16TH CONFERENCE ON APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIV. OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Conf. 07, 2001, pp. 99–102. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.swt.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.swt.edu (login: ftp)

Almost periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations with time delay *

Hushang Poorkarimi & Joseph Wiener

Abstract

The almost periodicity of bounded solutions is established for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation with piecewise continuous time delay. The equation represents a mathematical model for the dynamics of gas absorption.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in determining almost periodicity for a unique bounded solution of nonlinear hyperbolic equations with time delay. The initial value problem under investigation is the following:

$$u_{xt}(x,t) + a(x,t)u_x(x,t) = C(x,t,u(x,[t]))$$
(1)

$$u(0,t) = u_0(t),$$
 (2)

where a and C are defined in the domain $D: (0, l) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and [t] denotes the greatest integer function: [t] = n when $n \leq t < n + 1$, for an integer n. In this case the delay function is piecewise constant. The existence of a unique bounded solution of problem (1)-(2) has been discussed earlier [1].

Equation (1) with condition (2) under assumption

$$a(x,t) \ge m > 0$$
 in D

has a unique bounded solution via Volterra integral equation

$$u(x,t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\int_{\tau}^t a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta} C(\xi,\tau,u(\xi,n)) \, d\tau \, d\xi \tag{3}$$

Let us notice that, in case of periodicity, the period has to be the same for all the functions involved [2]. This result is based on the equivalence of (1)-(2) with integral equation (3), and it can be stated as the following assertion.

Theorem 1 If $u_0(t)$, a(x,t), and C(x,t,u(x,[t])) are periodic in t with period T, then the unique bounded solution of (3) is also periodic in t, with the same period T.

^{*} Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35B10, 35B15, 35J60, 35L70.

Key words: Nonlinear hyperbolic equation, time delay, almost periodic solution. ©2001 Southwest Texas State University.

Published July 20, 2001.

Proof From (3), one obtains

$$u(x,t+T) = u_0(t+T) + \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^{t+T} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{t+T} a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta} C(\xi,\tau,u(\xi,n)) \, d\tau \, d\xi.$$

Making the substitution $\tau = \eta + T$ and taking into account

$$\int_{\eta+T}^{t+T} a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta = \int_{\eta+T}^{\eta} a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta + \int_{\eta}^{t} a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta + \int_{t}^{t+T} a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta$$

we have

$$u(x,t+T) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\int_{\eta}^t a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta} C(\xi,\eta,u(\xi,n)) \, d\eta d\xi = u(x,t)$$

which proves the periodicity of u in t with period T.

Definition 2 (Bohr's Definition of ϵ -almost periodicity) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number $l(\epsilon) > 0$ with property that any interval of length $l(\epsilon)$ of the real line contains at least one point with abscissa δ , such that

$$|u(x,t+\delta) - u(x,t)| < \epsilon, \ (x,t) \in D,$$

the number δ is called translation number of u(x,t) corresponding to ϵ , or an ϵ -almost period of u(x,t).

The following lemma will be used to prove that the unique bounded solution (in D) of equation (3) is almost periodic in t.

Lemma 3 Assume the following conditions hold true in regard to the equation

$$V_t(x,t) + a(x,t)V(x,t) = f(x,t), \text{ in } D: (0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
(4)

- 1. a(x,t), f(x,t) are almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to x;
- 2. $a(x,t) \ge m > 0$ in D.

Then the unique bounded solution of (4), given by

$$V(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{t} a(x,\theta) \, d\theta} f(x,\tau) \, d\tau, \qquad (5)$$

is almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to x, and

$$|V(x,t)| \le \frac{1}{m} \sup |f(x,t)|, \quad (x,t) \in D.$$
 (6)

Proof We obtain from (4), changing t to $t + \delta$:

$$V_t(x,t+\delta) + a(x,t+\delta)V(x,t+\delta) = f(x,t+\delta),$$

and subtracting (4) from it,

$$\begin{split} & [V(x,t+\delta) - V(x,t)]_t + a(x,t+\delta) \left[V(x,t+\delta) - V(x,t) \right] \\ & = f(x,t+\delta) - f(x,t) - \left[a(x,t+\delta) - a(x,t) \right] V(x,t). \end{split}$$

Taking into account the almost periodicity of a(x,t), f(x,t) and boundedness of V(x,t) in D, one obtains in D, according to (6):

$$\sup |V(x,t+\delta) - V(x,t)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \sup |f(x,t+\delta) - f(x,t)| + \frac{M}{m} \sup |a(x,t+\delta) - a(x,t)|$$

where $M = \sup |V(x,t)|, (x,t) \in D$. We choose δ such that,

$$|f(x,t+\delta) - f(x,t)| < \frac{m\epsilon}{2}$$
, and $|a(x,t+\delta) - a(x,t)| < \frac{m\epsilon}{2M}$

for sufficiently large t, i.e., f(x,t) must be an $(m\epsilon)/2$ -almost periodic and a(x,t) is $(m\epsilon)/2M$ -almost periodic. Then

$$\sup |V(x,t+\delta) - V(x,t)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \text{for all such } \delta \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (7)

In other words, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number $l(\epsilon) > 0$ with the property that any interval $(a, a + l) \in \mathbb{R}$ contains an ϵ -almost period of V(x, t). This means that V(x, t) is an almost periodic function in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, l]$ by Bohr's definition of almost periodicity. Let us conclude now with the result on almost periodicity of the unique bounded solution of (3) in D.

Theorem 4 Consider equation (1) in D, and assume $u_0(t)$, a(x,t), and C(x,t,u(x,[t])) are almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0,l]$, and $a(x,t) \ge m > 0$. Also assume that C(x,t,u(x,[t])) is continuous on $D \times \mathbb{R}$, with C(x,t,0) bounded on D, and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|C(x, t, u(x, [t])) - C(x, t, V(x, [t]))| \le L |u(x, [t]) - V(x, [t])|$$

where L is a positive constant. Then the unique bounded solution of (1)–(2) in D is almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, l]$.

Proof Let the first approximation be $u_0(x,t) \equiv 0$. Next approximation is then

$$u_1(x,t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\int_{\tau}^t a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta} C(\xi,\tau,0) \, d\tau \, d\xi \, .$$

Since $V(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1(x,t)$, then from the equation

$$V_t(x,t) + a(x,t)V(x,t) = C(x,t,0)$$

by Lemma 2 we obtain the almost periodicity of V(x, t). But

$$u_1(x,t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x V(\xi,t) \, d\xi.$$

This shows that $u_1(x,t)$ is almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, l]$, and

$$u_2(x,t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\int_{\tau}^t a(\xi,\theta) \, d\theta} C(\xi,\tau,u_1(\xi,\tau)) \, d\tau \, d\xi.$$

The relation $\overline{V}(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_2(x,t)$ and equation

$$\overline{V_t}(x,t) + a(x,t)\overline{V}(x,t) = C(x,t,u_1(x,t))$$

implies almost periodicity of

$$u_2(x,t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^x \overline{V}(\xi,t) \, d\xi$$

by Lemma 2. Then $u_3(x,t)$ is almost periodic by a similar argument. Consequently, all successive approximations $u_n(x,t)$, n = 1, 2, ... are almost periodic functions in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, l]$. Hence the solution

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(x,t)$$

is also almost periodic in t, uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, l]$.

References

- Poorkarimi, H., and Wiener, J., (1986), "Bounded Solutions of Non-linear Hyperbolic Equations with Delay", Proceedings of the VII International Conference on Non-Linear Analysis, V. Lakshmikantham, Ed., 471–478
- [2] Poorkarimi, H., "Asymptotically Periodic Solutions for Some Hyperbolic Equations", Libertas Mathematica, Vol.8, 1998, 117–122.
- [3] Tikhonov, A. N., and Samarskii, A. A., Equations of Mathematical Physics, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963.
- [4] Corduneanu, C., Almost Periodic Functions, Wiley, New York, 1968.

HUSHANG POORKARIMI & JOSEPH WIENER University of Texas-Pan American Department of Mathematics Edinburg, TX 78539, USA poorkar@panam.edu & jwiener@panam.edu