

ZERO-VISCOSITY-CAPILLARITY LIMIT FOR THE CONTACT DISCONTINUITY FOR THE 1-D FULL COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES-KORTEWEG EQUATIONS

JIAXUE CHEN, YEPING LI, RONG YIN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the zero-viscosity-capillarity limit problem for the one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations. This equation models compressible viscous fluids with internal capillarity and heat conductivity. We prove that if the solution of the inviscid Euler equations is piecewise constants with a contact discontinuity, then there exist smooth solutions to the one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system which converge to the inviscid solution away from the contact discontinuity. It converges a rate of $\epsilon^{1/4}$ as the the viscosity $\mu = \epsilon$, heat-conductivity coefficient $\alpha = \nu\epsilon$ and the capillarity $\kappa = \lambda\epsilon^2$ and ϵ tends to zero. The proof is completed using the energy method and the scaling technique.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic equivalence between the solutions of the one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations and those of the compressible full Euler system when the viscosity, the heat-conductivity coefficient and the capillarity satisfy some conditions. The one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg (denoted as NSK in the sequel) equations in Lagrangian coordinates are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - u_x &= 0, \\ u_t + p_x &= \mu \left(\frac{u_x}{v} \right)_x + \frac{\kappa}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_x \right)_x, \\ \left(e + \frac{u^2}{2} \right)_t + (pu)_x &= \alpha \left(\frac{\theta_x}{v} \right)_x + \left(\mu \frac{uu_x}{v} + \kappa u \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_x \right)_x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_x \right)^2 \right)_x, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where v, u, θ, p and e denote the specific volume, the velocity, the temperature, the pressure, and the internal energy, respectively, and μ, α and κ are the viscosity and heat-conductivity and capillary coefficients, respectively. Here x is the Lagrangian coordinate, so that $x = \text{constant}$ corresponds to a particle path. Here we only study the ideal polytropic gas, so that the pressure p and the internal energy e are related with v and θ by the following equations of state

$$p = R \frac{\theta}{v}, \quad e = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \theta + \text{constant}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $R > 0$ is the gas constant and $\gamma \in (1, 2]$ is the adiabatic exponent.

System (1.1) is known to be a model system for two phase flow with phase transition between liquid and vapor in compressible fluid. Based on the works of Van der Waals [27] and Korteweg [17], the rigorous derivation of the corresponding equations is due to Dunn and Serrin [8] and Heida and Málek [13], respectively. Finally, one can see easily that when $\kappa = 0$, the system (1.1) is reduced to the classical compressible Navier-Stokes equation.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76W05, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equation; compressible Euler system; zero-viscosity-capillarity limit; contact discontinuity.

©2025. This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Submitted May 19, 2025. Published July 16, 2025.

The compressible NSK equations have attracted a lot of attention of physicists and mathematicians because of its physical importance, complexity, rich phenomena, and mathematical challenges. Here we only refer to some study results about the full compressible NSK equations (1.1). Hattori and Li [12] considered the local existence and global existence of smooth solution for three-dimensional non-isentropic compressible NSK equations in Sobolev space. Haspot [11] showed the existence results of strong solutions to the nonisothermal compressible NSK equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Chen, He and Zhao [3] obtained the global classical solutions of the one dimensional full compressible NSK equations with large initial data. Hou, Peng and Zhu [15] got the global classical solutions for the three-dimensional non-isentropic compressible NSK equation with small initial energy. Chen and Zhao [7] discussed the existence, uniqueness and nonlinear stability of stationary solutions to the Cauchy problem of the three-dimensional full compressible NSK equations. Cai, Tan and Xu [1] established the existence of the time periodic solution to the three-dimensional full compressible NSK equations with a sufficiently small external force which is periodic in the time variable. Zhang and Tan [31] showed decay estimates of smooth solutions for the non-isentropic compressible fluid models of Korteweg type in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Kotschote [18, 19, 20] established the local existence of strong solution, and global existence and time-asymptotics of strong solution of the non-isentropic compressible NSK equations in a bounded domain with C^3 -boundary. About the stability of basic nonlinear wave patterns such as the discontinuity wave, viscous contact wave and the rarefaction wave of one dimensional compressible NSK equations, we can refer to [4, 5, 6, 9, 24, 26] and the references therein.

Moreover, vanishing viscosity limit is one of the important problems in the theory of compressible fluids. Goodman and Xin [10] and Hoff and Liu [14] pioneered, respectively, the study on the viscous limit of piecewise smooth solutions for the hyperbolic conservation law, and on the vanishing viscosity limit of the viscous compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations for piecewise constant shock. After these work, the limit problem of the system of hyperbolic conservation laws and of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations is an important problem and has been extensively investigated by many authors for the cases that the solution of the inviscid flows is smooth, or contains singularities such as shocks and the vacuum state. Since the compressible NSK equations are the capillarity approximation of the classical compressible Navier-Stokes equations (see [16]), one of the important topics about the compressible NSK equations is to study the zero-viscosity-capillarity limit. Charve and Haspot [2] proved the existence of the global strong solution of the one-dimensional isentropic NSK equations, and then showed that the global strong solution converges to a weak-entropy solution of the compressible Euler equations. Li and Luo [22], and Li and Zhu [23] showed zero-viscosity-capillarity limit towards rarefaction wave without and with vacuum for one-dimensional the compressible NSK equations, respectively. Yin and Li [29] also discussed the zero-viscosity-capillarity limit towards planar rarefaction wave for the two-dimensional isentropic NSK equations. Nevertheless, it is more significant and difficult to study the viscosity-vanishing limit for the non-isentropic (full) NSK equation (1.1) from both physical and mathematical points of view. Lastly, Wang and Yao [28], and Yin, Li and Qian [30] discussed zero-viscosity-capillarity limit towards rarefaction wave for one-dimensional full NSK system, respectively. Here, we are going to investigate the zero-viscosity-capillarity limit problem for the one-dimensional full compressible NSK equations (1.1). For this, we assume that the coefficients of viscosity, capillary, heat-conductivity μ , κ and α satisfy

$$\mu = \epsilon, \quad \kappa = \lambda\epsilon^2, \quad \alpha = \nu\epsilon. \quad (1.3)$$

Then, formally as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, (1.1) becomes the well-known compressible Euler system

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - u_x &= 0, \\ u_t + p_x &= 0, \\ \left(e + \frac{u^2}{2}\right)_t + (pu)_x &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Further, the Riemann problem to the corresponding Euler system (1.4) with Riemann initial data

$$(v, u, \theta)(0, x) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-, \theta_-), & \text{if } x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $v_{\pm}(> 0)$, $u_{\pm}$, $s_{\pm}$ are given constants, has a contact discontinuity (see [21]), which takes the form

$$(\bar{V}, \bar{U}, \bar{\Theta})(t, x) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-, \theta_-), & \text{if } x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+, \theta_+), & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

provided that

$$u_- = u_+, \quad p_- = \frac{R\theta_-}{v_-} = \frac{R\theta_+}{v_+} = p_+. \quad (1.7)$$

As in [25], we first construct the viscous contact wave $(V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})(t, x)$ as follows. Let the pressure of the profile $(V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})(t, x)$ be almost constant, that is,

$$P^{CD} = R \frac{\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \approx p_+ = p_-, \quad (1.8)$$

it indicates that the energy equation (1.1)₃ is

$$\frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \Theta_t + p_+ U_x = \alpha \left(\frac{\Theta_x}{V} \right)_x. \quad (1.9)$$

Substituting (1.8) into (1.9) and using (1.1)₁ yield a nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\Theta_t = a \alpha \left(\frac{\Theta_x}{\Theta} \right)_x, \quad \Theta(t, \pm\infty) = \theta_{\pm}, \quad a = \frac{p_+(\gamma - 1)}{R^2 \gamma} > 0,$$

which admits a unique self-similar solution

$$\hat{\Theta}(t, x) = \hat{\Theta} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right).$$

Furthermore, $\hat{\Theta}(t, x)$ is a monotone function, increasing if $\theta_+ > \theta_-$ and decreasing if $\theta_+ < \theta_-$. Let $\delta^{CD} = |\theta_+ - \theta_-|$, then $\hat{\Theta}(t, x)$ satisfies

$$|(\epsilon(1+t))^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial_x^k \hat{\Theta}| + |\hat{\Theta}(t, x) - \theta_{\pm}| \leq C \delta^{CD} e^{-\frac{c_0 x^2}{\epsilon(1+t)}}, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (1.10)$$

With $\hat{\Theta}(t, x)$ so determined, we can define the contact wave profile $(V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})(t, x)$ as follows:

$$V^{CD} = \frac{R\hat{\Theta}}{p_+}, \quad U^{CD} = u_- + \frac{\alpha(\gamma - 1)}{R\gamma} \frac{\hat{\Theta}_x}{\hat{\Theta}}, \quad \Theta^{CD} = \hat{\Theta}. \quad (1.11)$$

Then $(V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})$ satisfies

$$\|V^{CD} - \bar{V}, U^{CD} - \bar{U}, \Theta^{CD} - \bar{\Theta}\|_{L^p} = O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2p}})(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2p}}, \quad p \geq 1, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{CD} - U_x^{CD} &= 0, \\ U_t^{CD} + P_x^{CD} &= \epsilon \left(\frac{U_x^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right)_x + R_1^{CD}, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \Theta_t^{CD} + P^{CD} U_x^{CD} &= \alpha \left(\frac{\Theta_x^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right)_x + \epsilon \frac{(U_x^{CD})^2}{V^{CD}} + R_2^{CD}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{CD} &= O(\delta^{CD}) \epsilon^{1/2} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{c_0 x^2}{\epsilon(1+t)}}, \\ R_2^{CD} &= O(\delta^{CD}) \epsilon (1+t)^{-2} e^{-\frac{c_0 x^2}{\epsilon(1+t)}}, \end{aligned}$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Now we state our main results in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For a given (v_-, u_-, θ_-) , suppose that (v_+, u_+, θ_+) satisfies (1.7). Let $(\bar{V}, \bar{U}, \bar{\Theta})(t, x)$ be a contact discontinuity solution of the form (1.6) with finite strength to the Euler system (1.4). Then, there exists constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, there is a smooth solution (v, u, θ) to (1.1) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, with the same initial data as $(V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})$, satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} (v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \theta - \bar{\Theta}) &\in C^0(0, +\infty; L^2), \\ (v, u, \theta)_x &\in C^0(0, +\infty; L^2), \quad v_{xx} \in C^0(0, +\infty; L^2), \\ (u, \theta)_{xx} &\in L^2(0, +\infty; L^2), \quad v_{xxx} \in L^2(0, +\infty; L^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each arbitrarily large $T > 0$ and small $h > 0$, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \theta - \bar{\Theta})(t, \cdot)\|^2 \leq C\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T, |x| \geq h} |(v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \theta - \bar{\Theta})(t, \cdot)| \leq C\epsilon^{1/4}. \quad (1.15)$$

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 shows the zero-viscosity-capillarity limit of the one-dimensional full NSK equations with the same order of the viscosity and the heat-conductivity, and the higher order capillarity, as the corresponding full Euler equations have a contact discontinuity. It is more interest to study the limit for the one-dimensional full NSK equations as the viscosity, the heat-conductivity and the capillarity have same order. Further, it is also important that we investigate the limit with the heat-conductivity and the capillarity and without the viscosity as in [25]. Finally, the convergence rate in (1.15) may not be optimal. We conjecture that it can be improved to be $\epsilon^{1/2}$. These will be left for future study.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the problem and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. We also collect the a priori estimates needed in the proof of our main theorem, in Proposition 2.1. Then, we establish the a-priori estimates for the reformulated problem in Section 3.

Notation. Throughout this paper, c and C denote two universal positive constant which is independent of time t and may vary from line to line. $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) are the spaces of measurable functions whose p -powers are integrable on $\mathbb{R}$, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\cdot|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For the case that $p = 2$, we simply denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ by $\|\cdot\|$. And $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}$, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\cdot|$. Furthermore, for a nonnegative integer k , $H^k(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the usual L^2 -type Sobolev space of order k . We write $\|\cdot\|_k$ for the standard norm of $H^k(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, we denote by $C([0, T]; H^k(\mathbb{R}))$ (resp. $L^2(0, T; H^k(\mathbb{R}))$) the space of continuous (resp. square integrable) functions on $[0, T]$ with values taken in a Banach space $H^k(\mathbb{R})$.

2. REFORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we reformulate the original problem (1.1) and (1.5) in terms of the perturbed variables, then give the proof of Theorem 1.1. To begin with, suppose that $U \equiv (v, u, \theta)$ is the exact solution to (1.1) with the initial data $U(x, 0) = (V^{CD}, U^{CD}, \Theta^{CD})(x, 0)$, and define the perturbed variables:

$$\phi = v - V^{CD}, \quad \psi = u - U^{CD}, \quad \zeta = \theta - \Theta^{CD}.$$

Let

$$y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}, \quad \tau = \frac{1+t}{\epsilon},$$

then from (1.1) and (1.13), one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\tau - \psi_y &= 0, \\ \psi_\tau + (p - P^{CD})_y &= \left(\frac{u_y}{v} - \frac{U_y^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)_y + \frac{\lambda}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_y\right)_y\right)_y - R_1, \\ \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \zeta_\tau + (pu_y - P^{CD}U_y^{CD}) &= \nu \left(\frac{\theta_y}{v} - \frac{\Theta_y^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)_y + \left(\frac{u_y^2}{v} - \frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{V^{CD}}\right) + \lambda u_y \left(\frac{5v_y^2}{2v^6} - \frac{v_{yy}}{v^5}\right) - R_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with the initial data

$$\phi(\tau_0, y) = \psi(\tau_0, y) = \zeta(\tau_0, y) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $R_1 = \epsilon R_1^{CD}$, $R_2 = \epsilon R_2^{CD}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_y^k V^{CD}| + |\partial_y^k \Theta^{CD}| &\leq C \epsilon^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c_0 y^2 / \tau}, \quad |\partial_y^{k-1} U^{CD}| \leq C |\partial_y^k \Theta^{CD}|, \quad k \geq 1 \\ |R_1| &\leq C \epsilon^{3/2} e^{-c_0 y^2 / \tau}, \quad |R_2| \leq C \epsilon^2 e^{-c_0 y^2 / \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Set $\tau_1 = \frac{T+1}{\epsilon}$. Then we only need to show that for suitably small ϵ , the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a unique "small" smooth solution on $\mathbb{R} \times [\tau_0, \tau_1]$. By the standard existence and uniqueness theory (cf. [12]), and the continuous induction argument, it suffices to show the following a priori estimate.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that the problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a solution $(\phi, \psi, \zeta) \in C^0(\tau_0, \tau_2; L^2)$ for some $\tau_2 \in (\tau_0, \tau_1]$. Then there exist positive constants ϵ_1 , η_1 and C , independent of ϵ , such that if*

$$0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1, \quad \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} (\|\phi\|_2 + \|(\psi, \zeta)\|_1) \leq \eta_1 \quad (2.4)$$

for small ϵ_1 and η_1 , then

$$\sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \leq C \epsilon^{1/2}, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\phi_{yy}, \psi_{yy}, \zeta_{yy}, \phi_{yyy})\|^2 d\tau \leq C \epsilon^{2/3}. \quad (2.6)$$

Suppose that Proposition 2.1 is true, we then are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $T > 0$, in view of (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(v - V^{CD}, u - U^{CD}, \theta - \Theta^{CD})(t)\|^2 \\ &= \epsilon \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1} \|(v - V^{CD}, u - U^{CD}, \theta - \Theta^{CD})(\tau)\|^2 \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from this and (1.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \theta - \bar{\Theta})(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(v - V^{CD}, u - U^{CD}, \theta - \Theta^{CD})(t)\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(V^{CD} - \bar{V}, U^{CD} - \bar{U}, \Theta^{CD} - \bar{\Theta})(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (1.14). Finally,

$$\|(v - V^{CD}, u - U^{CD}, \theta - \Theta^{CD})(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|(\phi, \psi, \zeta)(t)\|^{1/2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y)(t)\|^{1/2} \leq C \epsilon^{1/4}.$$

This, together with (1.10), yields (1.15). Hence we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATE

In this section, we shall prove Proposition 2.1. First, notice that the smallness of η_1 in (2.4) guarantees that

$$2v_+ \geq v = V^{CD} + \phi \geq \frac{v_-}{2}, \quad 2\bar{\theta} \geq \theta = \Theta^{CD} + \zeta \geq \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\theta = \inf_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} \Theta^{CD}(t, x)$ and $\bar{\theta} = \sup_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}} \Theta^{CD}(t, x)$. For the sake of clarity, we will divide the proof of Proposition 2.1 into some Lemmas. That is, Proposition 2.1 can be obtained by the following Lemmas 3.1-3.3.

First, we establish the first energy estimate for the unknown variable $(\phi, \psi, \zeta)(t, x)$ to problem (2.1)-(2.2). For this, let us introduce the function $\Phi(s) = s - \ln s - 1$, which is the convex function for any $s > 0$. Then from (2.1), after a direct and tedious computation, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \lambda \frac{\phi_y^2}{2v^5} + R \Theta^{CD} \Phi\left(\frac{v}{V^{CD}}\right) + \frac{R \Theta^{CD}}{\gamma - 1} \Phi\left(\frac{\theta}{\Theta^{CD}}\right) \right)_\tau + \frac{\psi_y^2 \Theta^{CD}}{v \theta} + \nu \frac{\zeta_y^2 \Theta^{CD}}{v \theta^2} \\
& + \left((p - P^{CD}) \psi - \left(\frac{u_y}{v} - \frac{U_y^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right) \psi - \lambda \frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \psi - \lambda \frac{\phi_y \psi_y}{v^5} + \lambda \frac{v_y^2 \psi}{2v^6} \right. \\
& \left. - \nu \left(\frac{\theta_y}{v} - \frac{\Theta_y^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right) \frac{\zeta}{\theta} \right)_y + P^{CD} U_y^{CD} \left(\gamma \Phi\left(\frac{v}{V^{CD}}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{\theta V^{CD}}{v \Theta^{CD}}\right) \right) \\
& = \left(\nu \left(\frac{\Theta_y^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right)_y + \frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{V^{CD}} + R_2 \right) \left((\gamma - 1) \Phi\left(\frac{v}{V^{CD}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\Theta^{CD}}{\theta}\right) \right) \\
& + \frac{U_y^{CD}}{v V^{CD}} \phi \psi_y + \nu \frac{\Theta_y^{CD}}{v \theta^2} \zeta \zeta_y + \nu \frac{\Theta^{CD} \Theta_y^{CD}}{v \theta^2 V^{CD}} \phi \zeta_y - \nu \frac{(\Theta_y^{CD})^2}{v \theta^2 V^{CD}} \phi \zeta \\
& + \frac{2U_y^{CD}}{v \theta} \zeta \psi_y - \frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{v \theta V^{CD}} \phi \zeta - \lambda \frac{5U_y^{CD}}{2v^6} \phi_y^2 + \lambda \frac{V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^5} \psi_y - \lambda \frac{5(V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6} \psi_y \\
& + \lambda (\psi_y + U_y) \left(\frac{5(\phi_y + V_y)^2}{2v^6} - \frac{\phi_{yy} + V_{yy}}{v^5} \right) \frac{\zeta}{\theta} - R_1 \psi - R_2 \frac{\zeta}{\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here we used

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \right)_y \psi &= \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \psi \right)_y + \left(\frac{v_y}{v^3} \right)_y \frac{\psi_y}{v^2} - 2 \left(\frac{v_y}{v^3} \right)_y \frac{\psi v_y}{v^3} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \psi + \frac{v_y \psi_y}{v^5} - 2 \frac{\psi v_y^2}{v^6} \right)_y - \frac{(\phi + V^{CD})_y \psi_{yy}}{v^5} \\
&\quad + \frac{4(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})^2 \psi_y}{v^6} + 2 \frac{\psi v_y v_{yy}}{v^6} - \frac{6 \psi v_y^3}{v^7} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \psi + \frac{v_y \psi_y}{v^5} - 2 \frac{\psi v_y^2}{v^6} - \frac{V_y^{CD} \psi_y}{v^5} + \frac{v_y^2 \psi}{v^6} \right)_y - \left(\frac{\phi_y^2}{2v^5} \right)_\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{5 \phi_y^2 U_y^{CD}}{2v^6} + \frac{V_{yy}^{CD} \psi_y}{v^5} - \frac{5 \psi_y (V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6}
\end{aligned}$$

with the help of (2.1)₁. Moreover, there exists a positive constant C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1(s - t)^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) \leq C_2(s - t)^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \leq C \epsilon^{1/2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Integrating (3.2) with respect to τ and y over $[\tau_0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\tau \leq \tau_2$) and using (1.3), (3.1) and (3.3) shows that

$$\|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left\| \sqrt{U_y^{CD}}(\phi, \zeta) \right\|^2 d\tau + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\psi_y, \zeta_y)\|^2 d\tau \leq C \sum_{i=1}^6 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_i dy d\tau, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= |U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \zeta| + |U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2 \zeta| + |R_1 \psi| + |R_2 \zeta|, \\
H_2 &= (|\Theta_{yy}^{CD}| + |\Theta_y^{CD} V_y^{CD}| + (U_y^{CD})^2 + |U_y^{CD}|)(\phi^2 + \zeta^2) \\
&\quad + |(\Theta_y^{CD})^2 \phi \zeta| + |(U_y^{CD})^2 \phi \zeta| + |R_2|(\phi^2 + \zeta^2), \\
H_3 &= |U_y^{CD} \phi \psi_y| + |\Theta_y^{CD} \zeta \zeta_y| + |\Theta_y^{CD} \phi \zeta_y| + |U_y^{CD} \zeta \psi_y| + |(V_y^{CD})^2 \zeta \psi_y| \\
&\quad + |U_y^{CD} V_y^{CD} \zeta \phi_y| + |V_{yy}^{CD} \zeta \psi_y| + |U_y^{CD} \zeta \phi_{yy}|, \\
H_4 &= |V_{yy}^{CD} \psi_y| + |(V_y^{CD})^2 \psi_y| + |U_y^{CD} \phi_y^2|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$H_5 = |V_y^{CD} \zeta \phi_y \psi_y| + |U_y^{CD} \zeta \phi_y^2|,$$

$$H_6 = |\zeta \psi_y \phi_{yy}| + |\zeta \psi_y \phi_y^2|.$$

Now let us estimate each term on the right hand side of (3.5). First, we employ Young inequality and (2.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \zeta| \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta|^2 \, dy \, d\tau + \epsilon^{-1} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}|^2 \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta|^2 \, dy \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\epsilon e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \cdot \epsilon e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau})^2 \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^3 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{4c_0 y^2}{\tau}} \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^3 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \tau^{1/2} \, d\tau \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Similarly, estimating the rest terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 \, dy \, d\tau$, we have

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 \, dy \, d\tau \leq \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2) \, d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon^{3/2}). \quad (3.7)$$

Next, utilizing Young inequality, (2.3) and $e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \leq 1$, one gets

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Theta_y^{CD})^2 \phi \zeta| \, dy \, d\tau \leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\epsilon^{1/2} e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau})^2 (\phi^2 + \zeta^2) \, dy \, d\tau \leq C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2) \, d\tau. \quad (3.8)$$

In the same way, we can deal with the remainder terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_2 \, dy \, d\tau$ and the terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_3 \, dy \, d\tau$ to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_2 \, dy \, d\tau \leq C(\epsilon + \epsilon^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi\|^2 + \|\zeta\|^2) \, d\tau, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_3 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^2 \, d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2}) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\quad + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\quad + C\epsilon^{3/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

With (3.6) and (3.8) in hand, it is easy to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_4 \, dy \, d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, using (2.3), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (2.4) and Young inequality, and noting $e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \leq 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_5 dy d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\epsilon^{1/2} e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \zeta \phi_y \psi_y| + |\epsilon e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \zeta \phi_y^2|) dy d\tau \\
 &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\epsilon^{1/2} \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_y\| \|\psi_y\| + \epsilon \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_y\|^2) d\tau \\
 &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\epsilon^{1/2} \|\zeta\|_1 \|\phi_y\| \|\psi_y\| + \epsilon \|\zeta\|_1 \|\phi_y\|^2) d\tau \\
 &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\epsilon^{1/2} \eta_1 \|\phi_y\| \|\psi_y\| + \epsilon \eta_1 \|\phi_y\|^2) d\tau \\
 &\leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \eta_1 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \eta_1 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Finally, it follows from Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, Young inequality and (2.4), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_6 dy d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\psi_y\| \|\zeta\| + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\psi_y\|) d\tau \\
 &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\psi_y\| \|\zeta\| + \|\zeta\|_1 \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\psi_y\|) d\tau \\
 &\leq C(\eta_1 + \eta_1^2) \left(\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 d\tau + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, substituting the estimates of (3.7), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) into (3.5) and noting that ϵ and η_1 are suitably small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left\| \sqrt{U_y^{CD}}(\phi, \zeta) \right\|^2 d\tau + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\psi_y, \zeta_y)\|^2 d\tau \\
 &\leq C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 d\tau \\
 &\quad + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon + \eta_1 + \eta_1^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau \\
 &\quad + C(\epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2} + (\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon)\eta_1) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon^{3/2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Next, we deal with the double integral of ϕ_y^2 and ϕ_{yy}^2 . We multiply (1.13)₂ by $\frac{\phi_y}{v}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\frac{\phi_y^2}{2v^2} - \psi \frac{\phi_y}{v} \right)_\tau + \left(\lambda \frac{\phi_y}{v^3} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y + \frac{\psi \psi_y}{v} \right)_y + \frac{R\theta}{v^3} \phi_y^2 + \lambda \frac{\phi_{yy}^2}{v^6} \\
 &= \frac{\psi_y^2}{v} + R \frac{\zeta_y \phi_y}{v^2} - \frac{\psi \psi_y V_y^{CD}}{v^2} + \frac{\psi \phi_y U_y^{CD}}{v^2} + \left(\frac{R}{v} - \frac{R}{V^{CD}} \right) \frac{\phi_y}{v} \Theta_y^{CD} \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{R\theta}{v^2} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2} \right) \frac{\phi_y}{v} V_y^{CD} + \frac{U_{yy}^{CD} \phi \phi_y}{v^2 V^{CD}} + \frac{V_y^{CD} \psi_y \phi_y}{v^3} \\
 &\quad - \frac{U_y^{CD} V_y^{CD} (v + V^{CD}) \phi \phi_y}{v^3 (V^{CD})^2} + \lambda \left(\frac{5\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy}}{v^7} - \frac{6\phi_y^4}{v^8} - \frac{V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_{yy}}{v^6} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2\phi_y^2 V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^7} + \frac{8\phi_y \phi_{yy} V_y^{CD}}{v^7} + \frac{2\phi_y V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^7} + \frac{3\phi_{yy} (V_y^{CD})^2}{v^7} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{18\phi_y^3 V_y^{CD}}{v^8} - \frac{18\phi_y^2 (V_y^{CD})^2}{v^8} - \frac{6\phi_y (V_y^{CD})^3}{v^8} \right) + R_1 \frac{\phi_y}{v}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Here we also use that

$$\left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \right)_y \frac{\phi_y}{v^2} = \left(\frac{\phi_y}{v^3} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \right)_y - \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \left(\frac{\phi_y}{v^2} \right)_y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{\phi_y}{v^3} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \right)_y + \left(\frac{v_y}{v^3} \right)_y \left(\frac{\phi_{yy}}{v^3} - \frac{2\phi_y v_y}{v^4} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\phi_y}{v^3} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \right)_y \right)_y + \frac{\phi_{yy}^2}{v^6} + \frac{V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_{yy}}{v^6} - \frac{5\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy}}{v^7} - \frac{2\phi_y^2 V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^7} \\
&\quad - \frac{8\phi_y \phi_{yy} V_y^{CD}}{v^7} - \frac{2\phi_y V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^7} - \frac{3\phi_{yy} (V_y^{CD})^2}{v^7} + \frac{6\phi_y^4}{v^8} \\
&\quad + \frac{18\phi_y^3 V_y^{CD}}{v^8} + \frac{18\phi_y^2 (V_y^{CD})^2}{v^8} + \frac{6\phi_y (V_y^{CD})^3}{v^8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then integrating (3.15) with respect to τ and y over $[\tau_0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\tau \leq \tau_2$) and using (1.3) and (3.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_y(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_y\|^2 + \|\phi_{yy}\|^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C(\|\psi(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^5 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_i dy d\tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Here we used the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\psi \frac{\phi_y}{v} \right)(\tau) dy \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_y^2(\tau) dy + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi^2(\tau) dy,$$

and I_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= |\zeta_y \phi_y|, \quad I_2 = \phi_y^4 + \phi_y^2 |\phi_{yy}|, \\
I_3 &= |V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_{yy}| + |V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_y| + |(V_y^{CD})^2 \phi_{yy}| + |(V_y^{CD})^3 \phi_y| + |R_1 \phi_y|, \\
I_4 &= |V_y^{CD} \psi \psi_y| + |U_y^{CD} \psi \phi_y| + |\Theta_y^{CD} \phi \phi_y| + |V_y^{CD} (\zeta + \phi) \phi_y| + |U_{yy}^{CD} \phi \phi_y| + |U_y^{CD} V_y^{CD} \phi \phi_y|, \\
I_5 &= |V_y^{CD} \psi_y \phi_y| + |V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_y^2| + |V_y^{CD} \phi_y \phi_{yy}| + |(V_y^{CD})^2 \phi_y^2| + |V_y^{CD} \phi_y^3|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate each term on the right-hand side of (3.16). First, using Hölder inequality and Young inequality, it is easy to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_1 dy d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 d\tau. \tag{3.17}$$

By Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, Young inequality and (2.4), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_2 dy d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| d\tau \\
&\leq C(\eta_1 + \eta_1^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C\eta_1 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover, as (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_3 dy d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + \epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2}, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_4 dy d\tau &\leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2}) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi\|^2 + \|\phi_y\|^2) d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi\|^2 d\tau \\
&\quad + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\zeta\|^2 + \|\psi_y\|^2) d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Finally, similar to (3.8) and (3.12), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_5 dy d\tau \\
&\leq C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{1/2}\eta_1) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Then substituting estimates (3.17)-(3.21) into (3.16) and noting that ϵ and η_1 are suitably small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi_y(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_y\|^2 + \|\phi_{yy}\|^2) d\tau \\ & \leq C(\|\psi(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_y\|^2 + \|\zeta_y\|^2) d\tau + (\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2}) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^2 d\tau \\ & \quad + (\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi\|^2 d\tau + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta\|^2 d\tau + \epsilon^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.14) and the small behavior of ϵ and η_1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \\ & \leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^2) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\phi, \zeta)\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon^{3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Then we can conclude from (3.22) by using the classical Gronwall inequality for $\tau \in [\tau_0, \tau_2]$ and the smallness of ϵ ,

$$\|(\phi, \psi, \zeta, \phi_y)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \leq C\epsilon^{1/2},$$

which is (3.4) in Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof. $\square$

Next, we derive the derivative estimate. For this, let us multiply (1.13)₂ by $-\psi_{yy}$ and $\partial_y(1.13)_3$ by $\frac{\zeta_y}{\Theta^{CD}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2}\psi_y^2 + \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{2(V^{CD})^2}\phi_y^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2v^5}\phi_{yy}^2\right)_\tau + \frac{\psi_{yy}^2}{v} + \left(\frac{U_y^{CD}\psi_y}{v} - \psi_\tau\psi_y - (P_{vy}^{CD}\phi\right. \\ & \quad + P_{\theta y}^{CD}\zeta)\psi_y - \frac{U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}\psi_y}{v^2} - \frac{U_{yy}^{CD}\psi_y}{V^{CD}} + \frac{U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}\psi_y}{(V^{CD})^2} + \lambda\left(\frac{\psi_{yy}}{v^2}\left(\frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_y\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\psi_{yy}V_y^{CD}}{v^5} - \frac{3\psi_{yy}v_y^2}{v^6} + \frac{10V_y^{CD}V_{yy}^{CD}\psi_y}{v^6} - \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^3\psi_y}{v^7} - \frac{V_{yyy}^{CD}\psi_y}{v^5}\right)_y \\ & = \left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}} + \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2}\phi - \frac{R}{V^{CD}}\zeta\right)_y\psi_{yy} + \left(\left(\frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2}\right)_y\phi - \left(\frac{R}{V^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta\right)_y\psi_y \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2}\right)_\tau\phi_y^2 + \frac{R}{V^{CD}}\zeta_y\psi_{yy} + \frac{\psi_y\phi_y\psi_{yy}}{v^2} + \frac{\psi_y\psi_{yy}V_y^{CD}}{v^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{\phi_y\psi_{yy}U_y^{CD}}{v^2} + \left(\frac{U_{yy}^{CD}}{v} - \frac{U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}}{v^2}\right)_y\psi_y - \frac{5\lambda}{2v^6}\phi_{yy}^2(\psi_y + U_y^{CD}) \\ & \quad - \lambda\left(\frac{5(\phi_y\phi_{yy} + 2\phi_yV_{yy}^{CD} + \phi_{yy}V_y^{CD})}{v^6} - \frac{15(\phi_y^3 + 3\phi_y^2V_y^{CD} + 3\phi_y(V_y^{CD})^2)}{v^7}\right)_y\psi_{yy} \\ & \quad + \lambda\left(\frac{10V_y^{CD}V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^6} - \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^3}{v^7} - \frac{V_{yyy}^{CD}}{v^5}\right)_y\psi_y - \left(\frac{U_{yy}^{CD}}{V^{CD}} - \frac{U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2}\right)_y\psi_y + R_1\psi_{yy}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\zeta_y^2}{2\Theta^{CD}}\right)_\tau + \frac{\nu}{v\Theta^{CD}}\zeta_{yy}^2 + \left(\frac{R\theta}{v\Theta^{CD}}\psi_y\zeta_y - \frac{R}{v}\psi_y\zeta_y - \frac{\nu\zeta_y}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{\theta_y}{v}\right)_y\right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\nu(\Theta_{yy}^{CD}v - \Theta_y^{CD}v_y)}{v^2\Theta^{CD}}\zeta_y - \frac{u_y^2\zeta_y}{v\Theta^{CD}} + \frac{(U_y^{CD})^2\zeta_y}{v\Theta^{CD}} - \frac{\lambda\zeta_yu_y}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{5v_y^2}{2v^6} - \frac{v_{yy}}{v^5}\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\lambda\zeta_yU_y^{CD}}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{5(V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6} - \frac{V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^5}\right) + R_2\frac{\zeta_y}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y \\ & = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_\tau\zeta_y^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\psi_y\zeta_y\left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right) + \frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\psi_y\zeta_{yy}\left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\psi_y\zeta_y\left(\frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)_y - \frac{\zeta_y U_y^{CD}}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)_y - \left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)\frac{\zeta_y U_{yy}^{CD}}{\Theta^{CD}} \\
& + \frac{\nu}{\Theta^{CD}}\zeta_{yy}\frac{\zeta_y\phi_y + \zeta_y V_y^{CD} + \phi_y\Theta_y^{CD}}{v^2} + \left(\nu\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\frac{\Theta_{yy}^{CD}v - \Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}}{v^2}\right)_y\zeta_y \\
& - \nu\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y\frac{(\zeta_{yy}v - \zeta_y\phi_y) - (\zeta_y V_y^{CD} + \phi_y\Theta_y^{CD}) + (\Theta_{yy}^{CD}v - \Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD})}{v^2} \\
& + \nu\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y\frac{\Theta_{yy}^{CD}V^{CD} - \Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2} - \left(\nu\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\frac{\Theta_{yy}^{CD}V^{CD} - \Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}}{(V^{CD})^2}\right)_y\zeta_y \\
& - \frac{(\psi_y + U_y^{CD})^2}{v}\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y + \frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{V^{CD}}\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y - \frac{\psi_y^2 + 2\psi_y U_y^{CD}}{v\Theta^{CD}}\zeta_{yy} \\
& - \lambda(\psi_y + U_y^{CD})\left(\frac{5(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6} - \frac{\phi_{yy} + V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y \\
& - \left(\frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{V^{CD}\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y - \frac{\lambda\zeta_{yy}\psi_y}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{5(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6} - \frac{\phi_{yy} + V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^5}\right) \\
& + \lambda\left(\left(\frac{5(V_y^{CD})^2}{2v^6} - \frac{V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^5}\right)\frac{U_y^{CD}}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y - \frac{R}{V^{CD}}\psi_{yy}\zeta_y + \left(\frac{(U_y^{CD})^2}{v\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y\zeta_y \\
& - \frac{\lambda\zeta_{yy}U_y^{CD}}{\Theta^{CD}}\left(\frac{5(\phi_y^2 + 2\phi_y V_y^{CD})}{2v^6} - \frac{\phi_{yy}}{v^5}\right) + R_2\left(\frac{\zeta_y}{\Theta^{CD}}\right)_y. \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\psi_{yy}, \zeta_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta_1^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Taking a suitable linear combination of (3.23)-(3.24) and integrating the resultant equation with respect to τ and y over $[\tau_0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\tau \leq \tau_2$), we obtain

$$\|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y, \phi_{yy})(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\psi_{yy}, \zeta_{yy})\|^2 d\tau \leq C \sum_{i=1}^6 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_i dy d\tau, \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= |\phi\phi_y\psi_{yy}| + |\zeta\zeta_y\psi_{yy}| + |\zeta\psi_y\zeta_{yy}| + |\phi\psi_y\zeta_{yy}| + |\zeta_y\phi_y\zeta_{yy}| + |\phi_y\psi_y\psi_{yy}| \\
&\quad + |\psi_y^2\zeta_{yy}| + |\psi_y\phi_{yy}\zeta_{yy}| + |\phi_y^3\psi_{yy}| + |\psi_y\phi_y^2\zeta_{yy}|, \\
J_2 &= |\phi_y\psi_y(\Theta_y^{CD} + V_y^{CD})| + |\zeta_y\psi_y(V_y^{CD} + \Theta_y^{CD})| + |\phi_y^2U_y^{CD}| + |\zeta_y^2U_y^{CD}| \\
&\quad + |\zeta_y\phi_yU_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y\psi_y(U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD} + U_{yy}^{CD})| + |\zeta_y^2\Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}| \\
&\quad + |\zeta_y\phi_y((\Theta_y^{CD})^2 + \Theta_{yy}^{CD} + \Theta_y^{CD}V_y^{CD} + (U_y^{CD})^2)| + |\psi_y\zeta_yU_y^{CD}\Theta_y^{CD}| \\
&\quad + |\phi_y\psi_y(V_y^{CD}V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^3 + V_{yyy}^{CD})| + |\psi_y\zeta_y\Theta_y^{CD}((V_y^{CD})^2 + V_{yy}^{CD})| \\
&\quad + |\phi_y\zeta_y(U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}\Theta_y^{CD} + U_y^{CD}(V_y^{CD})^2 + U_y^{CD}V_{yy}^{CD})|, \\
J_3 &= |\phi\psi_y(V_y^{CD}\Theta_y^{CD} + \Theta_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2 + V_{yy}^{CD})| + |\zeta\psi_y((V_y^{CD})^2 + V_{yy}^{CD})| \\
&\quad + |(\phi + \zeta)\zeta_yU_{yy}^{CD}| + |\phi\psi_y(V_y^{CD}U_{yy}^{CD} + U_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2U_y^{CD} + V_{yy}^{CD}U_y^{CD})| \\
&\quad + |\phi\zeta_y(\Theta_y^{CD}(\Theta_{yy}^{CD} + V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2 + (U_y^{CD})^2) + (\Theta_y^{CD})^2V_y^{CD} + \Theta_{yyy}^{CD} \\
&\quad + \Theta_{yy}^{CD}V_y^{CD} + V_y^{CD}(U_y^{CD})^2 + U_y^{CD}U_{yy}^{CD})|, \\
J_4 &= |\phi_y\psi_{yy}U_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y\psi_{yy}(V_y^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2)| + |\zeta_y\zeta_{yy}(\Theta_y^{CD} + V_y^{CD})| \\
&\quad + |\phi_y\zeta_{yy}\Theta_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y\zeta_{yy}U_y^{CD}| + |\phi_{yy}\zeta_yU_y^{CD}\Theta_y^{CD}| + |\zeta_{yy}\psi_y((V_y^{CD})^2 + V_{yy}^{CD})| \\
&\quad + |\phi_y\zeta_{yy}U_y^{CD}V_y^{CD}| + |\zeta_y\phi_{yyy}V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_{yy}\zeta_{yy}U_y^{CD}|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 &= |\psi_y((V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_{yy}^{CD})^2 + V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^4 + V_{yyy}^{CD})| + |R_1 \psi_{yy}| \\
&\quad + |\zeta_y(U_y^{CD}((V_y^{CD})^2 \Theta_y^{CD} + V_{yy}^{CD} \Theta_y^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^3 + V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + V_{yyy}^{CD}) \\
&\quad + U_{yy}^{CD}(V_y^{CD})^2 + U_{yy}^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD})| + |R_2 \zeta_{yy}| + |R_2 \Theta_y^{CD} \zeta_y|, \\
J_6 &= |\phi_y^2 \psi_{yy} V_y^{CD}| + |\zeta_y^2 \phi_y \Theta_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y^2 \zeta_y \Theta_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^2 \zeta_{yy} U_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^2 \zeta_y U_y^{CD} \Theta_y^{CD}| \\
&\quad + |\phi_y^2 \psi_y \zeta_y \Theta_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y \phi_{yy} \zeta_y \Theta_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y \phi_y \zeta_y V_y^{CD} \Theta_y^{CD}| + |\zeta_{yy} \psi_y \phi_y V_y^{CD}| \\
&\quad + |(\zeta_y + \phi_y) \psi_y \zeta_y \Theta_y^{CD}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us estimate each term on the right-hand side of (3.26). First, using Young inequality, Sobolev inequality (2.4) and (3.4), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\zeta \psi_y \zeta_{yy}| + |\zeta_y \phi_y \zeta_{yy}|) \, dy \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\psi_y\|^2 + \|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\zeta_y\|^2) \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\zeta\| \|\zeta_y\| \|\psi_y\|^2 + \|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\zeta_y\|^2) \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta\| \|\zeta_y\| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + \frac{1}{16} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta\|^2 \left(\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{48} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \left(\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 \, d\tau \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + \frac{1}{16} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + \frac{1}{48} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + C\epsilon^{3/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\psi_y^2 \zeta_{yy}| + |\psi_y \phi_{yy} \zeta_{yy}|) \, dy \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{yy}^2 \, dy \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\phi_{yy}\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_y\| \|\psi_{yy}\| + \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\phi_{yyy}\|) \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + \frac{1}{88} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \|\psi_y\|^4 \, d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2) \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + \frac{1}{88} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \eta_1^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\quad + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \, d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 \, d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + \frac{1}{88} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \eta_1^2 \epsilon^{1/2} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \\
&\quad + C \epsilon^{1/2} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + C \eta_1^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 \, d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can deal with the remainder terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_1 \, dy \, d\tau$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_1 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2) \, d\tau + C\eta_1^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{8} + C\eta_1^2 \epsilon^{1/2}\right) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{8} + C\epsilon^{1/2}\right) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + C\eta_1^2 \epsilon^{1/2} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + C\epsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Next, employing (3.4) and using a similar process to (3.8), we have

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_2 \, dy \, d\tau \leq C \left(\epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^2 + \epsilon^{5/2} \right). \quad (3.29)$$

By (2.3), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, Young inequality and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |V_y^{CD} \Theta_y^{CD} \phi \psi_y| \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\epsilon e^{-\frac{2c_0 y^2}{\tau}} \phi \psi_y| \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon \|\phi\| \|\psi_y\|_{L^4} \tau^{1/8} \, d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon \|\phi\| \|\psi_y\|^{1/4} \|\psi_{yy}\|^{3/4} \tau^{1/8} \, d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^{8/5} \|\psi_y\|^{2/5} \epsilon^{8/5} \tau^{1/5} \, d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^2 \epsilon^{11/8} \tau^{5/24} \, d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \epsilon^{5/2} \tau^{1/6} \, d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi\|^2 \epsilon^{\frac{11}{8}} \epsilon^{-29/24} + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \epsilon^{5/2} \epsilon^{-7/6} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \epsilon^{1/6} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi\|^2 + C \epsilon^{4/3} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C \epsilon^{4/3} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + C \epsilon^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

We can similarly estimate the other terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_3 \, dy \, d\tau$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_3 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2) \, d\tau + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\quad + C\epsilon^{17/6} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + C(\epsilon^{4/3} + \epsilon^{13/3}) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \\ &\quad + C\epsilon^{17/6} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 + C(\epsilon^{2/3} + \epsilon^{\frac{31}{24}} + \epsilon^{23/12} + \epsilon^{61/24}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Moreover, utilizing (2.3), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality and Young inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_y^{CD} \phi_y \psi_{yy}| \, dy \, d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\epsilon e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \phi_y \psi_{yy}| \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon \|\psi_{yy}\| \|\phi_y\|_{L^4} \tau^{1/8} \, d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon \|\psi_{yy}\| \|\phi_y\|^{1/4} \|\phi_{yy}\|^{3/4} \tau^{1/8} \, d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^{1/2} \|\phi_{yy}\|^{3/2} \tau^{1/4} \, d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{64} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon^5 \|\phi_y\|^2 \tau d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{64} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^3 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we estimate the other terms in $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_4 dy d\tau$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_4 dy d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2) d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon^{1/4} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon^3 + \epsilon^7) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \\
&\quad + C\epsilon^6 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + C\epsilon^3 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon + \epsilon^2 + \epsilon^6) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

With (2.3), Hölder inequality and Young inequality in hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD} \psi_y| + |R_1 \psi_{yy}|) dy d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\epsilon^2 e^{-\frac{3c_0 y^2}{\tau}} \psi_y| + |\epsilon^{3/2} e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \psi_{yy}|) dy d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \epsilon^2 \|\psi_y\| \tau^{1/4} d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^3 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{2c_0 y^2}{\tau}} dy d\tau \\
&\leq C\epsilon^2 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \tau^{1/4} d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^3 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \tau^{1/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C\epsilon^{3/4} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\| + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{3/2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_5 dy d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2) d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + C(\epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^{5/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

By (2.3), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, Young inequality and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_y^{CD} \phi_y^2 \zeta_{yy}| dy d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\epsilon e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} \phi_y^2 \zeta_{yy}| dy d\tau \\
&\leq C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\zeta_{yy}\| \tau^{1/4} d\tau \\
&\leq C\epsilon \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| \|\zeta_{yy}\| \tau^{1/4} d\tau \\
&\leq \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{3/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \tau^{1/2} d\tau \\
&\leq \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \\
&\leq \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Noting $e^{-\frac{nc_0 y^2}{\tau}} \leq 1$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, similar to (3.28) and (3.33), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_6 dy d\tau &\leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon + \epsilon^2) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{8} + C\epsilon^{1/2}\right) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2}) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon^{1/4} \eta_1^2 + \epsilon) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{3/2}) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Substituting these estimates (3.28)-(3.32) and (3.34) into (3.26) and noting that ϵ and η_1 are suitably small that we can prove (3.25) for $\tau \in [\tau_0, \tau_2]$ in Lemma 3.2. This completes the proof. $\square$

Finally, we deal with the estimations for $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 d\tau$ and $\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 d\tau$. To this end, we multiply ∂_y (1.13)₂ by $\frac{\phi_{yy}}{v}$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\phi_{yy}^2}{2v^2} - \frac{\psi_y \phi_{yy}}{v}\right)_{\tau} + \frac{\lambda}{v^6} \phi_{yyy}^2 - \frac{1}{v} \psi_{yy}^2 + \frac{R\theta}{v^3} \phi_{yy}^2 - \frac{R}{v^2} \zeta_{yy} \phi_{yy} \\ &+ \left(\frac{U_{yyy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v^2} - \frac{2U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD} \phi_y}{v^3} - \frac{U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v^3} + \frac{2U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2 \phi_y}{v^4}\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \frac{U_{yyy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v V^{CD}} + \frac{2U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD} \phi_y}{v (V^{CD})^2} + \frac{U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v (V^{CD})^2} - \frac{2U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2 \phi_y}{v (V^{CD})^3}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \lambda \left(\frac{\phi_{yy}}{v^2} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_y\right)_y\right)_y + \frac{V_{yyy}^{CD} \phi_{yy}}{v^6} - \frac{10V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_{yy}}{v^7} + \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^3 \phi_{yy}}{v^8}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \frac{V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v^7} + \frac{10(V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD} \phi_y}{v^8} - \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^4 \phi_y}{v^9}\right) + \frac{\psi_y \psi_{yy}}{v} \\ &\quad \left.- \left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}}\right)_y \frac{\phi_{yy}}{v} - \frac{R\theta \phi_y \phi_{yy}}{v^3} + \frac{R\zeta_y \phi_{yy}}{v^2} - R_1 \frac{\phi_{yy}}{v}\right)_y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{v^2}\right)_{\tau} \phi_{yy}^2 + \left(\frac{U_{yyy}^{CD}}{v^2} - \frac{2U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD}}{v^3} - \frac{U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^3} + \frac{2U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2}{v^4}\right)_y \phi_y \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{U_{yyy}^{CD}}{v V^{CD}} - \frac{2U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD}}{v (V^{CD})^2} - \frac{U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v (V^{CD})^2} + \frac{2U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2}{v (V^{CD})^3}\right)_y \phi_y \\ &\quad + \frac{2\phi_{yy}(\phi_y(\psi_{yy} + U_y^{CD}) + \psi_{yy} V_y^{CD})}{v^3} + \frac{(\psi_y + U_y^{CD})\phi_{yy}^2 + \psi_y \phi_{yy} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\psi_y(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})^2 \phi_{yy} + 2U_y^{CD}(\phi_y^2 + 2\phi_y V_y^{CD})\phi_{yy}}{v^4} \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(\frac{V_{yyy}^{CD}}{v^6} - \frac{10V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^7} + \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^3}{v^8}\right)_y \phi_{yy} \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(\frac{V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD}}{v^7} - \frac{10(V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^8} + \frac{15(V_y^{CD})^4}{v^9}\right)_y \phi_y \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{v} \left(\frac{10(V_y^{CD} + \phi_y)\phi_{yy} + 10\phi_y V_{yy}^{CD}}{v^6}\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \frac{15(\phi_y^3 + 3\phi_y^2 V_y^{CD} + 3\phi_y (V_y^{CD})^2)}{v^7}\right)_y \phi_{yyy} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{v^2} \left(\frac{\phi_{yyy} + V_{yyy}^{CD}}{v^5} - \frac{10(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})(\phi_{yy} + V_{yy}^{CD})}{v^6}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{15(\phi_y + V_y^{CD})^3}{v^7}\right)_y \phi_y \phi_{yy} - \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_{\tau} \psi_y \phi_{yy} + \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)_y \psi_y \psi_{yy} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda V_y^{CD}}{v^2} \left(\frac{\phi_{yyy}}{v^5} - \frac{10(\phi_y \phi_{yy} + \phi_y V_{yy}^{CD} + \phi_{yy})}{v^6}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{15(\phi_y^3 + 3\phi_y^2 V_y^{CD} + 3\phi_y (V_y^{CD})^2)}{v^7} \phi_{yy} + \frac{R}{v^2 V^{CD}} \phi \Theta_y^{CD} \phi_{yyy} \\
& - \left(\frac{R\theta}{v} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}}{V^{CD}} \right)_y \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)_y \phi_{yy} - \left(\left(\frac{R\theta}{v^3} \right)_y \phi_y - \left(\frac{R}{v^2} \right)_y \zeta_y \right) \phi_{yy} \\
& + \frac{R}{v^3} \zeta \phi_{yyy} V_y^{CD} - \frac{R\Theta^{CD}(v + V^{CD})}{v^3 (V^{CD})^2} \phi \phi_{yyy} V_y^{CD} - R_1 \left(\frac{\phi_{yy}}{v} \right)_y.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|\phi_{yy}(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\phi_{yy}, \phi_{yyy})\|^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \|(\phi_y, \psi_y, \zeta_y)(\tau)\|^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_2} \|(\psi_{yy}, \zeta_{yy})\|^2 d\tau + C\epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Proof. Integrating (3.35) with respect to τ and y over $[\tau_0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\tau \leq \tau_2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi_{yy}(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\phi_{yy}, \phi_{yyy})\|^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C \left(\|\psi_y(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|(\psi_{yy}, \zeta_{yy})\|^2 d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^7 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_i dy d\tau \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= |\phi_y \phi_{yy} \psi_{yy}| + |\phi_y \phi_{yy} \phi_{yyy}| + |\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy}| + |\phi_y \zeta_y \phi_{yy}| + |\phi_y \psi_y \psi_{yy}| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y^2 \psi_y| + |\psi_y^2 \phi_{yy}| + |\phi_y^3 \phi_{yyy}| + |\psi_y \phi_y^2 \phi_{yy}| + |\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy}^2| + |\phi_y^4 \phi_{yy}|, \\
K_2 &= |\phi_y^2 (U_{yyy}^{CD} + U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD} + U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2)| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y^2 (V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^4)|, \\
K_3 &= |\phi \phi_y (V_y^{CD} (U_{yyy}^{CD} + U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2) + (V_y^{CD})^2 U_{yy}^{CD} + U_{yyy}^{CD} \\
& \quad + U_{yy}^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + U_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD})|, \\
K_4 &= |\psi_y \psi_{yy} V_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y \phi_{yy} (V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2)| + |\phi_y \phi_{yy} (U_y^{CD} V_y^{CD} + U_{yy}^{CD})| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y \phi_{yy} (V_{yyy}^{CD} + V_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^3)| + |\phi_y \phi_{yyy} (V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2)| \\
& \quad + |\phi_{yy} \phi_{yyy} V_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y \phi_{yy} U_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y \phi_{yy} (V_y^{CD} + \Theta_y^{CD})| \\
& \quad + |\zeta_y \phi_{yy} V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_{yy} \psi_{yy} V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^2 U_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^2 (V_y^{CD})^2| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y \phi_{yyy} (V_y^{CD} + \Theta_y^{CD})| + |R_1 \phi_y \phi_{yy}|, \\
K_5 &= |\phi_{yy} (V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^4 + V_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_{yy}^{CD})^2)| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y ((V_y^{CD})^2 V_{yyy}^{CD} + V_y^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} + V_{yy}^{CD} V_{yyy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^3 V_{yy}^{CD} \\
& \quad + V_y^{CD} (V_{yy}^{CD})^2 + (V_y^{CD})^5)| + |R_1 \phi_{yyy}| + |R_1 V_y^{CD} \phi_{yy}|, \\
K_6 &= |\phi_y^2 \phi_{yyy} V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y \phi_{yy}^2 V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy} (V_{yy}^{CD} + (V_y^{CD})^2)| \\
& \quad + |\phi_y^2 \phi_{yy} U_y^{CD}| + |\psi_y \phi_y \phi_{yy} V_y^{CD}| + |\phi_y^3 \phi_{yy} V_y^{CD}|, \\
K_7 &= |\phi \phi_y^2 (U_{yyy}^{CD} + U_{yy}^{CD} V_y^{CD} + U_y^{CD} V_{yy}^{CD} + U_y^{CD} (V_y^{CD})^2)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, similar to (3.28)-(3.32) and (3.34), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1 dy d\tau \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2) d\tau \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{1}{8} + C\eta_1^4 \epsilon^{1/2} \right) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \\
& \quad + C\eta_1^2 \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 + \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 \right) + C\epsilon^{3/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_2 \, dy \, d\tau \leq C\epsilon^{5/2}, \quad (3.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_3 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C(\epsilon^{\frac{17}{6}} + \epsilon^{\frac{35}{6}}) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon^{\frac{31}{24}} + \epsilon^{\frac{61}{24}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_4 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} (\|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 + \|\zeta_{yy}\|^2 + \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2) \, d\tau \\ &\quad + C\epsilon^2 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\zeta_y\|^2 + C(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^6 + \epsilon^{10}) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^6) \left(\sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\psi_y\|^2 + \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_5 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 \, d\tau + \frac{1}{8} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|(\phi_y, \phi_{yy})\|^2 \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon^{3/2} + \epsilon^{5/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_6 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon + \epsilon^{1/2}\eta_1) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\psi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\quad + C\epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yyy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C(\epsilon^{1/2} + \epsilon) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 + C(\epsilon + \epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

It follows from (2.3), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, Young inequality and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_7 \, dy \, d\tau &\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\epsilon^2 (e^{-c_0 y^2/\tau} + e^{-\frac{2c_0 y^2}{\tau}} + e^{-\frac{3c_0 y^2}{\tau}}) \phi \phi_y^2| \, dy \, d\tau \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\| \tau^{1/4} \|\phi_y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \, d\tau \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\| \tau^{1/4} \|\phi_y\| \|\phi_{yy}\| \, d\tau \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi\|^2 \tau^{1/2} \|\phi_y\|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^2 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi\|^2 \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} \|\phi_y\|^2 \epsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C\epsilon^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \|\phi_{yy}\|^2 \, d\tau + C\epsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Substituting estimates (3.38)-(3.44) into (3.37) and noting that ϵ and η_1 are suitably small, we can prove (3.36) for $\tau \in [\tau_0, \tau_2]$ in Lemma 3.3. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The research was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12171258).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cai, Z. Tan, Q.-J. Xu; *Time periodic solutions of the non-isentropic compressible fluid models of Korteweg type*, Kinetic and Related Models, 8(2015), 29-51.
- [2] F. Charve, B. Haspot; *Existence of global strong solution and vanishing capillarity-viscosity limit in one dimension for the Korteweg system*, SIMA J. Math. Anal., 45(2014), 469-494.
- [3] Z.-Z. Chen, L. He, H.-J. Zhao; *Global smooth solutions to the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type with large initial data*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 68(2017), 37 pp.
- [4] Z.-Z. Chen, M.-D. Sheng; *Global stability of combination of a viscous contact wave with rarefaction waves for the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type*, Nonlinearity, 32(2019), 395-444.
- [5] Z.-Z. Chen, Q.-H. Xiao; *Nonlinear stability of viscous contact wave for the one-dimensional compressible fluid models of Korteweg type*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 36(2013), 2265-2279.

- [6] Z.-Z. Chen, L.-J. Xiong, Y.-J. Meng; *Convergence to the superposition of rarefaction waves and contact discontinuity for the 1-D compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 412(2014), 646-663.
- [7] Z.-Z. Chen, H.-J. Zhao; *Existence and nonlinear stability of stationary solutions to the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 101(2014), 330-371.
- [8] J.-E. Dunn, J. Serrin; *On the thermodynamics of interstitial working*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 88(1985), 95-133.
- [9] B.-R. Gao, Y.-P. Li, R. Yin; *Asymptotics toward rarefaction wave for an inflow problem of the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations*, Preprint, 2023.
- [10] J. Goodman, Z. Xin; *Viscous limits for piecewise smooth solutions to systems of conservation laws*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal., 121(1992), 235-265.
- [11] B. Haspot; *Existence of strong solutions for nonisothermal Korteweg system*, Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 16(2009), 431-481.
- [12] H. Hattori, D. Li; *The existence of global solutions to a fluid dynamic model for materials for Korteweg type*, J. Partial Differ. Equ., 9(1996), 323-342.
- [13] M. Heida, J. Málek; *On compressible Korteweg fluid-like materials*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci., 48(2010), 1313-1324.
- [14] D. Hoff, T.-P. Liu; *The inviscid limit for the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible, isentropic flow with shock data*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 38(1989), 861-915.
- [15] X.-F. Hou, H.-Y. Peng, C.-J. Zhu; *Global well-posedness of the 3D non-isothermal compressible fluid model of Korteweg type*, Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Applications, 43(2018), 18-53.
- [16] X.-F. Hou, L. Yao, C.-J. Zhu; *Vanishing capillarity limit of the compressible non-estropic Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system to the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 448(2017), 421-446.
- [17] D.-J. Korteweg; *Sur la forme que prennent les équations des mouvement des fluids si l'on tient compte des forces capillaires par des variations de densité*, Arch. Neerl. Sci. Exactes Nat. Ser. II, 6(1901), 1-24.
- [18] M. Kotschote; *Strong well-posedness for a Korteweg type for the dynamics of a compressible non-isothermal fluid*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 12(2010), 473-483.
- [19] M. Kotschote; *Dynamics of compressible non-isothermal fluids of non-Newtonian Korteweg type*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 44(2012), 74-101.
- [20] M. Kotschote; *Existence and time-asymptotics of global strong solutions to dynamic Korteweg models*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 63(2014), 21-51.
- [21] P.-D. Lax; *Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10(1957), 537-566.
- [22] Y.-P. Li, Z. Luo; *Zero-capillarity-viscosity limit to rarefaction waves for the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 39(2016), 5513-5528.
- [23] Y.-P. Li, P.-C. Zhu; *Zero-viscosity-capillarity limit to rarefaction wave with vacuum for the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations*, J. Math. Phys., 61(2020), 111501.
- [24] Y.-P. Li, Q.-W. Wu; *Stationary solutions to the one-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations in the half line*, J. Differential Equations, 261(2023), 5949-5991.
- [25] S.-X. Ma; *Zero dissipation limit to strong contact discontinuity for the 1-D compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Differential Equations, 248(2010), 95-110.
- [26] Y.-J. Qian, R. Yin, Y.-P. Li; *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equation in the half space*, Appl. Math. Letters, 137(2023), 108499.
- [27] J.-D. Van der Waals; *Thermodynamische Theorie der Kapillarität unter Voraussetzung stetiger Dichteänderung*, Z. Phys. Chem., 13(1894), 657-725.
- [28] W.-J. Wang, L. Yao; *Vanishing viscosity limit to rarefaction waves for the full compressible fluid models of Korteweg type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 13(2004), 2331-2350.
- [29] R. Yin, Y.-P. Li; *Zero-viscosity-capillarity limit to the planar rarefaction wave for the 2D compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations*, Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Applications, 68(2022), 103685.
- [30] R. Yin, Y.-P. Li, Y.-J. Qian; *Zero-viscosity-capillarity limit towards rarefaction wave for the full Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system of compressible fluids*, Math. Methods App. Sci., 46(2023), 9485-9507.
- [31] X. Zhang, Z. Tan; *Decay estimates of the non-isentropic compressible fluid models of Korteweg type in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Comm. Math. Sci., 12(2014), 1437-1456.

JIAXUE CHEN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANTONG UNIVERSITY, NANTONG 226019, CHINA

Email address: jxchen2025@163.com

YEPING LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANTONG UNIVERSITY, NANTONG 226019, CHINA

Email address: ypleemei@aliyun.com

RONG YIN (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANTONG UNIVERSITY, NANTONG 226019, CHINA

Email address: yin.r@ntu.edu.cn