly in $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and, for any ball $B_{2r} \subset U$,

$$\|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r})} \le c(p) \Big(\oint_{B_{2r}} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big)^{1/p}.$$
(2.6)

Moreover, $u^{\delta} \rightarrow u$ in $C^{0}(\overline{U})$.

3. Proofs of Lemmas

In this section, we prove Lemmas 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6. To prove Lemma 1.3 we need the following pointwise inequality from [6, Lemma 2.1]. To simplify the following proofs, we write the subelliptic ∞ -Laplacian $\Delta_{0,\infty} v$ of $v \in C^{\infty}$ as

$$\Delta_{0,\infty} v = \sum_{i,j=1}^{6} X_i v X_i X_j v X_j v = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v)^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v)^T D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v.$$

Lemma 3.1. For any $v \in C^{\infty}(U)$, we have

$$\left| |D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 - \Delta_0 v \Delta_{0,\infty} v - \frac{1}{2} [|D_0^2 v|^2 - (\Delta_0 v)^2] |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 \right| \\
\leq 2 [|D_0^2 v|^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 - |D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2] \quad in \ U.$$
(3.1)

Proof. For each point $\bar{x} \in U$, we assume that $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ below, otherwise (3.1) obviously holds. In the case $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v(\bar{x}) \neq 0$, we may also assume that $|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v(\bar{x})| = 1$ below, otherwise we divide both sides by $|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v(\bar{x})|^2$.

At \bar{x} , since $D_0^2 v(\bar{x})$ is a symmetric matrix, by the linear algebra theory, we obtain a set of eigenvalues based on the matrix $D_0^2 v(\bar{x})$, that is, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^6 \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then according to the linear algebra theory again, there is an orthogonal matrix $O \in \mathbf{O}(6)$ such that

C. YU

$$O^T D_0^2 v O = \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_6\}.$$

Noting that $O^{-1} = O^T$, we have

$$|D_0^2 v|^2 = |O^T D_0^2 v O|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^6 (\lambda_i)^2, \quad \Delta_0 v = \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i.$$

For simplicity, we write $O^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v = \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i \mathbf{e}_i =: \vec{a}$. Thus

$$\Delta_{0,\infty} v = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v)^T D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v = (O^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v)^T (O^T D_0^2 v O) (O^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i (a_i)^2,$$
$$|D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 = |(O^T D_0^2 v O) (O^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (\lambda_i)^2 (a_i)^2.$$

By [6, Lemma 2.2] with $\vec{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_6)$ and $\vec{a} := O^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| |D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 - \Delta_0 v \Delta_{0,\infty} v - \frac{1}{2} [|D_0^2 v|^2 - (\Delta_0 v)^2] |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^6 (\lambda_i)^2 (a_i)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i \right) \left[\sum_{j=1}^6 \lambda_j (a_j)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^6 (\lambda_i)^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i)^2 \right] \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^6 (\lambda_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^6 (\lambda_i)^2 (a_i)^2 \right] \\ &= 2 [|D_0^2 v|^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2 - |D_0^2 v \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2], \end{split}$$

which implies (3.1).

Now we apply Lemma 3.1 to prove Lemma 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Noting that $u^{\delta} \in C^{\infty}(U)$, dividing both sides of (1.6) by $(\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}$, we have

$$(p-2)\Delta_{0,\infty}u^{\delta} + (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^2)\Delta_0 u^{\delta} = 0 \quad \text{in } U.$$
(3.2)

For any point $\bar{x} \in U$, we consider two cases: $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. In the case $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) = 0$, since

$$\Delta_{0,\infty} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}))^T \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) = 0,$$

Equality (3.2) implies that $\Delta_0 u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) = 0$. Thus (1.9) holds.

Now we prove (1.9) in the case $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 3.1 with $v = u^{\delta}$ and multiplying both sides by $(p-2)^2$, at \bar{x} we have

$$(p-2)^{2}|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} - (p-2)^{2}\Delta_{0}u^{\delta}\Delta_{0,\infty}u^{\delta} - \frac{(p-2)^{2}}{2}[|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}|^{2} - (\Delta_{0}u^{\delta})^{2}]|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} \leq 2(p-2)^{2}[|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}|^{2}|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} - |D_{0}^{2}v\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}].$$
(3.3)

Combining (3.3) and (3.2), at \bar{x} we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(p-2)^2 |D_0^2 u^{\delta} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2 + (p-2) (\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2 [|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2 + \delta] \\ &- \frac{(p-2)^2}{2} [|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 - (\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2] |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2 \\ &\leq 2(p-2)^2 [|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2 - |D_0^2 u^{\delta} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

By dividing both sides by $|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}(\bar{x})|^2$, at \bar{x} we have

$$3(p-2)^{2} \frac{|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}} + (p-2)\frac{(\Delta_{0}u^{\delta})^{2}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}}[|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} + \delta]$$

$$\leq \frac{(p-2)^{2}}{2}[|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}|^{2} - (\Delta_{0}u^{\delta})^{2}] + 2(p-2)^{2}|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}|^{2}.$$
(3.4)

Recalling that

$$\Delta_{0,\infty} u^{\delta} = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta})^T D_0^2 u^{\delta} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta},$$

by Hölder's inequality and (3.2), at \bar{x} we have

$$(p-2)^{2} \frac{|D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}} \ge (p-2)^{2} \frac{|\Delta_{0,\infty}u^{\delta}|^{2}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{4}} \ge \frac{(\Delta_{0}u^{\delta})^{2}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2}} [|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} + \delta].$$
(3.5)

Here we apply Hölder's inequality to estimate the first inequality in (3.5), and apply (3.2) to estimate the second inequality.

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$(p+1)\Big(\frac{\Delta_0 u^{\delta}}{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|}\Big)^2[|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2 + \delta] \le \frac{(p-2)^2}{2}[|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 - (\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2] + 2(p-2)^2|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2.$$

Thus

$$(p+1)(\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2 \le \frac{(p-2)^2}{2} [|D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2 - (\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2] + 2(p-2)^2 |D_0^2 u^{\delta}|^2.$$

From this, subtracting $[(p+1)(\Delta_0 u^\delta)^2-(p+1-2(p-2)^2)|D_0^2 u^\delta|^2]$ from both sides, we have

$$[p+1-2(p-2)^2]|D_0^2u^{\delta}|^2 \le \left[p+1+\frac{(p-2)^2}{2}\right][|D_0^2u^{\delta}|^2-(\Delta_0 u^{\delta})^2].$$

Noting that 1 implies

$$p + 1 - 2(p - 2)^2 = (p - 1)(7 - 2p) > 0,$$

we conclude (1.9).

Proof of Lemma 1.4. For simplicity we write the right-hand side of (1.10) as

$$R := c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^{2} \phi^{6} dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v| \phi^{6} dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\phi|^{5} [|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi| + |\phi|] dx.$$

$$(3.6)$$

Recall that

$$D_0^2 v = \left(\frac{X_i X_j v + X_j X_i v}{2}\right)_{1 \le i, j \le 6}, \quad \Delta_0 v = \sum_{i=1}^6 X_i X_i v.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} &[|D_0^2 v|^2 - (\Delta_0 v)^2] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^6 \left(\frac{X_i X_j v + X_j X_i v}{2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 X_i X_i v \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^6 \left[\frac{1}{4} [(X_i X_j v)^2 + (X_j X_i v)^2 + 2X_i X_j v X_j X_i v] - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^6 [(X_i X_j v)^2 - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^6 [(X_j X_i v)^2 - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^6 [X_i X_j v X_j X_i v - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^6 [(X_i X_j v)^2 - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^6 [X_i X_j v X_j X_i v - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v]. \end{split}$$
(3.7)

By this, to prove (1.10), we only need to prove that, for $1 \leq i,j \leq 6,$

C. YU

$$\int_{U} [(X_i X_j v)^2 - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \phi^6 dx \le R,$$
(3.8)

$$\int_{U} [X_i X_j v X_j X_i v - X_i X_i v X_j X_j v] \phi^6 dx \le R,$$
(3.9)

where R is as in (3.6).

First, we prove (3.8). Integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_{U} (X_i X_j v)^2 \phi^6 dx = -\int_{U} X_j v X_i X_i X_j v \phi^6 dx - 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_j v \phi^5 X_i \phi dx$$

Since $X_i X_j = X_j X_i + [X_i, X_j]$, we have

$$\int_{U} X_j v X_i X_i X_j v \phi^6 dx = \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_j X_i v \phi^6 dx + \int_{U} X_j v X_i [X_i, X_j] v \phi^6 dx.$$

Combining the above two equalities, since $X_i X_j = X_j X_i + [X_i, X_j]$ again, we have

$$\int_{U} (X_i X_j v)^2 \phi^6 dx = -\int_{U} X_j v X_j X_i X_i v \phi^6 dx - 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_j v \phi^5 X_i \phi dx$$
$$-\int_{U} X_j v [X_i, X_j] X_i v \phi^6 dx - \int_{U} X_j v X_i [X_i, X_j] v \phi^6 dx.$$

Integrating by parts again, we have

$$\int_{U} (X_i X_j v)^2 \phi^6 dx = \int_{U} X_j X_j v X_i X_i v \phi^6 dx + 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_i v \phi^5 X_j \phi dx$$
$$- 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_j v \phi^5 X_i \phi dx - \int_{U} X_j v [X_i, X_j] X_i v \phi^6 dx \quad (3.10)$$
$$- \int_{U} X_j v X_i [X_i, X_j] v \phi^6 dx.$$

10

Table 1 shows that

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{8} c_{i,j}^k X_k \text{ for any } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$$
(3.11)

and that

$$[X_{i}, X_{j}]X_{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{8} c_{i,j}^{k} X_{k} X_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{8} c_{i,j}^{k} (X_{i} X_{k} + [X_{k}, X_{i}])$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{8} c_{i,j}^{k} \left(X_{i} X_{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{8} c_{k,i}^{m} X_{m} \right) \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\},$$

$$(3.12)$$

where $c_{i,j}^k$ and $c_{k,i}^m$ are constants and are completely determined by Table 1. Combining (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), then subtracting $\int_U X_i X_i v X_j X_j v \phi^6 dx$ from both sides, by the fact

$$|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v|^2 \le 2|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2,$$

we obtain (3.8).

Finally, we prove (3.9) in a similar way. Integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_{U} X_i X_j v X_j X_i v \phi^6 dx = -\int_{U} X_j v X_i X_j X_i v \phi^6 dx - 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_j X_i v \phi^5 X_i \phi dx.$$

Since $X_i X_j = X_j X_i + [X_i, X_j]$, we have

$$\int_U X_j v X_i X_j X_i v \phi^6 dx = \int_U X_j v X_j X_i X_i v \phi^6 dx + \int_U X_j v [X_i, X_j] X_i v \phi^6 dx.$$

Combining the above two equalities, by integration by parts again, we have

$$\int_{U} X_i X_j v X_j X_i v \phi^6 dx$$

$$= \int_{U} X_j X_j v X_i X_i v \phi^6 dx - \int_{U} X_j v [X_i, X_j] X_i v \phi^6 dx \qquad (3.13)$$

$$+ 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_i X_i v \phi^5 X_j \phi dx - 6 \int_{U} X_j v X_j X_i v \phi^5 X_i \phi dx.$$

We combine (3.12) and (3.13). Then subtracting $\int_U X_i X_i v X_j X_j v \phi^6 dx$ from both sides, by the fact that

$$|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v|^2 \le 2|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v|^2,$$

we obtain (3.9).

Proof of Lemma 1.5. Since
$$2 , by Young's inequality, we have$$

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}| dx
\leq \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx + \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{4-p}{2}} dx.$$
(3.14)

By (2.1) in Lemma 2.1 with $\beta = 0$ and $\phi \to \phi^3$ therein, we have

C. YU

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq c ||\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi||^{2}_{L^{\infty}(U)} \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$+ c \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}} dx.$$
(3.15)

By Young's inequality again, that $|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^2 \leq 2|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2$ and Lemma 2.2 with $\beta = 1$ therein, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{4} dx + \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx$$

$$\leq c K_{\phi}^{2} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx + \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx.$$
(3.16)

Here we apply Young's inequality to estimate the first inequality in (3.16), and apply the fact $|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^2 \leq 2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^2$ and Lemma 2.2 to estimate the second inequality.

We combine (3.15) and (3.16). Then by Young's inequality, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\leq c K_{\phi}^{3} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx + c K_{\phi} \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx.$$
bining (3.17) and (3.14), we conclude (1.11).

Combining (3.17) and (3.14), we conclude (1.11).

Proof of Lemma 1.6. Recall that

$$Mv = \left(\frac{[X_i, X_j]v}{2}\right)_{1 \le i, j \le 6}.$$

According to Table 1, we have

$$|Mv|^{2} = \frac{1}{2}[(X_{7}v)^{2} + (X_{8}v)^{2} + (X_{8}v - X_{7}v)^{2}] + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v|^{2}$$
$$= (X_{7}v)^{2} + (X_{8}v)^{2} - X_{7}vX_{8}v + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}v|^{2}.$$

Since

$$2|X_7 v X_8 v| \le (X_7 v)^2 + (X_8 v)^2,$$

it remains to bound the integration of $(X_7v)^2$ and the integration of $(X_8v)^2$. First, we bound the integration of $(X_7v)^2$. Since $X_7 = -[X_1, X_2]$, integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U} (X_{7}v)^{2} \phi^{6} dx &= \int_{U} (X_{2}X_{1}v - X_{1}X_{2}v)X_{7}v\phi^{6} dx \\ &= \int_{U} X_{2}vX_{1}X_{7}v\phi^{6} dx - \int_{U} X_{1}vX_{2}X_{7}v\phi^{6} dx \\ &+ 6\int_{U} X_{2}vX_{7}v\phi^{5}X_{1}\phi dx - 6\int_{U} X_{1}vX_{7}v\phi^{5}X_{2}\phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{U} (X_7 v)^2 \phi^6 dx \le 2 \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v| \phi^6 dx + 12 \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} v| |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} v| |\phi^5 \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi| dx.$$

Finally, we bound the integration of $(X_8 v)^2$ in the same way. Combining these together, we conclude (1.12).

4. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider two cases: $1 and <math>2 . When <math>1 , applying (2.2) in Lemma 2.1 with <math>\beta = (2 - p)/2 \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx \leq c K_{\phi} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2}) dx + c \int_{U} \phi^{2} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx.$$
(4.1)

By Young's inequality, the fact $|\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}| \leq 2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|$ and Lemma 2.2 with $\beta = 1$, we have

$$\int_{U} \phi^{2} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx
= \int_{U} \phi^{2} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{2-p}{4}} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{4}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{2} dx
\leq \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx + \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}|^{4} dx
\leq \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx + cK_{\phi}^{2} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}+1} dx.$$
(4.2)

Here we apply Young's inequality to estimate the first inequality in (4.2), and apply Lemma 2.2 to estimate the second inequality. Combining (4.1) and (4.2), by Young's inequality therein, we obtain (1.7).

Now, we consider the case $2 \le p < 7/2$. Recalling that

$$|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\delta}|^{2} = |D_{0}^{2}u^{\delta}|^{2} + |Mu^{\delta}|^{2},$$

by Lemmas 1.3, 1.4, and 1.6, we have

$$\int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} \phi^{6} dx \leq c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} \phi^{6} dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u^{\delta}| \phi^{6} dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\phi|^{5} [|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi| + |\phi|] dx.$$
(4.3)

To obtain (1.8), it remains to estimate the second term in the right-hand of (4.3). By Lemma 1.5, (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{split} &\int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} \phi^{6} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2} \phi^{6} dx + c \int_{U} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}| |\phi|^{5} [|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \phi| + |\phi|] dx \\ &\quad + c K_{\phi}^{3} \int_{spt(\phi)} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p+2}{2}} dx + c K_{\phi} \int_{U} \phi^{4} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + c \int_{U} \phi^{6} (\delta + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta}|^{2})^{\frac{4-p}{2}} dx. \end{split}$$

By Young's inequality, we obtain (1.8).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Ω be a domain in SU(3). Consider any *p*-harmonic function $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Given any smooth domain $U \Subset \Omega$, for $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\delta \in (0,1]$, we let $u^{\delta} \in W^{1,p}_{\mathcal{H}}(U)$ be a weak solution to (1.6). By Theorem 1.2, we have that

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta} \in W^{1,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(U) \quad \text{uniformly in } \delta \in (0,1].$$
(4.4)

Theorem 2.3 shows that

$$u^{\delta} \to u \quad \text{in } C^0(U) \text{ as } \delta \to 0,$$
 (4.5)

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta} \in L^{\infty}(U)$$
 uniformly in $\delta \in (0, 1].$ (4.6)

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^{\delta} \to \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u$$
 weakly in $W^{1,2}_{\mathcal{H},\text{loc}}(U)$ and in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(U)$ as $\delta \to 0.$ (4.7)

By (4.6) and Hölder's inequality, (4.7) implies that

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u^o \to \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} u$$
 in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(U)$ for $0 < q < \infty$ as $\delta \to 0$.

By letting $\delta \to 0$ in (1.7) and (1.8), we can obtain (1.4) and (1.5).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to Yuan Zhou, Jiayin Liu, and Fa Peng for their fruitful discussions. This work is supported by grants from the NSF of China, 12025102 and 11871088.

References

- W. L. Chow; Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung, Math. Ann., 117 (1939), 98-105.
- [2] A. Domokos, J. J. Manfredi; C^{1,α}-regularity for p-harmonic functions in the Heisenberg group for p near 2, Contemp. Math., 370 (2005), 17-23.
- [3] A. Domokos, J. J. Manfredi; C^{1,α}-subelliptic regularity on SU(3) and compact, semi-simple Lie groups, Anal. Math. Phys., 10 (2020), 1664-2368.
- [4] A. Domokos, J. J. Manfredi; Nonlinear subelliptic equations, Manuscripta. Math., 130 (2009), 251-271.
- [5] A. Domokos, J. J. Manfredi; Subelliptic cordes estimates, P. Am. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), 1047-1056.
- [6] H. Dong, F. Peng, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhou; Hessian estimates for equations involving p-Laplacian via a fundamental inequality, Adv. Math., 370 (2020), 0001-8708.
- [7] L. C. Evans; A new proof of local C^{1,α}-regularity for solutions of certain degenerate elliptic p.d.e, J. Differ. Equations, 45 (1982), 356–373.
- [8] L. Hörmander; Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Math., 119 (1967), 147–171.
- [9] J. L. Lewis; Regularity of the derivatives of solutions to certain degenerate elliptic equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 32 (1983), 849–858.
- [10] J. Liu, F. Peng, Y. Zhou; HW^{2,2}-regularity for p-harmonic functions in Heisenberg groups, To appear in Adv. Calc. Var., July 31, 2021, Article number: 000010151520210026, Arxiv preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07908.
- [11] J. J. Manfredi, G. Mingione; Regularity results for quasilinear elliptic equations in the Heisenberg group, Math. Ann., 339 (2007), 485–544.
- [12] J. J. Manfredi, A. Weitsman; On the Fatou theorem for p-harmonic functions, Commun. Part. Diff. Eq., 13 (1988), 651–668.
- [13] G. Mingione, A. Zatorska-Goldstein, X. Zhong; Gradient regularity for elliptic equations in the Heisenberg group, Adv. Math., 222 (2009), 62–129.
- [14] S. Mukherjee, X. Zhong; C^{1,α}-regularity for variational problems in the Heisenberg group, Anal. PDE, 14 (2021), 567–594.
- D. Ricciotti; p-Laplace equation in the Heisenberg group, Springer International Publishing, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23790-9, (2015).

- [16] P. Tolksdorf; Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Differ. Equations, 51 (1984), 126–150.
- [17] K. K. Uhlenbeck; Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems, Acta Math., 138 (1977), 219–240.
- [18] N. N. Ural'ceva; Degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov., 7 (1968), 184–222.
- [19] X. Zhong; Regularity for variational problems in the Heisenberg group, Arxiv preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03284, (2017).

Chengwei Yu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beihang University, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{chengweiyu@buaa.edu.cn}$