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Abstract

This work deals with the study of the $W^{1,p}$ regularity for the solutions to parabolic equations in divergence form. An argument by perturbation based in real analysis is used.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study interior $W^{1,p}$ estimates for solutions to quasilinear parabolic equations in divergence form, namely, solutions to the equation

$$u_t - \text{div} a(x,t,\nabla u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a : \Omega \times (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$. We assume that $a(x,t,\xi)$ is a Carathéodory function (in the sense that it is measurable in $(x,t)$ and continuous with respect to $\xi$ for each $x$) and that satisfies the following conditions:

(a1) $a(x,t,0) = 0$

(a2) $\langle a(x,t,\xi) - a(x,t,\eta), (\xi - \eta) \rangle \geq \gamma|\xi|^2$.

(a3) $|a(x,t,\eta)| \leq \Gamma|\eta|$, where $\gamma$ and $\Gamma$ are positive constants.

We will work under the following hypotheses.

(H1) (Reference operator.) For fixed $a_0$ satisfying (a1)–(a3), we consider the corresponding parabolic equation

$$w_t - \text{div}(a_0(\nabla w)) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

If $u$ is a weak solution to (1.2) (see Definition 3.2 below) then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{R} |\nabla_x u(x,t)|^2 \leq \gamma \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x u(x,t)|^2 dx dt, \quad (1.3)$$
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for all parabolic rectangles

\[ R = \{(x, t) : |x_i - x_i^0| < \rho, \; i = 1, \ldots, N, \; t_0 - \rho^2 < t < t_0\} \subset \Omega, \]

where

\[ R' = \{(x, t) : |x_i - x_i^0| < \frac{\rho}{2}, \; i = 1, \ldots, N, \; t_0 - \frac{(\rho)^2}{2} < t < t_0\}. \]

(H2) **(Approximation property.)** The vector field \( a(x, t, \xi) \) is close to \( a_0(\xi) \) in the following sense:

\[ |a(x, t, \xi) - a_0(\xi)| \leq \epsilon |\xi|, \quad (1.4) \]

uniformly in \( x \) and \( t \).

The simplest example for \( a_0(\xi) \) is just \( a_0(\xi) = \xi \), which corresponds to the heat equation. A classical example for \( a \) is \( a(x, t, \xi) = A(x, t)\xi \) with \( A(x, t) \) a bounded \( N \times N \) matrix such that

\[ \|A(x, t) - I_{N \times N}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon. \]

Even in the linear case, the results presented here seem to be new. We also point out that more general situations can be considered by the method that we develop. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the quadratic growth case. With these hypotheses we will be able to show some sort of parabolic Meyers type inequalities. More precisely we have the following main result.

**Theorem 1.1** Let \( a \) be a vector field satisfying (a1)-(a3). Assume that (H1) holds. Given \( p > 2 \) there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that if for some \( 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 \) (H2) holds, then any weak solution to

\[ u_t - \text{div}(a(x, t, \nabla_x u)) = 0, \]

satisfies that \( |\nabla_x u| \in L^q_{\text{loc}} \), \( 2 < q < p \).

The idea is to estimate the level sets of \( \nabla_x u \) and obtain the required growth of their measure to have the integrability property. To do that, we will use a form of the Calderón-Zygmund covering result. For the elliptic case see [1].

## 2 Preliminary results

In this section we present some tools that will be used along the paper. First of all we will prove the corresponding Calderón-Zygmund covering result and explain the properties of the maximal operator which naturally arises in the parabolic setting under study. To be systematic we will give a general **Covering Lemma** that includes the particular case that we will need.
The rectangle collection

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $B_k$ denote a collection of rectangles satisfying the following properties:

i) All the rectangles of $B_k$ have the same side lengths.

ii) Any two distinct rectangles of $B_k$ have disjoints interiors.

iii) $\bigcup \{ R \in B_k \} = \mathbb{R}^N$

iv) If $R \in B_k$ then $R = \bigcup \{ R' \in B_{k-1} \mid R' \subset R \}$

v) If $\delta_k$ denotes the length of the diagonal of any $R \in B_k$ then $\delta_k \geq 2\delta_{k+1}$

Observe that given $R \in B_k$ there exists a unique rectangle in $B_{k-1}$, its predecessor which we denote by $R^*$ so that $R \subset R^*$.

With these properties in hand we have the following observations.

**Lemma 2.1** Fix $R_0 \in B_{k_0}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Assume that $A \subset R_0$ and that $0 < |A| < \delta |R_0|$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{ R_d \} \subset \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} B_k$ such that:

1. $|A \setminus \bigcup_d R_d| = 0$,
2. $|A \cap R_d| \geq \delta |R_d|$, 
3. If $R_d \subset R$ for some $R \in \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} B_k$, with $R \subset R_0$, then $|A \cap R| \leq \delta |R|$ (in particular $|A \cap R^*| \leq \delta |R^*|$).

**Proof.** The proof goes via the usual stopping-time argument. Observe that $R_0$ does not satisfies 2) by hypothesis. We select all the rectangles in $B_{k_0+1}$ for which 2) holds.

If $R' \subset R_0$, $R' \in B_{k_0+1}$ has not been selected we consider the partition of $R'$ by rectangles of $B_{k_0+2}$ and we take those satisfying 2).

In this way and by induction, we obtain a maximal sequence $\{ R_d \}$ satisfying 2) and 3). We call $B_A = \bigcup R_d$.

To see why $\{ R_d \}$ satisfies 1) too, we observe that if $x \in R_0 \setminus \{ \partial R : R \in B_A \}$ then there exists a unique sequence $\{ R_k(x) \}$ so that

$$x \in R_k(x) \in B_k, \forall k \geq k_0.$$ 

Moreover, since diam $R_k(x) = \delta_k \downarrow 0$ as $k \uparrow \infty$, we obtain that from the Lebesgue differentiation theorem,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap R_k(x)|}{|R_k(x)|} = 1, \quad a.e. \ x \in A.$$ 

In particular this says that $A \subset \bigcup R_d$ a.e. and, therefore, 1) holds. \qed
Observe that the sequence \( \{ R_d \} \) in Lemma 2.1, is given by maximal rectangles in \( R_0 \) for which property 2) holds. We will call this sequence the Calderón-Zygmund covering of \( A \). Note that given a rectangle \( R_k \) in a Calderón-Zygmund covering of the set \( A \), there exists a nested finite family of parabolic rectangles

\[
\tilde{R}_k^1 \supset \tilde{R}_k^2 \supset \cdots \supset \tilde{R}_k^{r(k)} \supset R_k,
\]
for which

\[
|\tilde{R}_k^l \cap A| \leq \delta |\tilde{R}_k^l|.
\]

We say that \( \tilde{R}_k^{r(k)} \) is the predecessor of \( R_k \) and for simplicity of notation we will write \( \tilde{R}_k^{r(k)} \equiv \tilde{R}_k \).

We give some applications of Lemma 2.1 that are relevant for this work.

**Lemma 2.2** The maximal operator

\[
\mathcal{M} f(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \bigcup B_k} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f|
\]

is of weak type \((1,1)\).

The proof uses the Vitali covering lemma and the observation that if \( R, R' \in B = \bigcup B_k \) and with their interiors with nonempty intersection, then either \( R \subset R' \) or \( R' \subset R \).

**Lemma 2.3** Fix \( R_0 \in B \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \). Given \( A \subset B \subset R_0 \) with the properties,

a) \( |A| < \delta |R_0| \),

b) If \( R_d \) is a rectangle in the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of \( A \) (with respect to \( R_0 \) and \( \delta \)), then \( R_d^* \subset B \),

we conclude that \( |A| \leq \delta |B| \).

**Proof.** Consider the sequence \( \{ R_d^* \} \) of predecessors of the C-Z covering of \( A \) with respect to \( R_0 \) and \( \delta \). Select a subsequence \( \{ R_{d_i}^* \} \) with disjoint interiors so that

\[
\bigcup R_{d_i}^* = \bigcup R_d^*.
\]

(a maximal subsequence). Then,

\[
|A| = \sum_i |A \cap R_{d_i}^*| \leq \delta \sum_i |R_{d_i}^*| = \delta |\bigcup R_{d_i}^*| \leq \delta |B|.
\]
3 Approximations Results

Denote the open unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ by $Q_0 = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} | |x_i| < 1, i = 1,2,\ldots,N, |t| < 1 \}$ and call $Q_0^+ = Q_0 \cap \{ t > 0 \}$ and $Q_0^- = Q_0 \cap \{ t < 0 \}$. A parabolic rectangle $R$ is then the image of $Q_0$ through any transformation in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ of the form

$$\phi_{\alpha}(x,t) = (x_0 + \alpha x, t_0 + \alpha^2 t), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}.$$ 

Let us consider the following dyadic subdivision: Given a parabolic rectangle $R$

i) Divide into 2 equal parts each spatial side.

ii) Divide into $2^2$ equal parts the temporal side.

We call this procedure a **parabolic subdivision**. It is obvious that with this procedure we obtain $2^{N+2}$ new parabolic subrectangles. Associated to this subdivision we have the corresponding Calderón-Zygmund decomposition as in the previous section.

Before we continue, some notation is in order. Given a parabolic rectangle $R$

Let us consider the following dyadic subdivision: Given a parabolic rectangle $R$

i) Divide into 2 equal parts each spatial side.

ii) Divide into $2^2$ equal parts the temporal side.

We call this procedure a **parabolic subdivision**. It is obvious that with this procedure we obtain $2^{N+2}$ new parabolic subrectangles. Associated to this subdivision we have the corresponding Calderón-Zygmund decomposition as in the previous section.

Example 3.1 In the case of the heat equation (the simplest model) we have the following result by Moser [4]. Let $w$ be a measurable function in $Q_0$ such that

(i) $w(x,y) \geq 0$

(ii) $w_t, \nabla_x w, w \in L^2(Q_0)$

(iii) $w_t - \Delta w \leq 0$ in $D'(Q_0)$.

We call such $w$ a **positive subsolution** of the heat equation.

According to the classical results by Moser in [4] we have that there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ such that if $w$ is a positive subsolution to the heat equation then

$$\sup_{R'} w^2(x,t) \leq \gamma \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w^2(x,t) dx \, dt,$$

where

$$R = \{(x,t) : |x_i - x_i^0| < \rho, i = 1,\ldots,N, t_0 - \rho^2 < t < t_0 \}$$

and $R' = \phi_{1/2}(R)$, that is,

$$R' = \{(x,t) : |x_i - x_i^0| < \frac{\rho}{2}, i = 1,\ldots,N, t_0 - \frac{(\rho/2)^2}{2} < t < t_0 \}.$$
We point out that if $u$ is a solution to the heat equation then $|\nabla_x u|^2$ is also a positive subsolution to the heat equation. Hence, by (2),

$$\sup_{R'} |\nabla_x u(x, t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |\nabla_x u(x, t)|^2 \, dx \, dt,$$

(3.2)

where $R$ and $R'$ are parabolic rectangles related as above.

It is clear that hypothesis $(H1)$ extends the previous Example.

\textbf{Definition 3.2} We say that $u \in L^2(Q_0)$, with $u_t, \nabla_x u \in L^2(Q_0)$, is a weak solution to the equation

$$u_t - \text{div}(a(x, t, \nabla_x u)) = 0$$

if we have

$$\int_{Q_0} u \psi_t \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_0} \langle a(x, t, \nabla_x u) \nabla \psi \rangle \, dx \, dt = 0,$$

for all $\psi \in W^{1,2}_0$, the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the $L^2$-norm of the function and its gradient.

\textbf{Lemma 3.3} Assume that $(H1),(H2)$ hold. Let $u$ be a weak solution to the equation

$$u_t - \text{div}_x (A(x, t, \nabla_x u)) = 0,$$

(3.3)

such that for $R$, a parabolic rectangle contained in $Q_0$, $u$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |\nabla_x u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \mu.$$

If $v$ is the solution to the problem

$$v_t - \text{div}_x (a_0(\nabla v)) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in R$$

$$v|_{\partial_p R} = u,$$

then

1. $$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |\nabla_x (u - v)|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \mu^2$$

2. $$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \mu(1 + \epsilon)^2$$
Proof. Write $R = Q \times (T_1, T_2)$. Observe that
\begin{align*}
\gamma \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla (u - v)|^2 dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (a_0(\nabla u) - a_0(\nabla v), \nabla (u - v)) dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_Q |u(x, T_2) - v(x, T_2)|^2 dx \\
\quad + \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (a_0(\nabla u) - a_0(\nabla v), \nabla (u - v)) dx \, dt \\
= \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R [(u - v)_t - (\text{div}_x a_0(\nabla u) - \text{div}_x a_0(\nabla v))](u - v) dx \, dt \\
= \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (u_t - \text{div}_x a_0(\nabla u))(u - v) dx \, dt \\
\quad - \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (v_t - \text{div}_x a_0(\nabla v))(u - v) dx \, dt \\
= \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R [u_t - \text{div}_x (a(x, t, \nabla u))(u - v)] dx \, dt \\
\quad + \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (\nabla_x u, (a_0(\nabla u) - a(x, t, \nabla u))) dx \, dt \\
\leq \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x u|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x u|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2},
\end{align*}
where we have used for the last inequality that $u$ is a solution to equation (1.1), condition (H2) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We thus obtain (1). Now (2) is an easy consequence of (1) since, in fact,
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x v|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\
= \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x (u + (v - u))|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R ||\nabla_x u|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x (v - u))|^2 dx \, dt \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq (1 + \epsilon) \mu^{1/2}.
\end{align*}

We will now introduce the (dyadic) parabolic maximal operator, defined for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ by
\[
M f(x) = \sup_{x \in R} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| \, dy,
\]
where the supremum is taken over all parabolic rectangles $R$ containing $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. Taking into account that $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is a homogeneous space with respect to the seminorm
\[
d(x, t) = \max\{|x_i|, |t|^{1/2}, i = 1, 2 \ldots, N\},
\]
more precisely that

$$|\phi_2(R)| \leq 2^{N+2}|R|,$$

we have that $M$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ as a consequence of the usual Besicovich covering lemma. The following result is the main ingredient in Theorem 1.1 below.

**Lemma 3.4** Assume that $u$ is a weak solution to

$$u_t - \div_x(a(x,t,\nabla_x u)) = 0, \quad \text{in } Q_0.$$

Then, there exists a constant $C > 1$ so that for $0 < \delta < 1$ fixed, one can find $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\delta) > 0$ such that if (H2) holds with $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, for all parabolic rectangle $R_k$ in the Calderón-Zygmund $\delta$-covering of

$$\{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : M(|\nabla_x u|^2)(x,t) > C\mu\},$$

then its predecessor satisfies

$$\bar{R}_k \subset \{(x,t) : M(|\nabla_x u|^2)(x,t) > \mu\}.$$

In particular, we have

$$|\{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : M(|\nabla_x u|^2)(x,t) > C\mu\}| \leq |\{(x,t) : M(|\nabla_x u|^2)(x,t) > \mu\}|.$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Lemma 3 in [1] and we sketch it here for the sake of completeness. Since we look for a local result, we can assume that a parabolic dilation of $R_k$ is contained in $Q_0$, to be more precise, let us assume, say, that $Q_0 = \phi^4(R_k) \subset Q_0$. We argue by contradiction. If $R_k$ satisfies the hypothesis, namely,

$$|R_k \cap \{x : M(|\nabla_x u|^2) > C\mu\}| > \delta|R_k|$$

and $\bar{R}_k$ does not satisfy the conclusion, there exists $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{R}_k$ for which,

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_x u|^2 dx \, dt \leq \mu,$$

for all parabolic rectangles $R$ with $(x_0, t_0) \in R$.

We solve the problem

$$v_t - \div_x(a_0(\nabla v)) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in Q_0$$

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} = u.$$

Then, according to Lemma 3.3 we get:

1. $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\nabla_x v|^2 dx \, dt \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \mu$

2. $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\nabla_x (u - v)|^2 dx \, dt \leq \epsilon^2 \mu$
Then the restricted maximal operator,
\[ M^*(|\nabla_xu|^2)(x,t) = \sup_{x \in R, R \subseteq \phi(R_k)} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |\nabla_xu|^2 dyds, \]
satisfies
\[ M(|\nabla_xu|^2)(x,t) \leq \max\{M^*(|\nabla_xu|^2)(x,t), 4^{N+2}\mu\}. \]
Consider \( C = \max\{4^{N+2}, 4(1+\epsilon)^2\} \). Then
\[ |\{(x,t) \in R_k : M(|\nabla_xu|^2) > C\mu\}| \leq |\{(x,t) \in R_k : M^*(|\nabla_xu|^2) > C_\mu^2\}| \]
\[ \leq |\{(x,t) \in R_k : M^*(|\nabla_x(u-v)|^2) > C_\mu^2\}|. \]
By the (1,1) weak type estimate for the maximal operator we conclude
\[ |\{x \in R_k : M(|\nabla_xu|^2) > C\mu\}| \leq |\{x \in R_k : M^*(|\nabla_x(u-v)|^2) > C_\mu^2\}| \]
\[ \leq A^{\frac{2}{C_\mu^2}} \int_{R_k} |\nabla_x(u-v)|^2 dx dt \]
\[ \leq A^{\frac{2}{C_\mu^2}} \epsilon^2 |R_k|. \]
Taking \( \epsilon > 0 \) so that \( A^{\frac{2}{C_\mu^2}} \epsilon^2 < \delta \) we reach a contradiction. \( \square \)

4 Proof of the main result

As a consequence of the approximation Lemma 3.3 and the behavior of the level sets of the maximal operator described in Lemma 3.4 we can formulate the following regularity result.

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that (H1) holds. Given \( p > 2 \) there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that if for some \( 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 \), (H2) holds, then any weak solution to
\[ u_t - \text{div}(A(x,t)\nabla_xu) = 0, \]
satisfies that \( |\nabla_xu| \in L^q_{\text{loc}}, 2 < q < p. \)

**Proof.** For \( s > 0 \), call \( \omega(s) = |\{(x,t) : M(|\nabla_xu|^2(x,t) > s)\}| \), the distribution function of the maximal operator. Take \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) in such a way that \( C^{q/2}\delta < 1 \), where \( C \) is as in Lemma 3.4. Now, there exists \( \epsilon_0 \), such that if \( 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 \) and (H2) holds, then Lemmas 3.4 and 2.3 imply
\[ \omega(C\mu_0) \leq \delta \omega(\mu_0). \]
Hence by recurrence
\[ \omega(C^k \mu_0) \leq \delta^k \omega(\mu_0). \tag{4.1} \]
Now \(|\nabla_x u|^q \in L^1\) if, in particular, \(M(|\nabla_x u|^2) \in L^{q/2}\), and this is equivalent to the convergence of the series
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C^{k(q/2)} \omega(C^k \mu_0). \]
But, \(C^{q/2} \delta < 1\) and from estimate (7) we obtain
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C^{k(q/2)} \omega(C^k \mu_0) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (C^{q/2} \delta)^k \omega(\mu_0) < \infty. \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.2** Assume \(A(x, t)\) a \(N \times N\) matrix which is continuous in \(\Omega\) and such that
\[ \langle A(x, t) \xi, \xi \rangle \geq \gamma |\xi|^2. \]
Then if \(u\) is a weak solution to
\[ u_t - \text{div}_x (A(x, t) \nabla_x u) = 0, \]
we have \(u \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}\) for all \(1 < p < \infty\).

**Proof.** As reference equation we take the heat equation. The hypothesis \((H2)\) is obtained easily by an orthogonal change of variables in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) and our assumptions on the continuity of \(A\).

By the same method we are able to get estimates for equations that are close to the p-Laplacian. For estimates to \(u_t - \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)\) see [2].
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