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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider planar Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a logarithmic external potential $W(x)=\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)$ and a general nonlinear term $f$. We obtain conditions for the local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem in the energy space. By introducing some suitable assumptions on $f$, we prove the existence of the global minimizer. In addition, with the help of the local well-posedness, we show that the set of ground state standing waves is orbitally stable.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$
\begin{gather*}
i \psi_{t}-\Delta \psi+W(x) \psi+\gamma \omega \psi=f(\psi), \quad \forall(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \\
\Delta \omega=|\psi|^{2}  \tag{1.1}\\
\psi(0, x)=\psi_{0}(x)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the (time-dependent) wave function, $x \mapsto W(x)$ is a real external potential and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $\omega$ represents an internal potential for a nonlocal self-interaction of the wave function $\psi$, and the nonlinear term $f$ is used to model the interaction among particles. Such a system arises from quantum mechanics [3, 5, 16] and in semiconductor theory [18, 19. We refer the reader to [2, 13] for more details on its physical aspects.

An important topic is to establish conditions for the well-posedness of Cauchy problem (1.1). From a mathematical point of view, the second equation in the system determines $\omega: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ up to harmonic functions, it is natural to choose $\omega$ as the Newton potential of $|\psi|^{2}$, i.e. the convolution of $|\psi|^{2}$ with the fundamental solution $\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln |x|$ of the Laplacian. Thus the Newtonian potential $\omega$ is given by

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\ln |x| *|\psi|^{2}\right)
$$

We note that the Newtonian potential $\omega$ diverges at the spatial infinity no matter how fast $\psi$ decays. In view of this, Masaki [20, 21] proposed a new approach

[^0]to deal with such a nonlocal term, which can be decomposed into a sum of the linear logarithmic potential and a good remainder. By using the perturbation method, the global well-posedness for the Cauchy problem with $W(x) \equiv 0$ and $f(\psi)=|\psi|^{p-2} \psi(p>2)$ is established in the space $\mathcal{B}$ given by
$$
\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\psi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}\right)|\psi(x)|^{2} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

Another interesting topic on (1.1) is to study the standing wave solution of the form

$$
\psi(x, t)=e^{i \lambda t} u(x)
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then 1.1 is reduced to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+(W(x)-\lambda) u+\gamma \omega u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
-\Delta \omega=u^{2} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

which can be further written as the integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+(W(x)-\lambda) u+\gamma\left(\Phi *\left|u^{2}\right|\right) u=f(u), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

At least formally, the energy functional associated with 1.3 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+(W(x)-\lambda) u^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(|x-y|^{2}\right)|u(x)|^{2}|u(y)|^{2} d x d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F(u) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$. Obviously, if $u$ is a critical point of $E$, then the pair $\left(u, \Phi *|u|^{2}\right)$ is a weak solution of $\left.\sqrt{1.2}\right)$. However, the energy functional $E$ is not well-defined on the natural Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, since the logarithm term changes sign and is neither bounded from above nor from below. Inspired by [24], Cingolani and Weth [11] developed a variational framework of (1.3) with $W(x) \equiv 0$ in the smaller Hilbert space

$$
X:=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (1+|x|) u^{2} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X}^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\left(1+\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right)\right) d x
$$

If the frequency $\lambda$ is a fixed and assigned parameter, then solutions of 1.3 can be obtained as critical points of the functional $E$ in $X$. Under various types of potentials $W$ and nonlinearities $f$, there has been much study on this case in recent years, see, for example [1, 8, 9, 14]. For other nonlocal problems, we refer the reader to 22, 25, 26, 27, 29].

If we would like to find solutions of $\sqrt{1.3}$ with the frequency $\lambda$ unknown, then $\lambda$ appears as a Lagrange multiplier, and $L^{2}$-norms of solutions are prescribed, i.e.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{2} d x=c \quad \text { for a given } c>0
$$

which are usually called normalized solutions. This study seems particularly meaningful from the physical point of view, since solutions of 1.1 conserve their mass along time. When $W(x) \equiv 0$, Cingolani and Jeanjean [10] proved the existence and
multiplicity of normalized solutions for 1.3 with $f(u)=|u|^{p-2} u(p>2)$. When the logarithmic external potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is considered in $\sqrt{1.3}$, Dolbeault, Frank and Jeanjean 12 studied the existence of normalized solutions for 1.3 with $f(u)=\ln |u|^{2} u$, and recently Guo, Liang and Li [15] proved the existence and uniqueness of $L^{2}$-critical constraint minimization problem, i.e. $f(u)=|u|^{p-2} u$ with $p=4$.

Inspired by the analysis mentioned above, in this paper we are concerned with a class of planar Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a logarithmic external potential (1.4) and a general nonlinearity $f$. First of all, we shall establish conditions of the local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem 1.1. Secondly, we shall focused on the existence of global minimizer when $f$ satisfies some suitable assumptions. In addition, with the help of the local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1), the orbital stability of the set of ground states is explored as well.

To find normalized solutions of $\sqrt{1.3}$, we consider the associated energy functional

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u):= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F(u) d x . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

under the constraint

$$
S(c):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{H}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2} d x=c\right\}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}:=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}:=\|u\|_{H^{1}}+\|u\|_{*}$, here

$$
\|u\|_{*}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2} d x
$$

We now summarize our main results.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $f$ satisfies
(A1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(0)=0$,
(A2) $f\left(e^{i \theta} z\right)=e^{i \theta} f(z)$,
(A3) there exist $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and a constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq L\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|\left(1+\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{2}\right|\right)^{2}
$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.1) is local well-posed in $\mathcal{H}$. That is, for any $\psi_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{H}$, there exists an existence time $T=T\left(\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ and a unique solution $\psi \in$ $C((-T, T) ; \mathcal{H}) \cap L^{q_{0}}\left((-T, T) ; L^{r_{0}}\right) \cap C^{1}\left((-T, T) ; \mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$ of 1.1), where $\left(q_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ be an admissible pair with $r_{0}>2$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that condition (A1) holds. In addition, we assume that $f$ satisfies
(A4) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t}=0$;
(A5) $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t) t}{|t|^{4}}=0$.

Then there exists a constant $c_{*}>0$ such that for $0<c<c_{*}$, the infimum

$$
\mathbf{J}_{c}:=\inf _{u \in S(c)} J(u)
$$

is achieved by some $u_{c} \in S(c)$, i.e. $J\left(u_{c}\right)=\mathbf{J}_{c}$.
It is easy to find some examples on the nonlinearity $f$ satisfying conditions (A1), (A4), and (A5), such as

$$
f(t)=|t|^{p-2} t+|t|^{q-2} t \quad \text { with } 2<q<p<4
$$

By Theorem 1.2, we know that the set of ground states

$$
\mathcal{M}_{c}:=\left\{e^{i \lambda t} u(x): u \in S(c) \text { and } J(u)=\mathbf{J}_{c}\right\}
$$

is not empty. Then we have the following stability result.
Theorem 1.3. Under the assumptions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the set of ground states $\mathcal{M}_{c}$ is orbitally stable. That is, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $\psi_{0}=\psi(0, x) \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c}}\left\|\psi_{0}-u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\delta$, the solution $\psi(t, x)$ of system (1.1) satisfies

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T)} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c}}\|\psi(t, x)-u\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\varepsilon
$$

where $T$ is the maximal existence time for $\psi(t, x)$.

## 2. Preliminary Results

For sake of convenience, we set

$$
A(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \text { and } V(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y
$$

Then the energy functional $J$ defined in 1.5 can be rewritten as

$$
J(u):=\frac{1}{2} A(u)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) d x+\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} V(u)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F(u) d x .
$$

Definition 2.1. We say that a pair $(q, r)$ is Strichartz admissible if $2 \leq r<\infty$ and $\frac{2}{q}=1-\frac{2}{r}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Strichartz estimates [6]). For any $T>0$, the following properties hold:
(i) let $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. For any admissible pair $(q, r)$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{i t \Delta} \varphi\right\|_{L^{q}\left((-T, T) ; L^{r}\right)} \lesssim\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}
$$

(ii) let $I \subset(-T, T)$ be an interval and $t_{0} \in \bar{I}$. For any admissible pairs $(q, r)$ and $(\gamma, \rho)$, we have

$$
\left\|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{i(t-s) \Delta} F(s) d s\right\|_{L^{q}\left(I ; L^{r}\right)} \lesssim\|F\|_{L^{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(I ; L^{\rho^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

for every $F \in L^{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(I ; L^{\rho^{\prime}}\right)$.
Lemma 2.3 ([21, Lemma 2.2]). Let $P$ be an arbitrary weight function satisfying $\nabla P, \Delta P \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Then for all $T>0$ and admissible pair $(q, r)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left[\nabla, e^{i t \mathbf{A}}\right] \varphi\right\|_{L^{q}\left((-T, T) ; L^{r}\right)} \lesssim|T|\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}} \\
\left\|\left[P, e^{i t \mathbf{A}}\right] \varphi\right\|_{L^{q}\left((-T, T) ; L^{r}\right)} \lesssim|T|\|(1+\nabla) \varphi\|_{L^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}:=-\Delta+m \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m:=\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1$.
Lemma 2.4 ([21, Lemma 2.3]). Let

$$
K(x, y)=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\langle x\rangle}\right)}{1+\ln \langle y\rangle} \quad \text { for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

For any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\epsilon>0$, there exist a function $H(x, y) \geq 0$ with $\|H\|_{L_{y}^{\infty} L_{x}^{p}} \leq \varepsilon$ and a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
|K(x, y)| \leq C_{0}+H(x, y)
$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2+2}$.
Lemma 2.5 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg Inequality 17). (i). Let $r>2$. Then there exists a sharp constant $K_{G N}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{r} \leq K_{G N}^{1 / r}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{r-2}{r}}\|u\|_{2}^{2 / r}
$$

(ii) (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality 30). Let $t, r>1$ and $0<\alpha<N$ with $\frac{1}{t}+\frac{N-\alpha}{N}+\frac{1}{r}=2$. For $\bar{f} \in L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\bar{h} \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there exists a sharp constant $C(t, N, \alpha, r)$, independent of $u$ and $v$, such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\bar{f}(x) \bar{h}(x)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} d x d y \leq C(t, N, \alpha, r)\|\bar{f}\|_{t}\|\bar{h}\|_{r}
$$

As in [15], we introduce the symmetric bilinear forms

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x-y|^{2}\right) u(x) v(y) d x d y \\
B_{2}(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}}\right) u(x) v(y) d x d y \\
B_{0}(u, v)=\frac{1}{2}\left[B_{1}(u, v)-B_{2}(u, v)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u(x) v(y) d x d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, $V(u)=B_{0}\left(u^{2}, u^{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y$. By the continuous embedding from $\mathcal{H}$ into $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $s \in[2, \infty)$, the functionals $B_{i}\left(u^{2}, v^{2}\right)$ are welldefined on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Moreover, we define the associated functionals on $\mathcal{H}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}(u)=B_{1}\left(u^{2}, u^{2}\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x-y|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
V_{2}(u)=B_{2}\left(u^{2}, u^{2}\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}}\right) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.6 (15, Lemma 2.1]). The following statements are valid:
(i) the space $\mathcal{H}$ is compactly embedded in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $s \in[2, \infty)$;
(ii) the functionals $V, V_{1}, V_{2}$ and $J$ are of class $C^{1}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $V_{i}^{\prime}(u) v=$ $4 B_{i}\left(u^{2}, u v\right)$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i=1,2$;
(iii) $V_{2}$ is continuous (in fact continuously differentiable) on $L^{8 / 3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$;
(iv) $V_{1}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$;
(v) $V$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $\mathcal{H}$.

## 3. Local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem

Following the ideas in [21, the following decomposition holds

$$
\gamma \omega \psi=\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\ln \langle x\rangle) \psi+\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi} \psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\langle x\rangle}\right)|\psi(y)|^{2} d y
$$

where $\langle x\rangle:=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)$. According to the conservation of mass $\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}$, we have

$$
m=\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1>0
$$

Then, 1.1 is rewritten as

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \partial_{t} \psi+(-\Delta+m \ln \langle x\rangle) \psi=-\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi} \psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\langle x\rangle}\right)|\psi(y)|^{2} d y+f(\psi), \quad \forall(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \\
\psi(0, x)=\psi_{0}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

We note that $\mathbf{A}$ defined as 2.1 is essentially self-adjoint on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ (see [23]). Since $\left|\partial^{\alpha}(\ln \langle x\rangle)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for $|\alpha|=2$ and $\partial^{\alpha}(\ln \langle x\rangle) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $|\alpha| \geq 3$, the potential is subquadratic. Then for any $t \in[-T, T]$, we have

$$
\left\|e^{i t \mathbf{A}} \varphi\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim|t|^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}}
$$

(see [28]). Once we know this type of estimate, the Strichartz estimates follow by interpolation.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We write $L^{p}((-T, T) ; \mathcal{H})=L_{T}^{p} \mathcal{H}$ for short. We define the Banach space

$$
\mathcal{H}_{T, M}:=\left\{\psi \in L_{T}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}} \leq M\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}:=\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}}+\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} W^{1, r_{0}}}+\|\sqrt{\ln \langle x\rangle} \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} .
$$

Now we show that if $r_{0}>2$, then there exist $M=M\left(\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ and $T=T\left(\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ such that

$$
Q[\psi]:=e^{i t \mathbf{A}} \psi_{0}+i \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}\left(\frac{\gamma \psi}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\langle x\rangle}\right)|\psi(y)|^{2} d y-f(\psi)\right) d s
$$

becomes a contraction map from $\mathcal{H}_{T, M}$ to itself. Set

$$
K(x, y):=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\langle x\rangle}\right)}{1+\ln \langle y\rangle}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exist a nonnegative function $H \in L_{y}^{\infty} L_{y}^{r_{0}^{\prime}}$ and a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
|K(x, y)| \leq C_{0}+H(x, y)
$$

Recall that $r_{0} \in(2, \infty)$ and so $r_{0}^{\prime}:=r_{0} /\left(r_{0}-1\right) \in(1,2)$. We hence see that

$$
\omega \psi=\int K(x, y)(1+\ln \langle y\rangle)|\psi(y)|^{2} \psi(x) d y
$$

satisfying

$$
\|\omega \psi\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left(\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}+\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}}\right)\|\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle} \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

Taking the $L_{T}^{1}$-norm one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega \psi\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim\left(T\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}}\right)\|\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle} \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $|f(\psi)| \leq L(1+|\psi|)^{2}|\psi|$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}+\|\psi\|_{L^{2 r_{0} /\left(r_{0}-2\right)}}\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}}+\left\|\psi^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 r_{0} /\left(r_{0}-2\right)}}\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}+\left(\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}}+\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, taking the $L_{T}^{1}$-norm yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim & T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}}+T\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By Strichartz estimates, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}+T\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we estimate $\nabla Q[\psi]$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla Q[\psi]= & e^{i t \mathbf{A}} \nabla \psi_{0}+\left[\nabla, e^{i t \mathbf{A}}\right] \psi_{0}+\frac{i \gamma}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}(\nabla(\omega \psi)-\nabla f)(s) d s \\
& +\frac{i \gamma}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\nabla, e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}\right](\omega \psi-f)(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.3 with $(q, r)=(\infty, 2)$, we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left[\nabla, e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}\right](\omega \psi)(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \leq \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)\|\omega \psi(s)\|_{L^{2}} d s \leq|t|\|\omega \psi\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left[\nabla, e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}\right] f(s)\right\|_{L^{2}} d s \leq \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)\|f(s)\|_{L^{2}} d s \leq|t|\|f\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \\
\left\|\left[\nabla, e^{i t \mathbf{A}}\right] \psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}} \lesssim|T|\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similar to (3.1), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega \nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim\left(T\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}}\right)\|\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle} \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us estimate $(\nabla \omega) \psi$. It can be written as

$$
(\nabla \omega(x)) \psi(x)=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|^{2}}-\frac{2 x}{1+x^{2}}\right)|\psi(y)|^{2} d y\right] \psi(x)
$$

It follows from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and the Sobolev inequalities that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(\nabla \omega) \psi\|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim\left\|\left(|x|^{-1} *|\psi|^{2}\right)+\langle\cdot\rangle^{-1}\right\| \psi\left\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right\|_{L^{2 r_{0} /\left(r_{0}-2\right)}}\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\|\psi\|_{L^{2 r_{0} /\left(r_{0}-1\right)}}^{2}+\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\psi\|_{L^{r_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\nabla \omega) \psi\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By condition (A3) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla f\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim & T\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}\right)\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \\
& +T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from the Strichartz estimates that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\nabla Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\nabla Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim T\left\|\nabla \psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+T\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us proceed to the estimate
$\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle} Q[\psi]=e^{i t \mathbf{A}} \sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle} \psi_{0}+\frac{i \gamma}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}} \sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle}(\omega \psi-f)(s) d s+R$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R:=\left[\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle}, e^{i t \mathbf{A}}\right] \psi_{0}+\frac{i \gamma}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle}, e^{i(t-s) \mathbf{A}}\right](\omega \psi-f)(s) d s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G=\sqrt{1+\ln \langle x\rangle}$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and 3.1 -3.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|R\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|R\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \lesssim & T\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+T\|(1+\nabla)(\omega \psi)\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}}+T\|(1+\nabla) f\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \\
\lesssim & T\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+T\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3} \\
& +T\left(T\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\omega(G \psi)\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} & \lesssim\left(T\|G \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|G \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}}\right)\|G \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f G\|_{L_{T}^{1} L^{2}} \lesssim & T\|G \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}\right)\|G \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0} L^{r_{0}}}} \\
& +T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}^{2}\right)\|\omega \psi\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0} L^{r_{0}}}} \\
\lesssim & T\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Strichartz estimates we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\sqrt{\ln \langle x\rangle} Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} L^{2}}+\|\sqrt{\ln \langle x\rangle} Q[\psi]\|_{L_{T}^{q_{0}} L^{r_{0}}} \\
& \lesssim T\left[\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+(1+T)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it follows from (3.3), (3.6), and (3.8) that

$$
\|Q[\psi]\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}} \lesssim(1+T)\left[\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+T\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{2}+\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}^{3}\right]
$$

A similar argument shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q\left[\psi_{1}\right]-Q\left[\psi_{2}\right]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}} \lesssim & (1+T)\left(T+T^{\frac{r_{0}+2}{2 r_{0}}}\right)\left(\left(\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}+\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}\right)\left\|\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if we take $M \geq 2\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, then there exists $T=T(M)$ such that $Q$ is a contraction map from $\mathcal{H}_{T, M}$ to itself. A similar argument shows that $Q$ has a unique fixed point in this space.

## 4. Existence of a global minimizer

Lemma 4.1. Assume that (A1), (A4), (A5) hold. Then there exists $c_{*}>0$ such that the energy functional $J$ is bounded from below on $S(c)$ for $0<c<c_{*}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary. By conditions (A4) and (A5), there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|F(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}|t|^{4} \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

For $u \in S(c)$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|F(u)| d x & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{2} d x+C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{4} d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{2} d x+C_{\varepsilon} K_{G N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x  \tag{4.1}\\
& =c \varepsilon+c K_{G N} C_{\varepsilon} A(u) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $0<\ln (1+r)<r$ holds for all $r>0$, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\left|V_{2}(u)\right| & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}}\right) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y  \tag{4.2}\\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
& \leq C c^{3 / 2} A(u)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) \geq & \frac{1}{2} A(u)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) d x+\frac{\gamma}{16 \pi}\left(V_{1}(u)-V_{2}(u)\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F(u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} A(u)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) d x-\frac{\gamma}{16 \pi} V_{2}(u)-c \varepsilon-c K_{G N} C_{\varepsilon} A(u) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} A(u)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) d x-\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} C c^{3 / 2} A(u)^{1 / 2} \\
& -c \varepsilon-c K_{G N} C_{\varepsilon} A(u) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-c C_{\varepsilon} K_{G N}\right) A(u)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u^{2}(x) d x \\
& -\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} C c^{3 / 2} A(u)^{1 / 2}-c \varepsilon, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $J(u)$ is bounded from below on $S(c)$ when $c<c_{*}:=\frac{1}{2 K_{G N} C_{\varepsilon}}$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.1, we know that

$$
\mathbf{J}_{c}=\inf _{u \in S(c)} J(u)>-\infty
$$

Then there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset S(c)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=$ $\mathbf{J}_{c}$. From (4.3) it follows that $A\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x$ are bounded uniformly
in $n$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in S(c)$, we can deduce that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded uniformly in $\mathcal{H}$. According to Lemma 2.6(i), it follows from that $u_{c} \in S(c)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F\left(u_{n}\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F\left(u_{c}\right) d x \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the Brezis-Lieb lemma [4]. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6(v), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u^{2}(x) u^{2}(y) d x d y \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|) u_{n}^{2}(x) u_{n}^{2}(y) d x d y \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (4.4), 4.5) and the weakly lower semi-continuity, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{J}_{c} \leq J\left(u_{c}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=\mathbf{J}_{c}
$$

which indicates that $J\left(u_{c}\right)=\mathbf{J}_{c}$, that is, $u_{c}$ is a minimizer of $\mathbf{J}_{c}$ for $c<c_{*}$.
Since $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J\left(u_{c}\right)$ and $V_{2}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow V_{2}\left(u_{c}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, together with 4.4) again, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left[A\left(u_{n}\right)-A\left(u_{c}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(u_{n}^{2}(x)-u_{c}^{2}(x)\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{16 \pi}\left[V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)-V_{1}\left(u_{c}\right)\right]=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A\left(u_{c}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} A\left(u_{n}\right), \quad V_{1}\left(u_{c}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u_{c}^{2} d x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow A\left(u_{c}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad V_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow V_{1}\left(u_{c}\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) u_{c}^{2} d x \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we deduce that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{c}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Following the classical arguments of Cazenave and Lions 7]. we assume that there exist an $\varepsilon_{0}>0,\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$a decreasing sequence converging to 0 , and $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c}}\left\|\psi_{n}(0, x)-u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\delta_{n}$ such that

$$
\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c}}\left\|\psi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

where $\psi\left(t_{n}, x\right)$ is the unique solution of (1.1) with the initial value $\psi_{n}(0, x)$. We observe that $\left\|\psi_{n}(0, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $J\left(\psi_{n}(0, x)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{c}$ by the continuity of $J$. According to the conservation laws of the energy and mass, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left\|\psi_{n}(0, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \rightarrow c \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty  \tag{4.6}\\
J\left(\psi_{n}(t, x)\right)=J\left(\psi_{n}(0, x)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{c} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, let $\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)=\frac{\sqrt{c} \psi_{n}(t, x)}{\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}}$. Then by 4.6) one has $\left\|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=c$. Moreover, it follows from (4.7) that

$$
J\left(\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \phi_{n}^{2}\left(t_{n}, x\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{8 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|)\left|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right|^{2}\left|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, y\right)\right|^{2} d x d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F\left(\phi\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right) d x \\
= & \frac{c}{2\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla \psi_{n}(t, x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{c}{2\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \psi_{n}^{2}(t, x) d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma c^{2}}{8 \pi\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln (|x-y|)\left|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right|^{2}\left|\psi_{n}(t, y)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F\left(\frac{\sqrt{c} \psi_{n}(t, x)}{\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}}\right) d x \\
\rightarrow & \mathbf{J}_{c} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left\{\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)\right\}$ is a minimizing sequence to $\mathbf{J}_{c}$. Thus, there exists $\tilde{u} \in S(c)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-\tilde{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-\tilde{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\left\|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-\tilde{u}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\phi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-\tilde{u}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left\|\frac{\sqrt{c} \psi_{n}(t, x)}{\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{H^{1}} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\sqrt{c} \psi_{n}(t, x)}{\left\|\psi_{n}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{2}}}-\tilde{u}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{c}}\left\|\psi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)-u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \varepsilon_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts with 4.8). The proof is complete.
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