

2005-Oujda International Conference on Nonlinear Analysis.  
*Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Conference 14, 2006, pp. 227–229.  
ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: <http://ejde.math.txstate.edu> or <http://ejde.math.unt.edu>  
<ftp://ejde.math.txstate.edu> (login: ftp)

## UNIFORMLY ERGODIC THEOREM FOR COMMUTING MULTIOPERATORS

SAMIR LAHRECH, ABDERRAHIM MBARKI, ABDELMALEK OUAHAB, SAID RAIS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we established some uniformly Ergodic theorems by using multioperators satisfying the E-k condition introduced in [3]. One consequence, is that if  $I - T$  is quasi-Fredholm and satisfies E-k condition then  $T$  is uniformly ergodic. Also we give some conditions for uniform ergodicity of a commuting multioperator satisfies condition E-k. These results are of interest in view of analogous results for unvalued operators (see, for example [2]) also in view of the recent developments in the ergodic theory and its applications.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper,  $X$  is a complex Banach space, and  $L(X)$  is the algebra of linear continuous operators acting in  $X$ . If there is an integer  $n$  for which  $T^{n+1}X = T^nX$ , then we say that  $T$  has finite descent and the smallest integer  $d(T)$  for which equality occurs is called the descent of  $T$ . If there exists an integer  $m$  for which  $\ker T^{m+1} = \ker T^m$ , then  $T$  is said to have finite ascent and the smallest integer  $a(T)$  for this equality occurs is called ascent of  $T$ . If both  $a(T)$  and  $d(T)$  are finite, then they are equal [1, 38.3]. We say that  $T$  is chain-finite and that its chain length is this common minimal value. Moreover [1, 38.4], in this case there is a decomposition of the vector space

$$X = T^{d(T)}X \oplus \ker T^{d(T)}.$$

We now focus on the topological situation: For every  $T \in L(X)$  we set

$$M_i(T) = i^{-1}(I + T + T^2 + \cdots + T^{i-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

i.e. the averages associated with  $T$ , where  $I = id_X$  is the identity of  $X$ . If  $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) \in L(X)^n$  is commuting multioperator (briefly, c.m.), we also set

$$M_v(T) = M_{v_1}(T_1)M_{v_2}(T_2) \cdots M_{v_n}(T_n), \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, v \geq e, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$  is the family of multi-indices of length  $n$  (i.e.  $n$ -tuples of nonnegative integers) and  $e := (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ . In other words, (1.2) defines the averages associated with  $T$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A35, 47A13.

*Key words and phrases.* Average; E-k condition; finite descent; uniform ergodicity.

©2006 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Published September 20, 2006.

**Definition 1.1.** A commuting multioperator  $T \in L(X)^n$  is said to be uniformly ergodic if the limit

$$\lim_v M_v(T) \quad (1.3)$$

exists in the uniform topology of  $L(X)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** (a) If  $n = 1$ , then (1.3) is automatically fulfilled, and therefore the above definition extends the usual concept of uniformly ergodic operator (see, for example [2]).

(b) If  $T = (I, \dots, T_j, I, \dots, I) \in L(X)^n$ , then  $T$  is uniformly ergodic if and only if the  $\lim_{v_j} M_{v_j}(T_j)$  exists in the uniform topology of  $L(X)$ .

**Definition 1.3** ([3]). Let  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in Z_+^n$  and  $T \in L(X)^n$  be a c.m. We say that  $T$  satisfies condition E-k if  $\lim_v (I - T_j)^{k_j} M_v(T) = 0$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

It is clear that condition E-k implies condition E-n for any  $n \geq k$ . Thus we see that the example  $T = (T_1, I, \dots, I) \in Z_+^n$  with

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that E-2e is strictly weaker than E-e.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $k \in Z_+^n$ . Suppose  $T \in L(X)^n$  satisfies condition E-k and  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)^{k_j} X$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I^* - T_j^*)^{k_j} X^*$  are closed in  $X$  and  $X^*$  respectively. If  $[\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)^{k_j} X] \cap [\cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j)^{k_j}] = \{0\}$ . Then  $T$  is uniformly ergodic

*Proof.* Arguing exactly as in [5, Theorem 1], with  $\delta_T$  and  $\gamma_T$  given by

$$\oplus_{j=1}^n x_j \rightarrow \delta_T(\oplus_{j=1}^n x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)^{k_j} x_j \text{ and } x \rightarrow \gamma_T(x) = \oplus_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)^{k_j} x.$$

□

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  satisfy condition E-r, and one of the following nine conditions:

- (a)  $I - T$  has chain length at most  $r$
- (b) 1 is a pole of the resolvent of order at most  $r$
- (c)  $I - T$  is quasi-Fredholm operator
- (d)  $(I - T)^r X$  is closed and  $\ker(I - T)^r$  has a closed  $T$ -invariant complement
- (e)  $(I - T)^r X \oplus \ker(I - T)^r = (I - T)^r X + \ker(I - T)^r$
- (f)  $(I - T)^m X$  is closed for all  $m \geq r$
- (g)  $(I - T)^r X$  is closed
- (h)  $(I - T)^m X$  is closed for some  $m \geq r$
- (i)  $I - T$  has finite descent.

Then  $T$  is uniformly ergodic.

*Proof.* Firstly, from [3, Theorem 6], the above statements (a)–(i) are equivalent. Then, take  $G = (T, I, \dots, I) \in L(X)^n$  and  $k = (r, 1, \dots, 1) \in Z_+^n$ ; Therefore, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I - G_j)^{k_j} X = (I - T)^r X$  is closed, it follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I^* - G_j^*)^{k_j} X^* = (I^* - T^*)^r X^*$  is closed, which implies since  $(I - T)^r X \cap \ker(I - T)^r = \{0\}$ , that  $G$  is uniformly ergodic in  $L(X)^n$ . From Theorem 1.4 this means that  $T$  is uniformly ergodic in  $L(X)$  □

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ . If  $T \in L(X)^n$  A c.m. satisfies condition E-k, such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)$  has chain length at most 1 and  $\ker(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)) = \cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j)$ . Then  $T$  is uniformly.*

*Proof.* There are two cases

**Case 1:**  $d(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)) = 0$ . Then  $\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)$  is bijective then  $X = \sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)X \oplus \ker(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))$ , which implies, since  $\cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j) \subset \ker(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)) = \{0\}$  that  $X = \sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)X \oplus \cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j)$ , from the [3, Theorem 10] we obtain  $T$  is uniformly ergodic.

**Case 2:**  $d(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j)) = 1$ . Then  $(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))X = (\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))^2 X$ , so  $(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))X = (\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))^{nr} (\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))X$ , with  $r = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} k_j$ . so  $(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))^{nr}$  is a bijection of  $(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))X$  onto itself. Which implies, since  $T$  satisfies condition E-k, that  $M_v(T)|(\sum_{j=1}^n (I - T_j))X \rightarrow 0$  and since  $M_v(T)|\cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j) = I| \cap_{j=1}^n \ker(I - T_j)$ , it follows that  $T$  is uniformly ergodic.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Heuser H. G., *Functional analysis*, Wiley, Chichester, 1982.
- [2] Krengel U., *Ergodic theorems*, De Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1985.
- [3] Lahrech S., A. Azizi, A. Mbarki, A. Ouahab; *Uniformly Ergodic theorem and finite chain length for multioperators* International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **22**, No. 2 (2005), 167-172.
- [4] Laursen K. B. and Mbekhta M.; *Operators with finite chain length and the ergodic theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3443-3448.
- [5] Mbekhta M. and Vasilescu F.-H., *Uniformly ergodic multioperators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**, (1995), 1847- 1854.

SAMIR LAHRECH

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ Oujda, 60000 Oujda, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* lahrech@sciences.univ-oujda.ac.ma

ABDERRAHIM MBARKI

CURRENT ADDRESS: NATIONAL SCHOOL OF APPLIED SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 669, Oujda UNIVERSITY, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* ambarki@ensa.univ-oujda.ac.ma

ABDELMALEK OUAHAB

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ Oujda, 60000 Oujda, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* ouahab@sciences.univ-oujda.ac.ma

SAID RAIS

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ Oujda, 60000 Oujda, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* said\_rais@yahoo.fr